Copyright © 1963, by the author(s). All rights reserved.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission.

Electronics Research Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California

8

...

NOTES ON MAGNITUDE FUNCTIONS WITH EQUI-RIPPLE RESPONSE

by

D. A. Calahan

This research was supported under Air Force-Air Force Office of Scientific Research Grant AF-AFOSR 177-63 University of Illinois.

November 6, 1963

NOTES ON MAGNITUDE FUNCTIONS WITH EQUI-RIPPLE RESPONSE^{*}

It may be shown¹ that an equi-ripple approximation to the ideal low-pass magnitude characteristic (Fig. 1) may be written in the forms $\frac{r}{r}$

$$F(\omega^{2}) = \frac{K_{l}Q(\omega^{2})}{R(\omega^{2})} = \frac{K_{l}\prod_{i}(\omega^{2} + z_{i}^{2})}{\prod_{i}(\omega^{2} + p_{i}^{2})} r < t \quad (1)$$

$$= \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 G_1(\omega^2)$$
 (2)

or

$$= \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon_3 G_2(\omega^2)}$$
(3)

where $G_1(\omega^2)$ and $G_2(\omega^2)$ are Tchebycheff Rational Functions (TRF) of the form

$$G(\omega^{2}) = \frac{K_{2}N(\omega^{2})}{D(\omega^{2})} = \frac{K_{2} \prod_{1}^{m+n} (\omega^{2} + \omega_{1})^{2}}{\prod_{1}^{m} (\omega^{2} + \omega_{1})^{2}}$$
(4)
$$= \cos\left(2n \cos^{-1}\omega - \sum_{1}^{m} 2 \tan^{-1} \frac{c_{1}\omega}{\sqrt{1-\omega^{2}}}\right)$$
(5)

^{*}This work was supported under Air Force-Air Force Office of Scientific Research Grant AF-AFOSR 177-63 University of Illinois.

where $K_2 = 2n \ (n \ge 1)$. $G(\omega^2)$ then has m finite poles at $\omega_i^{2} = (1/c_i^2 - 1)$ and n poles at infinity; thus $n_1 = 0$ for $G_1(\omega)$ but $n_2 \ge 1$ for $G_2(\omega)$. The choice of Eqs. 2 or 3 depends on whether the zeros or poles of $|T(j\omega)|^2$ are chosen in advance.² We now exploit Eqs. 2 and 3 to derive an interesting relation which allows the selection of certain poles and zeros in advance while maintaining the Tchebycheff characteristic.

Differentiating $G(\omega^2)$ it may readily be shown that

$$\left(\frac{dG}{d\omega}\right)^2 - k^2 \frac{(1-G^2)P^2(\omega^2)}{(1-\omega^2)D^2(\omega^2)} = 0$$
 (6)

where (1) $D(\omega^2)$ is given in Eq. 4, (2) $P(\omega^2)$ is a polynomial of degree 2p with unity leading coefficient and (3) k = 2n if $n \ge 1$. We now can write explicitly

$$\left(\frac{dG_{1}}{d\omega}\right)^{2} - k^{2} \frac{(1 - G_{1}^{2}) P_{1}^{2}(\omega^{2})}{(1 - \omega^{2}) \prod_{1}^{t} (\omega^{2} + P_{1}^{2})^{2}} = 0$$
(7)

$$\left(\frac{dG_2}{d\omega}\right)^2 - (2n_2)^2 \frac{(1 - G_2^2) P_2^2(\omega^2)}{(1 - \omega^2) \prod_{l}^{r} (\omega^2 + z_i^2)^2} = 0.$$
(8)

If we express $G_1(\omega^2)$ and $G_2(\omega^2)$ in terms of $F(\omega^2)$, Eqs. 7 and 8 become

$$\left(\frac{dF}{d\omega}\right)^{2} - \frac{k^{2}}{1-\epsilon_{3}^{2}} \frac{\left[\epsilon_{3}^{2}F^{2} - (1-F)^{2}\right]P_{1}^{2}(\omega^{2})}{(1-\omega^{2})\prod_{1}^{t}(\omega^{2}+p_{1}^{2})^{2}} = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\left(\frac{dF}{d\omega}\right)^{2} - (2n_{2})^{2} \frac{F^{2}\left[\epsilon_{3}^{2}F^{2} - (1-F)^{2}\right]P_{2}^{2}(\omega^{2})}{(1-\omega^{2})\prod_{1}^{t}(\omega^{2}+z_{1}^{2})^{2}} = 0. \quad (10)$$

From Eq. 1, we can also write Eq. 10 in the form $\left[\operatorname{since} K_1 = (1/2\epsilon_3^n_2)\right]$

$$\left(\frac{d\mathbf{F}}{d\omega}\right)^{2} - \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}_{3}} \qquad \frac{\left[\epsilon^{2}_{3}\mathbf{F}^{2} - (1-\mathbf{F})^{2}\right]\mathbf{P}^{2}_{2}(\omega^{2})}{(1-\omega^{2})\prod_{i}(\omega^{2}+\mathbf{p}^{2}_{i})^{2}} = 0.$$
(11)

Comparing Eqs. 9 and 11 we conclude

$$k = \left(1 - \epsilon \frac{2}{3}\right)^{1/2} \epsilon_{3}$$
(12)

$$\mathbf{P}_{1}(\omega^{2}) = \mathbf{P}_{2}(\omega^{2}). \tag{13}$$

Eqs. 12 and 13 imply certain relationships between the zeros and poles of $F(\omega^2)$. For example, since both $G_1(\omega^2)$ and $G_2(\omega^2)$ are TRF, they may be written in the form of Eq. 5 with $n_1 = 0$ and $n_2 \ge 1$ respectively. Differentiating according to Eq. 6 we find respectively

$$\frac{\sqrt{1-\epsilon_3^2}}{\epsilon_3} \frac{P_1(\omega^2)}{R(\omega^2)} = \sqrt{1-\omega^2} \frac{d}{d\omega} \sum_{l=1}^{t} \tan^{-l} \frac{c_i \omega}{\sqrt{1-\omega^2}}$$
(14)

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{c_{i}}{c_{i}^{2} - 1} \left[\frac{1}{\omega^{2} + (1/c_{i}^{2} - 1)} \right]$$
(15)

and

$$2n_{2} \frac{P_{1}(\omega^{2})}{Q(\omega^{2})} = \sum_{1}^{r} \frac{c_{i}'}{c_{i}'^{2} - 1} \left[\frac{1}{\omega^{2} + (1/c_{i}'^{2} - 1)} \right] - 2n_{2}.$$
(16)

We can then solve for $P_1(\omega^2)$ in each equation and equate the respective coefficients. There result t nonlinear equations in r + t unknowns so that a Newton-Raphson or similar iterative solution can be attempted. A solution is guaranteed if all $r c_1^{\prime}$ are known; a unique solution is guaranteed if all $t c_1^{\prime}$ are known (Eqs. 2 and 3). If a combination of c_1^{\prime} and c_1^{\prime} are known, a solution may not exist; however, the existence of a solution of the coefficient equations is a necessary and sufficient condition for $F(\omega^2)$ to be equi-ripple when certain of its poles and zeros are known.

Another result of interest may be derived from Eqs. 12 and 13. For the special case of r = 0 (the all-pole equi-ripple function), we have p = r = 0 (from Eq. 16). Eq. 7 then becomes

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{dG}_{1}}{\mathrm{d}\omega}\right)^{2} - \frac{1-\epsilon_{3}^{2}}{\epsilon_{3}^{2}} \qquad \frac{\mathrm{F}^{2}\left(1-\mathrm{G}_{1}^{2}\right)}{1-\omega^{2}} = 0 \qquad (17)$$

$$\frac{\epsilon_3}{\sqrt{1-\epsilon_3^2}} \frac{\sqrt{1-\omega^2}}{\sqrt{1-G_1^2}} \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}G_1}{\mathrm{d}\omega} = \mathbf{F}.$$
(18)

Since $n_1 = 0$, Eqs. 5 and 18 combine to yield

$$\frac{\epsilon_{3}\sqrt{1-\omega^{2}}}{\sqrt{1-\epsilon_{3}^{2}}} \quad \frac{d}{d\omega} \left(2\sum_{1}^{t}\tan^{-1}\frac{c_{i}\omega}{\sqrt{1-\omega^{2}}}\right) = F \qquad (19)$$

or

$$\sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon_{3}}{\sqrt{1-\epsilon_{3}^{2}}}}\sum_{i=1}^{t}\frac{c_{i}}{n_{2}\left[(c_{i}^{2}-1)\omega^{2}+1\right]}}=\sum_{i=1}^{t}\frac{k_{i}}{\omega^{2}+p_{i}^{2}}$$
(20)

so that

$$k_{i} = \left(\frac{c_{i}}{c_{i}^{2} - 1}\right) \left(\frac{2\epsilon_{3}}{\sqrt{1 - \epsilon_{3}^{2}}}\right)$$
(21)

$$= \frac{2\epsilon_{3}p_{i}\sqrt{1+p_{i}^{2}}}{\sqrt{1-\epsilon_{3}^{2}}}$$
(22)

Thus we have a simple relation between the residues at the Tchebycheff poles (in ω^2) and the poles themselves. A curious relationship between the Tchebycheff poles and the poles of the equi-ripple group delay function may be derived from the above. It has been shown³ that

or

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\omega^{2} = -\omega_{i}^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} G(\omega^{2}) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{c_{i}^{2}}{(c_{i}^{2} - 1)^{2}} \qquad \frac{t}{k = 1} \frac{c_{k} + c_{i}}{c_{k} - c_{i}} \quad (23)$$

when n = 0 in Eq. 5. From Eq. 2, we have

$$k_{i} = \operatorname{Res}_{\omega^{2} = -p_{i}^{2}} \left[F(\omega^{2}) \right] = \frac{\epsilon_{3}}{1 - \epsilon_{3}^{2}} \operatorname{Res}_{\omega^{2} = -p_{i}^{2}} \left[G_{1}(\omega^{2}) \right]$$
(24)

requiring from Eq. 21,

$$1 - \frac{2\sqrt{1 - \epsilon_{3}^{2} c_{i}}}{(c_{i}^{2} - 1)} \qquad \frac{t}{k = 1} \frac{c_{k} + c_{i}}{c_{k} - c_{i}} = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, ... t.$$

$$k = 1 \qquad \neq i \qquad (25)$$

These equations which must be satisfied by the all-pole equi-ripple magnitude function are similar to the Eq. 3

$$1 + \frac{\epsilon c_i^2}{(c_i^2 - 1)} \xrightarrow{3/2} k = 1 \\ \frac{k}{\neq i} \xrightarrow{k = 1} (c_k + c_i) = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots t$$
(26)

which must be satisfied by the all-pole equi-ripple delay function. However, no explanation has been found for the ease with which the former problem is solved but not the latter.

> D. A. Calahan Dept. of Electrical Engineering University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois and University of California Berkeley, California

REFERENCES

- D. Helman, "Tchebycheff approximations for amplitude and delay with rational functions," <u>Proc. Symp. Modern Network</u> Synthesis - MRI Series, Vol. 5, pp. 385-402; 1955.
- C. B. Sharpe, "A general Tchebycheff rational function," <u>Proc.</u> IRE, Vol. 42, pp. 454-457; February 1954.
- 3. V. Ulbrich and H. Piloty, "Uber den entwürf von allpassen, tiefpassen, and bandpassen mit einer im Tchebycheffschen sinne approximierten konstanten gruppenlanfzeit, "<u>Archiv der</u> elektrischen ubertragung, Vol. 14, pp. 452-467; October 1960.



-

Fig. 1. Definition of tolerances.