Copyright © 1974, by the author(s). All rights reserved.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission.

# A UNIFIED FORMULATION FOR THE QUADRATIC INTEGRAL (SUM)

Ъy

S. Gutman

## Memorandum No. ERL-M437

10 May 1974

### ELECTRONICS RESEARCH LABORATORY

College of Engineering University of California, Berkeley 94720

### A UNIFIED FORMULATION FOR THE QUADRATIC INTEGRAL (SUM)

by

#### S. Gutman

Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences and the Electronics Research Laboratory University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

#### ABSTRACT

A unified method for the time weighted square integral for both continuous and discrete free linear system is presented. In both cases, the value of the integral is the quadratic form of the initial condition  $x_0'P_{0}x_0$ , where  $P_0$  is a single matrix which is obtained either by recursive matrix equations or by single matrix transformation. The strange  $b_i's$  coefficients that appear in Man's paper [5], have a natural meaning in the present work. Moreover, a simple formula for these coefficients is developed.

Research sponsored by the National Science Foundation Grant GK-38205.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In a series of articles [1]-[4], MacFarlane developed the method to evaluate the total square integral of linear continuous free systems. The analysis was done in the time domain for various types of functionals. The value of the integral is given in a quadratic form of the initial condition:  $x_0'P_{0}x_0$ , where  $P_0$  is the solution of a sequence of Lyapunov's type equations. Later [5], Man evaluated the infinite sum:

$$J_r = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} k^r x_k' Q_k x_k$$
, subject to:

 $x_{k+1} = Ax_k$ 

His solution is given by  $x_0'P_0x_0$ , where  $P_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{L} b_jQ_j$ , and  $Q_j$  is given recursively by Lyapunov's equations. However, in his words, "the coefficients  $b_j$  must be determined sequen-

tially for r = 1, 2... and no general formula is available which enables the systematic determination of these coefficients."

We show in this work, that for both continuous and discrete time systems,  $P_o$  is a solution of a sequence of Lyapunov's type equations, such that in the discrete case, the  $b_j$ 's are hidden in the sequence and are given by a simple equation. The method is based on the general solution of the integral which is a special case of either random process [7] or optimal regulator.

### 2. CONTINUOUS TIME SYSTEM

### (a) The General Solution

The infinite integral of linear continuous autonomous system is

-2-

given by [6 p.110-111]

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\mathbf{x}} = A\mathbf{x} \\ J = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{x}' Q(t) \mathbf{x} \, dt = \mathbf{x}_{0}' P_{0} \mathbf{x}_{0}, \text{ where: } P_{0} \underline{A} P(0), \text{ and: } (1) \\ -\dot{P}(t) = P(t)A + A'P(t) + Q(t), \lim_{T \to \infty} P(T) = 0 \\ T \to \infty \end{cases}$$

(b) Matrix Laplace Transform

We are interested in J such that  $Q(t) = f(t) \cdot Q$ , where Q is a constant matrix and f(t) is the time weight function.

We can write (1) as follows:

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{MP} - \mathbf{q} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{t}) \tag{2}$$

For instance, n = 2:

$$p = col. [p_{11}p_{12}p_{22}]; q = col. [q_{11}q_{12}q_{22}]$$

$$M = -\begin{bmatrix} 2a_{11} & 2a_{21} & 0 \\ a_{12} & a_{11} + a_{22} & a_{21} \\ 0 & a_{12} & 2a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

The solution of (2) is:

$$p(t) = e^{Mt}p(o) - \int_{0}^{t} e^{M(t-\tau)}q f(\tau)d\tau = e^{Mt}[p(o) - \int_{0}^{t} e^{-M\tau} q f(\tau)d\tau] \quad (3)$$

The boundary condition for finite transfer time T is:

$$p(T) = 0$$
, hence:

$$p(o) = \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-M\tau} f(\tau) d\tau\right] q \Delta M[f(\tau)] \cdot q \qquad (4)$$

This "matrix laplace transform" was obtained earlier [2] from another point of view. As we shall see soon, the extension of (4) to discrete time system is " $\Im$  matrix transform".

-3-

### (c) Recursive Matrix Formulation

Here we operate directly on (1)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} f(t)x'Qx dt = x_{0}'P_{0}x_{0}, \text{ where}$$
$$-\dot{P}(t) = P(t)A + A'P(t) + f(t) Q$$

(i)  $f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_{i} t^{i}$ , r is a positive integer

Differentiate (5) at  $P = P_o$ :

$$\begin{cases} P_{o}^{(i+1)} = -P_{o}^{(i)}A - A'P_{o}^{(i)} - Q\alpha_{i} \cdot i! , 0 \leq i \leq r \\ P_{o}^{(i+1)} = -P_{o}^{(i)}A - A'P_{o}^{(i)} , i > r \end{cases}$$
(6)

(5)

(8)

Equation (6) has the following property:

$$P_{o}^{(i)} \equiv 0 \forall i > r, \quad (P_{o}^{(i)} \triangleq \frac{d^{i}P}{dt^{i}} \text{ at } t = 0)$$
(7)

Proof: See Appendix I.

Solving (6) recursively (backward), we obtain  $P_0$ .

(ii)  $f(t) = t^{r}$ .

Substituting in (6):  $\alpha_i = 0$ ,  $\forall i < r, \alpha_r = 1$ , one obtains:  $\begin{pmatrix}
P_0^{(i)}A + A'P_0^{(i)} = -P_0^{(i+1)}, & 0 \le i \le r \\
P_0^{(r)}A + A'P_0^{(r)} = -r!Q
\end{cases}$ 

The last result agrees with [1].

(iii) 
$$f(t) = e^{2\alpha t}$$

Using the same transformation as in feedback optimal regulator [6]:

$$\tilde{x} \triangleq xe^{\alpha t}, \text{ one obtains}$$

$$J = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{2\alpha t} x' Qx dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} \tilde{x}' Q \tilde{x} dt, \text{ and}:$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{x} = (A + \alpha I)\tilde{x} (by: (1) \text{ and } (9)).$$
Hence:
$$(9)$$

$$\begin{cases} J = x_0'\tilde{P} x_0 & (\text{note: by } (9): \tilde{x}_0 = x_0), \text{ where:} \\ \tilde{p}(A + \alpha I) + (A' + \alpha I)\tilde{P} = -Q \\ (iv) \quad f(t) = e^{2\alpha t} \sum_{i=0}^r \alpha_i t^i \\ \text{Using (9) we obtain (10), and: } \tilde{f}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^r \alpha_i t^i. \end{cases}$$

Hence:  $J = x_0' P_0 x_0$ , where  $P_0$  is obtained from (6) replacing A by (A +  $\alpha$ I).

### 3. DISCRETE TIME SYSTEM

(a) The General Solution

The infinite quadratic sum of linear discrete autonomous system is given by [6 p.471-472]:

(12)

$$\begin{cases} x_{k+1} = Ax_{k} \\ J = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{k}'Q_{k}x_{k} = x_{0}'P_{0}x_{0} \\ P_{k} = A'P_{k+1}A + Q_{k}, \quad \lim_{kT \to \infty} P(kT) = 0 \end{cases}$$

(b) Matrix Z Transform

For  $Q_k = f_k \cdot Q$  (Q-constant), we can write (12) as:

-5-

 $Kp_{k+1} = p_k - qf_k$ For instance, n = 2:  $p = col. [p_{11}p_{12}p_{22}]; \quad q = col. [q_{11}q_{12}q_{22}]$   $K = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^2 & 2a_{11}a_{21} & a_{21}^2 \\ a_{11}a_{12} & a_{11}a_{22}+a_{12}a_{21} & a_{21}a_{22} \\ a_{12}^2 & 2a_{12}a_{22} & a_{22}^2 \end{bmatrix}$ 

The solution of (13) is:

$$P_0 = [f_0 + Kf_1 + ... + K^{n-1}f_{n-1}]q + k^n p_n$$

By boundary condition:

p(nT) = 0, hence:

$$p(0) = \left[\sum_{o}^{\infty} K^{j} f_{j}\right] q \triangleq Z_{K^{-1}}[f_{j}] \cdot q$$
(15)

(15) represents "Matrix Z Transform." It should be noted that p(0) is a function of K.

Example: 
$$f_k = k^{(r)} \Delta k(k-1)(k-2)...(k-r+1)$$
  
 $p(0) = r!K^r(I-K)^{-(r+1)} \cdot q$  (16)

(c) Recursive Matrix Formulation

Here we operate directly on (12)

$$\begin{cases} J = \sum_{0}^{\infty} f_{k} x_{k}' Q x_{k} = x_{0}' P_{0} x_{0}, \text{ where} \\ P_{k} = A' P_{k+1} A + f_{k} Q \\ (i) \quad f_{k} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{r} \alpha_{\ell} k^{\ell}, \text{ r is a positive integer.} \end{cases}$$

(13)

(14)

(17)

Let  $E(\cdot)$  be shift operator:

$$E^{i}(P_{k}) \triangleq P_{k+i}$$
 (18)

The "derivative" in discrete time for fixed sampling time interval is given by the following "difference":

$$\Delta_{k}^{i} \underline{\Delta} (E-I)^{i} P_{k}$$
(19)

 $\Delta_k^i$  is the i<sup>th</sup> difference at the k<sup>th</sup> sampling. Another way of writing (19) is:

$$\Delta_{k}^{i} = \Delta_{k+1}^{i-1} - \Delta_{k}^{i-1}$$
(20)

(21)

Operating with (19) on the R.H.S. of  $P_k$  in (17), one obtains:

$$\begin{cases} P_{o} = \Delta_{o}^{0} \\ \Delta_{o}^{0} = A^{*} (\Delta_{o}^{0} + \Delta_{o}^{1})A + Q\alpha_{o} \\ \Delta_{o}^{1} = A^{*} (\Delta_{o}^{1} + \Delta_{o}^{2})A + Q\sum_{\ell=1}^{r} \alpha_{\ell} \\ \Delta_{o}^{2} = A^{*} (\Delta_{o}^{2} + \Delta_{o}^{3})A + Q\sum_{\ell=2}^{r} \alpha_{\ell} (2^{\ell} - 2) \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_{o}^{1} = A^{*} (\Delta_{o}^{1} + \Delta_{o}^{1+1})A + Qb_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_{o}^{r} = A^{*} \Delta_{1}^{r} A + Q r!\alpha_{r} \end{cases}$$

In our case, (21) has the following property:

 $\Delta_0^i \equiv 0 \forall i > r, \text{ and in particular } \Delta_1^r = \Delta_0^r$  (22)

Proof: See Appendix II.

-7-

The coefficients  $b_i$ 's in (21) are given by:

$$\mathbf{b}_{i} \triangleq \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} \alpha_{\ell} [\sum_{j=0}^{i} (-1)^{j} (1-j)^{\ell} (\frac{i}{j})], \ 0 \leq i \leq r$$
(23)

Solving (21) recursively (backward), we obtain  $P_0$ .

(ii)  $f_k = k^r$ 

Substituting in (21):  $\alpha_i = 0$ ,  $\forall i < r, \alpha_r = 1$ , one obtains:

$$P_{o} = \Delta_{o}^{0}$$

$$\Delta_{o}^{0} = A^{\dagger} (\Delta_{o}^{0} + \Delta_{o}^{1})A + 1 \cdot Q$$

$$\Delta_{o}^{1} = A^{\dagger} (\Delta_{o}^{1} + \Delta_{o}^{2})A + 1 \cdot Q$$

$$\Delta_{o}^{2} = A^{\dagger} (\Delta_{o}^{2} + \Delta_{o}^{3})A + (2^{r} - 2)Q$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\Delta_{o}^{1} = A^{\dagger} (\Delta_{o}^{1} + \Delta_{o}^{1+1})A + b_{1} \cdot Q$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\Delta_{o}^{r} = A^{\dagger} \Delta_{1}^{r}A + r! Q$$

$$\Delta_{1}^{r} = \Delta_{o}^{r}$$

where:

$$b_{i} \triangleq \sum_{j=0}^{i} (-1)^{j} (i-j)^{r} {i \choose j}$$
 (25)

(24)

(25) has a very simple pattern - See Appendix III. (iii)  $f_k = k^{(r)} \triangleq k(k-1)(k-2) \dots (k-r+1)$ It is easy to verify that  $(E - I)^{1} f_0 \equiv 0 \forall 0 \le i \le r$ , or equivalently:  $b_1 \equiv 0 \forall 0 \le i \le r$ , and  $b_r = r!$ Hence:

$$\sum_{0}^{\infty} k^{(r)} x'_{k} Q x_{k} = r! x_{0}' P_{r} x_{0}, \text{ where: } P_{j} - A' P_{j} A = \begin{cases} Q , j = 0 \\ A' P_{j-1} A, j > 0 \end{cases}$$
(26)

(iv)  $f_k = e^{2\alpha k}$ Let  $\tilde{x}_k \triangleq x_k e^{\alpha k} \Rightarrow \tilde{x}_{k+1} = (Ae^{\alpha})\tilde{x}_k (\dots by x_{k+1} = Ax_k)$ , Noting that  $\tilde{x}_0 = x_0$ , we obtain:  $\begin{cases}
J = \sum_{o}^{\infty} e^{2\alpha k} x_k' Qx_k = \sum_{o}^{\infty} \tilde{x}_k' Q \tilde{x}_k = \tilde{x}_o' \tilde{P}_o \tilde{x}_0 = x_o' \tilde{P}_o x_0, \text{ where:} \\
\tilde{P}_o = (Ae^{\alpha}) \tilde{P}_o (Ae^{\alpha}) + Q
\end{cases}$ (v)  $f_k = e^{2\alpha k} \sum_{o=\infty}^{r} \alpha_k k^{\beta}$ 

(27)

As in the continuous time case, replace A in (21) by  $(Ae^{\alpha})$ .

- 4. REMARKS
- In the discrete time, K is not necessarily nonsingular, however, for a stable system (I-K) is nonsingular.
- 2. The advantages and disadvantages of the recursive and transform forms:
  - The transform form has answer for all transformable weight functions.
  - (11) We can use Laplace and Z transforms for the transform forms.

(iii) If the system's dimension is n, M and K's dimension is  $m = \frac{1}{2} n(n+1)$ 3. The matrix M in (2) is equal to -B, where B is given in [1].

The general form of the matrix K is given in [8].

4. Equations (4) and (15) show that if discrete time system  $x_{k+1} = Ax$  is obtained from continuous time system  $\dot{x} = Ax$ , then K is related to B by:

 $K = e^{BT}$ 

where T is the sampling interval.

-9-

5. If we use the simple transformation:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{K}}$$

we obtain equivalent to equations (24), (25):

$$\begin{cases} J_{o} = x_{o}^{i}(P_{o} + Q)x_{o} ; J_{r} = x_{o}^{i}P_{o}x_{o} , r \ge 1, \text{ where:} \\ \Delta_{o}^{i} = A^{i}[\Delta_{o}^{i} + \Delta_{o}^{i+1}]A + b_{i}A^{i}QA \\ b_{i} \triangleq \sum_{j=0}^{i} (-1)^{j}(i+1-j)^{r}(\frac{i}{j}) \end{cases}$$
(28)

By <u>inspection</u>, the  $|b_i|$ 's in [5] are identical to those of (28) (in the direction of the sequence), however, no general formula is presented in [5] for  $|b_i|$ 's

In this paper (25) or (28) are natural as a result of the binomial pattern of the discrete differentiation.

6. It is important to note that alternative form of (15) is:

$$P_{o} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_{j}(A')^{j}Q(A)^{j}.$$

7. The difference between MacFarlane's recursive point of view and the one presented here is that the first is integral form, and the second is differential form. Therefore, it is difficult to extend his procedure to the discrete time case (See Appendix IV).

#### 5. CONCLUSIONS

The main contribution of this work is in introducing unified method to evaluate the total square integral (sum) for linear continuous (discrete) free system.

New results are reported for the discrete case, while for the continuous case they are identical to [1], [2].

The coefficients that appear in [5] without general formula, have natural meaning in this work with simple pattern.

### 6. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor E. I. Jury for his many useful comments.

### 7. REFERENCES

- MacFarlane, A.G.J., "The calculation of functionals of the time and frequency response of a linear constant coefficient dynamical system," Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math. Vol. 16, pp. 259-271, 1963.
- \_\_\_\_\_, "A method of computing performance functionals for linear dynamical systems," <u>J. Electronics and Control</u>, 15, pp. 383-393, 1963.
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_, "Functional matrix theory for the general linear network," <u>Proc. IEE</u>, Vol. 112, pp. 763-770, 1965.
- 4. \_\_\_\_\_, "Functional matrix theory for the general linear electrical network," <u>Proc. IEE</u>, Vol. 116, pp. 1745-1747, 1969.
- 5. Man, F. T., "Evaluation of time weighted quadratic performance indices for linear discrete systems," <u>IEEE Trans. on A-C</u>, Vol. AC-15, pp. 496-497, August 1970.
- Kwakernaak, H. and Sivan, R., <u>Linear Optimal Control Systems</u>, John Wiley & Sons, 1972.
- 7. Anderson, B.D.O. and Moore, J. B., <u>Linear Optimal Control</u>, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1971.
- Power, H. M., "Canonical form for the matrices of linear discrete time systems," <u>Proc. IEE</u>, Vol. 116, pp. 1245-1252, 1969.

-12-

# APPENDIX

I. Proof of equation (7): By differentiation (2) r+1 times, we obtain:

$$\begin{array}{l} \dot{p} = Mp - q \sum_{i=0}^{T} \alpha_{i} t^{i} \\ \mu = Mp - q \sum_{i=1}^{T} i\alpha_{i} t^{i-1} \\ \vdots \\ p^{(r+1)} = Mp^{(r)} - q\alpha_{r} t^{i} \\ p^{(r+2)} = Mp^{(r+1)} \\ \vdots \\ \text{and at } t = 0 \\ f \dot{p}_{o} = Mp_{o} - q\alpha_{o} \cdot 0! \quad (0! \ \ \ 1) \\ \mu_{o} = Mp_{o} - q\alpha_{1} \cdot 1! = M^{2}p_{o} - Mq\alpha_{o} - q\alpha_{1} \\ \vdots \\ p^{(r+1)}_{o} = Mp^{(r)}_{o} - q\alpha_{r} t^{i} = M^{r+1}p_{o} - M^{r}q\alpha_{o} - M^{r-1}q\alpha_{1} - M^{r-2}q\alpha_{2}2! - \dots - q\alpha_{r} t^{i} \\ p^{(r+2)}_{o} = Mp^{(r+1)} \\ \vdots \\ \text{But: } p(o) = [\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-Mr} \sum_{i=0}^{T} \alpha_{i} t^{i} d\tau]q = (\alpha_{r} t! M^{-(r+1)} + \alpha_{r-1}(r-1)! M^{-r} + \dots)q \\ \text{or: } M^{r+1}p_{o} = (\alpha_{r} t! + \alpha_{r-1}(r-1)! M^{1} + \dots + \alpha_{o} M^{r})q \quad (29) \\ \text{substituting (29) in } p^{(r+1)}_{o} , \text{ we obtain } p^{(r+1)}_{o} \equiv 0, \text{ and so:} \\ p^{(1)}_{o} \equiv 0 \quad \forall i > r \end{array}$$

## II. Proof of equation (22).

We wish to show that  $\Delta_0^{r+1} = 0$ .

For this end, we proceed in equation (21) one step further. Using the fact  $(E-I)^{r+1} f_0 = 0$ , one obtains

$$\Delta_{o}^{r+1} = A' (\Delta_{o}^{r+1} + \Delta_{o}^{r+2})A$$
  
:  
etc.

The last equation can be written as

$$\Delta_{o}^{r+1} = A' \Delta_{o}^{r+2} A + A'^{2} \Delta_{o}^{r+2} A^{2} + \dots + A'^{k} \Delta_{o}^{r+2} A^{k} + \dots$$

Likewise

$$\Delta_{o}^{r+2} = A' \Delta_{o}^{r+3} A + \dots$$

Or:  $\Delta_0^{r+1} = A^{r+2} \Delta_0^{r+3} A^2 + (higher order of A).$ 

Likewise

$$\Delta_{o}^{r+1} = A^{r} \Delta_{o}^{r+4} A^{3} + \text{ (higher order of A).}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\Delta_{o}^{r+1} = \lim_{K \to \infty} (A^{r} \Delta_{o}^{k+1} A^{k}) + 0(A) = A^{r} \Delta_{o}^{\infty} A^{\infty} + 0(A) \qquad (30)^{*}$$
Using (19):  $\Delta_{o}^{0} = P_{o}, \ \Delta_{1}^{0} = P_{1}, \dots \Delta_{K}^{0} = P_{K} \dots \Delta_{\infty}^{0} = P_{\infty} = 0$ 
Using (20):  $\Delta_{\infty}^{1} = \Delta_{\infty}^{1-1} - \Delta_{\infty}^{1-1} = 0 \quad \forall i, \text{ so that: } \Delta_{\infty}^{\infty} = 0$ 
Once more by (20):  $\Delta_{1}^{\infty} = \Delta_{0}^{\infty} + \Delta_{0}^{\infty} = 2\Delta_{0}^{\infty} \Rightarrow \Delta_{0}^{\infty} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{1}^{\infty} = \frac{1}{4}\Delta_{2}^{\infty} = \lim_{k \to \infty} (\frac{1}{k} \Delta_{k}^{k}) = 0,$ 

\*0(A) is the rest of the series ("higher" order of A), where each component is simply  $A'^{\infty} \Delta_{o}^{\infty} A^{\infty}$ . Thus, if  $A'^{\infty} \Delta_{o}^{\infty} A^{\infty} = 0$ , so is  $\Delta_{o}^{r+1}$ .

so finally, if the matrix A is stable, equation (30) becomes

$$\Delta_{o}^{r+1} = 0$$

III. A simple pattern for equation (25).

<u>Step 1</u>: Write "Pascal Triangle" for (x-y)<sup>n</sup>:



<u>Step 2</u>: Multiply the i<sup>th</sup> row, from right to left, term by term by  $0^{r}, 1^{r}, 2^{r} \dots$ 

Step 3: b, equal to the sum of these terms.

Example: 
$$i = 5$$
: Pick the dashed rectangular and form:  
 $b_5 = 1(5^r) - 5(4^r) + 10(3^r) - 10(2^r) + 5(1^r) - 1(0^r)$ .

<u>Note</u>: For the b<sub>i</sub> in (28), in step 2, multiply by  $1^r, 2^r, 3^r, \ldots$ .

IV. The discrete analogy to [1]:

$$\frac{\mathbf{r} = 0}{\mathbf{r} = 0}: \text{ Let }: \mathbf{x}_{k}^{\dagger} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}_{k} \triangleq (\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{I}) \{\mathbf{x}_{k}^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{k}\} = \mathbf{x}_{k+1}^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k}^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{k}$$

$$By: \mathbf{x}_{k+1} = A \mathbf{x}_{k} : = \mathbf{x}_{k}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_{1} A \mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{k}^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{k},$$

$$or: \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{A}^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_{1} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{P}_{1}, \text{ and }:$$

$$\infty \qquad (31)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{k}^{\prime} Q x_{k}^{\prime} = x_{\infty}^{\prime} P_{1} x_{\infty}^{\prime} - x_{0}^{\prime} P_{1} x_{0}^{\prime} = - x_{0}^{\prime} P_{1} x_{0}^{\prime}$$
(32)

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}} = 1: \text{ Let: } (\mathbf{E}-\mathbf{I})\{k(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{'}\mathbf{P}_{k}\mathbf{x}_{k})\} \triangleq \mathbf{x}_{k}^{'}\mathbf{P}_{1}\mathbf{x}_{k} + \mathbf{x}_{k}^{'}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}_{k} + \mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}_{k}^{'}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}_{k}$$
(33)

$$0 = [k(x_k'P_1x_k)]_0^{\infty} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x'_k(P_1+Q)x_k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kx_k'Qx_k, \text{ or:}$$

-15-

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kx_{k}^{\dagger}Qx_{k} = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{k}^{\dagger}(P_{1}+Q)x_{k}$$
Let:  $x_{k}^{\dagger}(P_{1}+Q)x_{k} \triangleq (E-I)\{x_{k}^{\dagger}P_{2}x_{k}\} =$ 

$$= x_{k+1}^{\dagger}P_{2}x_{k+1} - x_{k}^{\dagger}P_{2}x_{k} = x_{k}^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}P_{2}Ax_{k} - x_{k}^{\dagger}P_{2}x_{k}, \text{ or:}$$

$$Q + P_{1} = A^{\dagger}P_{2}A - P_{2}, \text{ and:} \qquad (34)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{k}^{\dagger}(Q+P_{1})x_{k} = -x_{0}^{\dagger}P_{2}x_{0}, \text{ and by } (33):$$

$$(k+1)x_{k+1}^{\dagger}P_{1}x_{k+1} - kx_{k}^{\dagger}P_{1}x_{k} = (k+1)x_{k}^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}P_{1}Ax_{k} - kx_{k}^{\dagger}P_{1}x_{k} = x_{k}^{\dagger}P_{1}x_{k} + x_{k}^{\dagger}Qx_{k}, \text{ hence:} (k+1)A^{\dagger}P_{1}A - kP_{1} = P_{1} + (k+1)Q, \text{ or:}$$

$$Q = A'P_1A - P_1$$
(35)

and:

$$: \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k x_k' Q x_k = x_0' P_2 x_0$$
 (36)

(Note: We solve (35) and (36) recursively.)

<u>**r** = 2</u>: Let:  $(E-I)\{k^2(x_k^{\dagger}P_1x_k)\} = x_k^{\dagger}P_1x_k + 2kx_k^{\dagger}P_1x_k + x_k^{\dagger}Qx_k + 2kx_k^{\dagger}Qx_k + k^2x_k^{\dagger}Qx_k + k^2x_k^{\dagger}Qx_k$ 

and so on ...