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## 1. Introduction.

(1.1) Let $\left(B_{t} ; t \geq 0\right)$ be a 1-dimensional motion, starting from 0 .

Define

$$
A_{t}^{+}=\int_{0}^{t} d s 1_{\left(B_{s} \geq 0\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad A_{t}^{-}=\int_{0}^{t} d s 1_{\left(B_{s}<0\right)} .
$$

Lévy ([10], 1939) showed that, for each $t>0, \frac{1}{t} A^{+}(t)$ is arc sine distributed, i.e. :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\frac{A^{+}(t)}{t} \in d u\right)=\frac{d u}{\pi \sqrt{u(1-u)}} \quad(0<u<1) \tag{1.a}
\end{equation*}
$$

On his way to his result, Lévy proved that : for any $t>0, s>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t} A^{+}(t)(\text { law }) \frac{A^{+}(\tau(s))}{\tau(s)}\left(\equiv \frac{A^{+}(\tau(s))}{A^{+}(\tau(s))+A^{-}(\tau(s))}\right) \tag{1.b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $\tau(\mathrm{s}), \mathrm{s} \geq 0$ ) denotes the right-continuous inverse of the local time ( $\ell_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{t} \geq 0$ ) of Brownian motion at 0 .

The identity (1.a) is an easy consequence of (1.b) since, by excursion theory, $\left(\mathrm{A}^{+}(\tau(\mathrm{s})), \mathrm{s} \geq 0\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{A}^{-}(\tau(\mathrm{s})), \mathrm{s} \geq 0\right)$ are two independent stable ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) subordinators, which satisfy :

$$
A^{+}(\tau(s)) \stackrel{(l a w)}{=} A^{-}(\tau(s))(\text { law }) \frac{s^{2}}{4 N^{2}},
$$

where N is a standard gaussian, centered, reduced variable, so that from (1.b), we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~A}^{+}(\mathrm{t}) \stackrel{(\text { law })}{=} \frac{\mathrm{N}_{-}^{2}}{\mathrm{~N}_{+}^{2}+\mathrm{N}_{-}^{2}} \tag{1.c}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{+}$and $N_{-}$are two independent copies of $N$; since it is well known that the right-hand side of (1.c) is arc sine distributed, the identity (1.c) implies (1.a).
(1.2) Barlow-Pitman-Yor [2] obtained the following reinforcement of (1.b) : for every fixed $t>0$, and $s>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\ell_{t}^{2}}\left(A^{-}(t), A^{-}(t)\right) \stackrel{(l a w)}{=} \frac{1}{s^{2}}\left(A^{+}(\tau(s)), A^{-}(\tau(s))\right) \tag{1.d}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see that this is indeed a strenghtening of (1.b), remark that (1.d) is equivalent (by elementary algebraic manipulations) to :
(1.d')

$$
\frac{1}{t}\left(A^{+}(t), \ell_{t}^{2}\right) \stackrel{(l a w)}{=}\left(\frac{A^{+}(\tau(s))}{\tau(s)} ; \frac{s^{2}}{\tau(s)}\right) .
$$

The proof of (1.d) presented in [2] is done by replacing $t$ on the left-hand side of (1.d) by $T$, an exponential time independent of $B$, and using excursion theory. A short summary of this approach is presented in Revuz-Yor ([19], Exercise 2.17, p. 449-450).

A remarkable feature of (1.d) is that the laws of the 2-dimensional functional :

$$
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{u}) \equiv \frac{1}{\ell_{\mathrm{u}}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{+}(\mathrm{u}), \mathrm{A}^{-}(\mathrm{u})\right)
$$

taken at a fixed time $u=t$, where $B_{t} \neq 0$, a.s., and at time $u=\tau(s)$, where $B_{\tau(s)}=0$, a.s., are the same. In order to understand better what lies behind this coïncidence, Pitman-Yor [16] and Perman-Pitman-Yor [13] present some infinite dimensional identities (see, e.g., Theorem (1.1) of [16]) which, again, strenghten (1.d) ; in particular, there exists a rearrangement of the trajectory of the pseudo-Brownian bridge (using the terminology in [16]) :

$$
\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{1}}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{u} \tau_{1}} ; \mathrm{u} \leq 1\right)
$$

from which the law of $\left(B_{t} ; t \leq g\right)$, where $g \equiv \sup \left\{t<1: B_{t}=0\right\}$, is recovered (see [16], Theorem 1.3; and [13], Theorem 3.8).
(1.3) Brownian excursion theory plays an essential part in the proofs given in [16] and [13], and, as a consequence, it seemed a quite difficult task to modify the arguments of [16] and [13] to prove the following variant of (1.d), which is due to the second author ([14], [15]) : let $\mu>0$, and $\mathrm{t}>0, \mathrm{~s}>0$; then, the identity in law
(1.e) $\quad \frac{1}{\left(\ell_{t}^{(\mu)}\right)^{2}}\left(A^{\mu,+}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{A}^{\mu,-}(\mathrm{t})\right) \stackrel{(\text { law })}{=} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{\mu,+}\left(\tau^{\mu}(\mathrm{s})\right), \mathrm{A}^{\mu,-}\left(\tau^{\mu}(\mathrm{s})\right)\right)$
where $A^{\mu, \pm}(t)=\int_{0}^{t}$ ds $1\left(\left|B_{s}\right|-\mu \ell_{s} \in \mathbb{R}_{ \pm}\right)$,
$\left(\ell_{t}^{(\mu)}, \mathrm{t} \geq 0\right)$ denotes the local time at 0 of $\left(\left|B_{t}\right|-\mu \ell_{t} ; t \geq 0\right)$, and $\left(\tau^{\mu}(s), s \geq 0\right)$ is the right-continuous inverse of ( $\left.\ell_{t}^{(\mu)} ; t \geq 0\right)$.

As explained in [15] and [23], but only partly proven, both sides of (1.e) are distributed as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1}{Z_{1 / 2}}, \frac{1}{Z_{1 / 2 \mu}}\right) \tag{1.f}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, here, and in the sequel, $Z_{a}$ will denote a gamma variable with parameter a, i.e :

$$
P\left(Z_{a} \in d t\right)=d t t^{a-1} e^{-t} \quad(t>0)
$$

and the two gamma variables featured in (1.f) are independent.

The following extension of Lévy's arc sine law (1.a) is a consequence of the identity in law between the variables in (1.e) and (1.f) :
(1.g)

$$
A_{1}^{\mu,-(\text { law })} Z_{1 / 2,1 / 2 \mu}
$$

where $Z_{a, b}$ denotes a beta variable with parameters $a$ and $b$, i.e.

$$
P\left(Z_{a, b} \in d t\right)=\frac{d t}{B(a, b)} t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-1} d t \quad(0<t<1)
$$

(1.4) A few words of explanation may be in order concerning our interest in the variables $A^{\mu, \pm}(t)$ : it was found in [8] that the random variables $A^{\mu, \pm}(\tau(1)) \equiv \int_{0}^{\tau(1)}$ ds ${ }^{1}\left(\left|B_{s}\right|-\mu \ell_{s} \in \mathbb{R}_{ \pm}\right)$play an important role in the expressions of the limits in law of the winding numbers of 3-dimensional Brownian motion around curves going to infinity in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$; henceforth, it seemed natural to study the distributions of $A^{\mu, \pm}(t)$, for fixed time $t$. We now remark that these random variables occur similarly as the limits in law for two families of natural quantities related to 1 -dimensional Brownian motion $\left(B_{t} ; t \geq 0\right):$
(a) let $\mathrm{f}: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an integrable function, and define :

$$
F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} d u f\left(B_{u}\right) \text {, and } A_{t}^{f}=\int_{0}^{t} d s 1_{\left(\left|B_{s}\right| \geq F(s)\right)}
$$

Then, denoting : $\overline{\mathrm{f}}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{dx} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$, it is not difficult to prove :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{t}} A_{t}^{\mathrm{f}} \xrightarrow[\mathrm{t} \rightarrow \infty]{(\text { law })} A_{1}^{\overline{\mathrm{f}}_{1}+} \equiv \int_{0}^{1} d u{ }^{1}\left(\left|\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{u}}\right| \geq \overline{\mathrm{f}} \ell_{u}\right) \tag{1.h}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, using the scaling property of B , and the occupation time density formula, we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{t} A_{t}^{f(l a w)} \int_{0}^{1} d u 1 \quad\left(\left|B_{u}\right| \geq \sqrt{t} \int_{0}^{u} d h f\left(\sqrt{t} B_{h}\right)\right) \\
& (\text { law }) \int_{0}^{1} d u^{1}\left(\left|B_{u}\right| \geq \int d x f(x) \ell_{u}^{x /} \sqrt{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and we obtain (1.h) by letting $t \longrightarrow \infty$.
We remark that, in the case $\bar{f}=1$, which occurs in particular when $f$ is a probability density, the right-hand side of (1.h) is arc-sine distributed, since $\left(\left|B_{u}\right|-\ell_{u} ; u \geq 0\right)$ is a Brownian motion.
(b) The random variables $A^{\mu, \pm}(1)$ also occur as limits in law of the following random variables :

$$
\left.\frac{1}{t} E_{t}^{(\alpha) \operatorname{def}} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} d s 1 \quad \exp \left(B_{s}\right) \geq\left(\frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{s} d u \exp B_{u}\right)^{\alpha}\right\}
$$

which represents the fraction of time spent by the geometric Brownian motion $\left\{\exp \left(B_{s}\right), s \leq t\right\}$ above the $\alpha^{\text {th }}$-power of its average; we now prove :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{t}} E_{\mathrm{t}}^{(\alpha)} \xrightarrow[\mathrm{t} \rightarrow \infty]{(\operatorname{law)}} A_{1}^{\bar{\alpha},-} \equiv \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{du} 1\left(\left|B_{\mathrm{u}}\right| \leq \bar{\alpha} \ell_{u}\right), \text { where } \bar{\alpha}=1-\alpha \tag{1.i}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Obviously, in the case $\alpha \geq 1$, the right-hand side of (1.i) is equal to 0 ). To prove (1.i), we remark that :
and the right-hand side converges in law, as $t \longrightarrow \infty$, towards :

$$
\int_{0}^{1} d u{ }^{1}\left(B_{u} \geq \alpha S_{u}\right) \quad \text {, where } S_{u}=\sup _{s \leq u} B_{s}
$$

Now, using Lévy's equivalence : $\left(\left|B_{u}\right|, \ell_{u} ; u \geq 0\right)^{\text {(law) }}\left(S_{u}-B_{u}, S_{u} ; u \geq 0\right)$, we obtain :

$$
\int_{0}^{1} d{ }^{1}\left(B_{u} \geq \alpha S_{u}\right)(l \underline{\underline{a w}}) \int_{0}^{1} d u{ }^{1}\left(\left|B_{u}\right| \leq \bar{\alpha} \ell_{u}\right)
$$

which finishes the proof of (1.i).
(1.5) The main objective of this paper is to give a simple proof of the identity in law (1.e), relying essentially on Brownian scaling arguments, and on the independence of the processes

$$
\left(\mathrm{A}^{\mu,+}\left(\tau^{\mu}(\mathrm{s})\right), \mathrm{s} \geq 0\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\mathrm{A}^{\mu,-}\left(\tau^{\mu}(\mathrm{s})\right), \mathrm{s} \geq 0\right)
$$

This will be done in the third section of this paper, by modifying and developing some of the arguments of D. Williams [22], involving the process $\alpha_{t}^{+} \equiv \inf \left\{u: A_{u}^{+}>t\right\} ;$ for the reader's convenience, such modifications will be first presented in the second section of the paper, in order to derive (1.d) independently of the arguments of Barlow-Pitman-Yor [2] and Pitman-Yor [16].

To keep this introduction reasonably short, we briefly recall here that D. Williams' proof of the arc sine law (1.a) relies upon the identity : (1.j) $\left.\quad \alpha_{\mathrm{t}}^{+}=\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{A}^{-}\left(\alpha^{+}(\mathrm{t})\right) \equiv \mathrm{t}+\mathrm{A}_{\tau^{-}\left(\ell, \alpha^{+}(\mathrm{t})\right.}\right), \quad \mathrm{t} \geq 0, \quad$ (*)
and on the essential fact that the processes :
${ }^{\text {(*) }}$ For notational convenience, we shall write sometimes $\left(A_{\tau}^{-}(u), u \geq 0\right)$ or $\left(A^{-}(\tau(u)), u \geq 0\right)$ for the process $\left(A^{-}(u), u \geq 0\right)$, and similarly for $A^{+}$, and $\mathrm{A}^{\mu, \pm}$.
(1.k)

$$
\left.\left(\mathrm{A}^{-}(\tau(\mathrm{u})), \mathrm{u} \geq 0\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \ell_{\alpha^{+}(\mathrm{t})}, \mathrm{t} \geq 0\right) \equiv\left(\left(\mathrm{A}_{\tau}^{+}\right)^{-1}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{t} \geq 0\right)
$$ are independent.

This approach is detailed in Karatzas-Shreve [7], but, strangely enough, perhaps due to its apparent asymmetry, it is not discussed in either [2] or [16], in relation with (1.d).

In section 4, we develop some studies related to the process $\left(X_{t}=\left|B_{t}\right|-\mu \ell_{t} ; t \geq 0\right)$; in particular, we compare the law of $\left(X_{t}, t \leq 1\right)$, conditionned by $X_{1}=0$, to those of $\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{1}^{\mu}}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t} \tau_{1}^{\mu}} ; \mathrm{t} \leq 1\right)$ and of $\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{1}^{\mu}}} \mathrm{X}_{\operatorname{tg}_{1}^{\mu}} ; \mathrm{t} \leq 1\right)$, where $\mathrm{g}_{1}^{\mu}=\sup \left\{\mathrm{s}<1: \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}}=0\right\}$.

The first result is obtained just as in the Brownian case $(\mu=1)$, but the second is quite different, and seems to necessitate some involved computations.

In section 5, we show how the proof of (1.d) can be modified to obtain, in a similar way as above, some multidimensional extension of the arc sine law for Walsh's Brownian motions and Bessel processes taking values in $n$ rays in the plane ; the original result, which is the identiy (5.a) below, was also obtained in [2].
(1.6) Our incentive to develop thoroughly these various extensions of (1.d) has two origins :

- the first origin is that, as explained in (1.3) above, we wanted to give a simple explanation of the identity in law between the left-hand side of (1.e), and (1.f) ;
- the second origin is the result recently obtained by $S$. Watanabe [21] that the distributions featured in [2], for the time spent in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$by a skew Bessel process, are essentially the only possible limits in law, as $t \longrightarrow \infty$, of the quantities :

$$
\frac{1}{\mathrm{t}} A_{\mathrm{t}} \equiv \frac{1}{\mathrm{t}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{ds} 1_{\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}}>0\right)}
$$

where X is a generalized diffusion. To be precise, these distributions are the laws of the following ratios :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{p}^{1 / \mu} \mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{p}^{1 / \mu} \mathrm{T}+\mathrm{q}^{1 / \mu} \mathrm{T}}, \tag{1.८}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\mu<1, \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=1$, and T and T are two independent, one-sided stable variables, with index $\mu$. (J. Lamperti showed that the variables in (1.l) have a simple enough density ; see, e.g., [16] p. 343).
2. D. Williams' proof of the arc sine law and the identity (1.d).
(2.1) To begin with, we show how, using (1.j) and scaling arguments, one deduces (1.b) ; this is also presented succinctly in [23], p. 104-105.

We remark that, from (1.j) and (1.k), we have, by scaling :

$$
\alpha_{1}^{+}\left(\stackrel{(l a w)}{=} 1+\left(\ell_{\alpha^{+}(1)}^{2}\right)\left(A^{-}(\tau(1))\right) \stackrel{(l a w)}{=} 1+\frac{A^{-}(\tau(1))}{A^{+}(\tau(1))} \equiv \frac{\tau(1)}{A^{+}(\tau(1))},\right.
$$

and, finally, again by scaling :

$$
A_{1}^{+(l a w)} \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}^{+}}\left(\underline{\underline{a}}=\frac{A^{+}(\tau(1))}{\tau(1)}\right.
$$

which proves (1.b).
(2.2) Bootstrapping on the previous arguments, we shall prove the identity (1.d), as a consequence of the following

Proposition 2.1 : Let $F: C[0,1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a measurable functional. Then, we have :
(2.a)

$$
E\left[F\left(B_{u} ; u \leq 1\right) 1_{\left(B_{1}>0\right)}\right]=E\left[F\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{+}}} \mathrm{B}_{1 \alpha_{1}^{+}} ; s \leq 1\right) \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}^{+}}\right] .
$$

Proof : Let $T$ be an $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-valued random time, which is independent of $B$, and
whose law is given by : $P(T \in d t)=h(t) d t$,
for some probability density $h$ (e.g. : $h(t)=\exp (-t)$, but any probability density will do). Then, we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[F\left(B_{u} ; u \leq 1\right) 1_{\left(B_{1}>0\right)}\right]=E\left[F\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} B_{u T} ; u \leq 1\right){ }^{1}\left(B_{T}>0\right)\right] \\
& =\int_{0}^{+\infty} d t h(t) E\left[1_{\left(B_{t}>0\right)} F\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} B_{s t} ; s \leq 1\right)\right] \\
& =E\left[\int_{0}^{+\infty} d A_{t}^{+} h(t) F\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} B_{u t} ; u \leq 1\right)\right] \\
& =E\left[\int_{0}^{+\infty} d u h\left(\alpha_{u}^{+}\right) F\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{u}^{+}}} B_{s \alpha_{u}^{+}} ; s \leq 1\right)\right] \\
& =\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{duE}\left[\mathrm{~h}\left(\mathrm{u} \mathrm{\alpha}_{1}^{+}\right) \mathrm{F}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{+}}} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s} \alpha_{1}^{+}} ; \mathrm{s} \leq 1\right)\right] \\
& =E\left[\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}^{+}}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{dvh}(\mathrm{v})\right) \mathrm{F}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{+}}} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s} \alpha_{1}^{+}} ; \mathrm{s} \leq 1\right)\right] \quad \text { (taking : } \mathrm{v}=\mathrm{u} \alpha_{1}^{+} \text {) } \\
& =E\left[\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}^{+}} \mathrm{F}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{+}}} \mathrm{B}{\mathrm{~s} \alpha_{1}^{+}} ; \mathrm{s} \leq 1\right)\right] \quad \text { (since } \mathrm{h} \text { is a probability density). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.1.1 : (i) Let $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a Borel function; then :
(2.b) ${ }_{+} E\left[f\left(\frac{A_{1}^{+}, A_{1}^{-}}{\ell_{1}^{2}}\right) 1_{\left(B_{1}>0\right)}\right]=E\left[\frac{A^{+}(\tau(1))}{\tau(1)}\left(A_{\tau(1)}^{+}, A_{\tau(1))}^{-}\right)\right]$.
(ii) The identity in law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\ell_{1}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{+}, \mathrm{A}_{1}^{-}\right) \stackrel{(\text { law }}{=}\left(\mathrm{A}^{+}(\tau(1)), \mathrm{A}^{-}(\tau(1))\right) \tag{1.d}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds ;
(iii)

$$
P\left(B_{1}>0 \mid A_{1}^{+}=a, \ell_{1}\right)=a
$$

Proof : (i) From (2.a), the left-hand side of (2.b) ${ }_{+}$is equal to :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}^{+}} \mathrm{f}\left(\frac{1}{\ell_{\alpha_{1}^{+}}^{2}} ; \frac{\mathrm{A}_{\alpha^{+}}^{-}(1)}{\ell_{\alpha_{1}^{+}}^{2}}\right)\right] \\
& =E\left[\frac { 1 } { 1 + \mathrm { A } _ { \tau ^ { - } } ^ { ( \ell _ { \alpha ^ { + } ( 1 ) } ) } } \mathrm { f } \left(\frac{1}{\ell_{\alpha^{+}(1)}^{2}} ; \frac{\left.\left.\mathrm{A}_{\tau^{-}\left(\ell_{\alpha^{+}(1)}\right)}^{\ell_{\alpha^{+}(1)}^{2}}\right)\right] \quad \text { (from (1.j)). }}{} .\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the same scaling arguments as in subsection (2.1), we find that the last written quantity is equal to the right-hand side of (2.b) ${ }_{+}$.
(ii) Replacing $B$ by $-B$ in (2.b) ${ }_{+}$, we also obtain :
(2.b)

$$
E\left[f\left(\frac{A_{1}^{+}, A_{1}^{-}}{\ell_{1}^{2}}\right) 1_{\left(B_{1}<0\right)}\right]=E\left[\frac{A^{-}(\tau(1))}{\tau(1)} f\left(A_{\tau(1)}^{+}, A_{\tau(1)}^{-}\right)\right]
$$

so that, adding (2.b) and (2.b) , we obtain :

$$
E\left[f\left(\frac{A_{1}^{+}, A_{1}^{-}}{\ell_{1}^{2}}\right)\right]=E\left[f\left(A_{\tau(1)}^{+}, A_{\tau(1)}^{-}\right)\right]
$$

which is equivalent to (1.d).
(iii) Making use jointly of (2.b) and (1.d), we obtain :

$$
E\left[f\left(\frac{A_{1}^{+}, A_{1}^{-}}{\ell_{1}^{2}}\right) \frac{\left.{ }_{( }^{1} B_{1}>0\right)}{A_{1}^{+}}\right]=E\left[f\left(A_{\tau(1)}^{+}, A_{\tau(1)}^{-}\right)\right]=E\left[f\left(\frac{A_{1}^{+}, A_{1}^{-}}{\ell_{1}^{2}}\right)\right]
$$

so that :

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}>0 \mid \mathrm{A}_{1}^{+}, \ell_{1}\right)=\mathrm{A}_{1}^{+}
$$

ㅁ

If we use, together with the identity (2.a), the well-known result :
(2.c) $\quad\left(\mathrm{B}_{\alpha^{+}(\mathrm{t})}, \mathrm{t} \geq 0\right)$ is a reflecting Brownian motion,
(see, e.g. : Mc Kean [11], Karatzas-Shreve [7],...),
we obtain the following description of the joint law of $\left(A_{1}^{+}, \ell_{1}, B_{1}\right)$, which, as the reader may easily check, agrees with the formula given by Karatzas-Shreve ([7], p. 423).

Corollary 2.1.2 : We use the notation: $A_{1}^{\varepsilon}=A_{1}^{+}$, if $B_{1}>0 ; A_{1}^{\varepsilon}=A_{1}^{-}$, if $B_{1}<0$.

Then, we have for every Borel $g: \mathbb{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, and $a_{+}, \mathrm{a}_{-} \geq 0$ :

$$
E\left[\left.g\left(\frac{\left|\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right|}{\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)\right|_{\frac{1}{\ell_{1}^{+}}} ^{\mathrm{A}_{1}^{+}}=a_{+}, \frac{\mathrm{A}_{1}^{-}}{\ell_{1}^{2}}=a_{-}\right]
$$

(2.d)

$$
=\left(\frac{a_{+}}{a_{+}+a_{-}}\right) E\left[g\left(\left|B_{1}\right|\right) \left\lvert\, \ell_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{a_{+}}}\right.\right]+\frac{a_{-}}{a_{+}+a_{-}} E\left[g\left(\left|B_{1}\right|\right) \left\lvert\, \ell_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{a_{-}}}\right.\right] .
$$

Proof : a) Let $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, and $g: \mathbb{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be two Borel functions. Then, we have, from formula (2.a) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[1_{\left(B_{1}>0\right)} f\left(\frac{\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{+}, \mathrm{A}_{1}^{-}\right)}{\ell_{1}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{g}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~B}_{1}}{\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{+}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)\right]=\mathrm{E}\left[\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}^{+}} \mathrm{f}\left(\frac{\left(1, \mathrm{~A}_{\alpha^{+}(1)}^{-}\right)}{\ell_{\alpha^{+}(1)}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\alpha^{+}(1)}\right)\right] \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left[\frac{1}{\left(1+\mathrm{A}_{\alpha^{+}(1)}^{-}\right)} \mathrm{f}\left(\frac{\left.1, \mathrm{~A}_{\alpha^{+}(1)}^{-}\right)}{\ell_{\alpha^{+}(1)}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\alpha^{+}(1)}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad=\mathrm{E}\left[\frac{1}{1+\mathrm{A}^{-}\left(\tau\left(\ell_{\alpha_{1}^{+}}\right)\right)} \mathrm{f}\left(\frac{\left(1, \mathrm{~A}_{\alpha^{+}(1)}^{-}\right)}{\ell_{\alpha^{+}(1)}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\alpha^{+}(1)}\right)\right] \\
& \text { (2.e) } \quad=\mathrm{E}\left[\frac{1}{1+\left(\ell_{\alpha^{+}(1)}^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{A}^{-}(\tau(1))\right)} \mathrm{f}\left(\frac{1}{\ell_{\alpha^{+}(1)}^{2}} ; \mathrm{A}^{-}(\tau(1))\right) \mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\alpha^{+}(1)}\right)\right] \text { (by scaling) } \\
& \text { (2.f) } \quad=\mathrm{E}\left[\frac{1}{\left(1+\ell_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~T}^{-}\right)} \mathrm{f}\left(\frac{1}{4 \ell_{1}^{2}} ; \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~T}^{-}\right) \mathrm{g}\left(\left|\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right|\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where, for the last two equalities, $\left.4 \mathrm{~A}^{-}(\tau(1)){ }^{(l \mathrm{a} w}\right) \mathrm{T}^{-}$denotes a standard one-sided stable $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ variable, which is independent of the reflecting Brownian motion $\left(B_{\alpha^{+}}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{t} \geq 0\right)$ in (2.e), and of the pair $\left(\left|\mathrm{B}_{1}\right|, \ell_{1}\right)$ in (2.f). To justify the last equality, we have used (2.c).
b) By symmetry, we may now write :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[f\left(\frac{\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{+}, \mathrm{A}_{1}^{-}\right)}{\ell_{1}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{g}\left(\frac{\left|\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right|}{\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)\right] \\
= & \mathrm{E}\left[\frac{\tilde{\ell}_{1}^{2}}{\left(\ell_{1}^{2}+\ell_{1}^{2}\right)} \mathrm{f}\left(\frac{1}{4 \ell_{1}^{2}}, \frac{1}{4 \tilde{\ell}_{1}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{g}\left(\left|\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right|\right)\right]+\mathrm{E}\left[\frac{\ell_{1}^{2}}{\left(\ell_{1}^{2}+\ell_{1}^{2}\right)} \mathrm{f}\left(\frac{1}{4 \ell_{1}^{2}}, \frac{1}{4 \mathfrak{\ell}_{1}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{g}\left(\left|\tilde{B}_{1}\right|\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

(2.g)
where B and $\tilde{\mathrm{B}}$ denote two independent 1 -dimensional Brownian motions, and $\ell$ and $\mathfrak{l}$ their respective local times at 0 .
The identity (2.d) now follows easily from (2.g).

## 3. Some extensions of the arc sine law to perturbed reflecting Brownian

 motion.(3.1) Some notation. Throughout this section, $\mu$ will denote a fixed positive real, and $\left(X_{t}=\left|B_{t}\right|-\mu \ell_{t} ; t \geq 0\right)$ is the reflecting Brownian motion
$\left(\left|B_{t}\right|, t \geq 0\right)$ perturbed by the subtraction of $\mu$ times the local time of $B$ at 0 .

As announced in the Introduction, we are interested in the computation of the distribution of :

$$
A_{t}^{\mu,+\operatorname{def}} \int_{0}^{t} d s 1_{\left(X_{s}>0\right)}
$$

and, as above, the local time $\left(\ell_{t}^{(\mu)}, t \geq 0\right)$ of $X$ at 0 will play an important role, together with its right continuous inverse ( $\left.\tau^{\mu}(\mathrm{s}), \mathrm{s} \geq 0\right)$.
(3.2) The methodology of the proof of (1.e) which is adopted here is the same as that of (1.d), developed in Section 2 above. However, in order to make this methodology effective, we first need to describe some essential properties of the 2 -dimensional process $\left\{\mathrm{A}^{\mu,+}\left(\tau^{\mu}(\mathrm{s})\right), \mathrm{A}^{\mu,-}\left(\tau^{\mu}(\mathrm{s})\right) ; \mathrm{s} \geq 0\right\}$.

Proposition 3.1 : (i) The processes $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\mu,+}\left(\tau^{\mu}(\mathrm{s})\right), \mathrm{s} \geq 0\right)$ and ( $\left.A^{\mu,-}\left(\tau^{\mu}(\mathrm{s})\right), \mathrm{s} \geq 0\right)$ are independent;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (ii) For every } \lambda>0 \text {, one has : } \\
& \left(\mathrm{A}^{\mu, \pm}\left(\tau^{\mu}(\lambda \mathrm{s})\right), \mathrm{s} \geq 0\right)^{(l a \underline{a} w)}\left(\lambda^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\mu, \pm}\left(\tau^{\mu}(\mathrm{s})\right), \mathrm{s} \geq 0\right) \\
& \quad \text { (iii) For every } \mathrm{s}>0 \text {, one has : } \\
& \frac{1}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}} \mathrm{~A}^{\mu,+}\left(\tau^{\mu}(\mathrm{s})\right){ }^{(l a w)} \frac{1}{8 Z_{1 / 2}} \text { and } \frac{1}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}} \mathrm{~A}^{\mu,-\left(\tau^{\mu}(\mathrm{s})\right)}{ }^{(l a w)} \frac{1}{8 Z_{1 / 2 \mu}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof : (i) This independence result is a particular consequence of the more general statement made in Theorem 3.2 below.
(ii) This point follows immediately from the scaling property of B.
(iii) This is proven in Chapter 9 of [23], Theorem 9.1 and Corollary 9.1.1. ; this Theorem 9.1 is a Ray-Knight theorem for the local times of $X$ considered up to time $\tau_{s}^{\mu}$; a generalized version of it is presented in Theorem 3.3. below

It should now be clear to the reader that the main identities of Section 2 extend when $B$ is replaced by $X, \alpha^{+}$by $\alpha^{\mu,+}, \tau$ by $\tau^{\mu}$, and so on ; in particular, we have :

- the $\mu$-variant of (2.a) :
(3.a)

$$
E\left[F\left(X_{u} ; u \leq 1\right) 1_{\left(X_{1}>0\right)}\right]=E\left[F\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{\mu,+}}} X_{s \alpha_{1}^{\mu,+}}^{\mu} ; s \leq 1\right) \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}^{\mu,+}}\right]
$$

- the $\mu$-variant of (2.b) :
(3.6) ${ }_{+} E\left[f\left(\frac{A_{1}^{\mu,+}, A_{1}^{\mu,-}}{\left(\ell_{1}^{(\mu)}\right)^{2}}\right) \mathbf{1}\left(X_{1>0)}\right]=E\left[\frac{A_{1}^{\mu,+}\left(\tau^{\mu(1))}\right.}{\tau^{\mu}{ }_{(1)}} \mathrm{f}\left(A_{\tau^{\mu,+}{ }_{(1)}^{\mu}, A^{\mu,-} \tau_{(1)}^{\mu}}^{\mu_{(1)}}\right)\right]\right.$
- the $\mu$-variant of (1.d) : for $t>0$, and $s>0$,
(1.e)

$$
\frac{1}{\left(\ell_{t}^{(\mu)}\right)^{2}}\left(A_{t}^{\mu,+}, A_{t}^{\mu,-}\right)^{(l a w)} \frac{1}{s^{2}}\left(A^{\mu,+}\left(\tau^{\mu}(s)\right), A^{\mu,-}\left(\tau^{\mu(s)))}\right.\right.
$$

from which we deduce (1.f) and (1.g), thanks to Proposition 3.1.

- the $\mu$-variant of point (iii) in Corollary 2.1.1 :
(3.c)

$$
P\left(X_{1}>0 \mid A^{\mu,+}=a, \ell_{1}^{(\mu)}\right)=a
$$

(3.3) We now complete the proof of Proposition 3.1 by showing the more general

Theorem 3.2 : For $\mathrm{t} \geq 0$, define $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\left.L_{t}^{+}=\left(\ell_{\tau}^{(\mu), x} ; x \geq 0\right) ; \mathrm{t} \geq 0\right\} \\ \tau_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mu}\end{array}\right.$
and $\left.\left\{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}^{-}=\underset{\tau_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mu}}{(\mu),-\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{x} \geq 0\right) ; \mathrm{t} \geq 0\right\}$ two continuous processes [as functions of $t \geq 0]$ taking their values in the space $\Sigma=C_{C}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$of continuous functions $f:{\underset{\mathbb{R}}{+}}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{R}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ with compact support. Then
(i) the processes $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}^{+} ; \mathrm{t} \geq 0\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}^{-} ; \mathrm{t} \geq 0\right)$ are independent;
(ii) each of them is an homogeneous Markov process ;
(iii) the process $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}^{+} ; \mathrm{t} \geq 0\right)$ has independent increments, and for each $t>0$, the distribution of the variable $L_{t}^{+}$is $Q_{t}^{0}$, the law of the square of a 0-dimensional Bessel process starting from t .

Proof : 1) We first remark that $\left(\ell_{t}^{(\mu)} ; t \geq 0\right)$ is an additive functional of the 2-dimensional Markov process $\left\{\tilde{B}_{\mathrm{t}} \equiv\left(\left|\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{t}}\right|, \ell_{\mathrm{t}}\right) ; \mathrm{t} \geq 0\right\}$; as a consequence, the process ( $\hat{B}_{t}{ }^{\text {def }}{ }_{\tilde{B}} \tau_{t}^{\mu} ; t \geq 0$ ) is also an homogeneous Markov process; we then remark that the two components of $\hat{B}_{t}$, namely : $\mid{ }_{B_{t}}^{\tau_{t}^{\mu} \mid}$ and ${ }_{\ell_{t}}^{\tau_{t}^{\mu}}$ are related by : $\left|{ }_{\mathrm{B}}^{\tau_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mu}}{ }^{\mu}\right|=\mu \ell_{\tau_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mu}}^{\mu}$; hence, the process $\left(\left|\mathrm{B}{ }_{\tau_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mu}}\right| ; \mathrm{t} \geq 0\right)$ is itself an homogeneous Markov process ; since $-\mu \ell \underset{\tau_{t}^{\mu}}{\mu}=\inf _{s \leq \tau_{t}^{\mu}}^{\mu} X_{s}$, the r.v. $\left|{ }_{i} \underset{\tau_{t}^{\mu}}{\mu}\right|$ is measurable with respect to the $\sigma$-field generated by $L_{t}^{-}$.

The same arguments prove that $\left(L_{t} \equiv\left(L_{t}^{+}, L_{t}^{-}\right) ; t \geq 0\right)$ is an homogeneous Markov process. Moreover, since, for every $\mathrm{t},\left|{ }_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{t}}}^{\mu}\right|$ is measurable with res-
pect to $\sigma\left(L_{t}^{-}\right)$, it is obvious that $L^{-} \equiv\left(L_{t}^{-} ; t \geq 0\right)$ is, by itself, an homogeneous Markov process.
2) We now proceed to the proof of the independence of the processes ( $L_{t}^{+} ; t \geq 0$ ) and ( $L_{t}^{-} ; t \geq 0$ ) ; this will be obtained from a recurrence argument bearing upon the dimension $k$ of the marginals $\left(L_{t_{1}}^{+}, \ldots, L_{t_{k}}^{+}\right)$and $\left(L_{t_{1}}^{-}, \ldots, L_{t_{k}^{-}}^{-}\right)$for $t_{1}<t_{2}<\ldots<t_{k}$, of the processes $\left(L_{t}^{+} ; t \geq 0\right)$ and $\left(L_{t}^{-} ; t \geq 0\right)$.

- first, we already know, for $k=1$, by Theorem 9.1 in [23], that for a given $t_{1} \equiv t>0, L_{t}^{+}$and $L_{t}^{-}$are independent ;
- next, we assume that, for $t_{1}<t_{2}<\ldots<t_{k-1}<t_{k}$, the ( $k-1$ ) dimensional marginals $\left(L_{t_{1}}^{+}, \ldots, L_{t_{k-1}}^{+}\right)$and $\left(L_{t_{1}}^{-}, \ldots, L_{t_{k-1}}^{-}\right)$are independent.

Then, we know, from the Markov property of the process $\left(\left(L_{t}^{+}, L_{t}^{-}\right) ; t \geq 0\right)$, that for any measurable $F: \Sigma \times \Sigma \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$:

$$
E\left[F\left(L_{t_{k}}^{+}, L_{t_{k}}^{-}\right) \mid \sigma\left\{L_{s} ; s \leq t_{k-1}\right\}\right]=E\left[F\left(L_{t_{k}}^{+}, L_{t_{k}}^{-}\right) \mid L_{t_{k-1}}^{+}, L_{t_{k-1}}^{-}\right]
$$

so that, to finish the recurrence argument, it remains to prove that for two positive reals $s<t$,
the pairs $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}}^{+}, \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}^{+}\right)$and $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}^{-}}^{-} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}^{-}\right)$are independent,
or, equivalently, for $\left.F_{i}(\ell) \equiv \exp \left(-<\ell, \varphi_{i}\right\rangle\right)$ and $G_{i}(\ell) \equiv \exp \left(-<\ell, \psi_{i}>\right), i=1,2$,
where $\left\{\varphi_{i}, \psi_{i} ; i=1,2\right\}$ are four continuous functions with compact support
on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, and : $\langle\ell, \mathrm{f}\rangle=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{dx} \ell^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$, we have :
(3.d)

$$
E\left[F_{1}\left(L_{s}^{+}\right) G_{1}\left(L_{s}^{-}\right) F_{2}\left(L_{t}^{+}\right) G_{2}\left(L_{t}^{-}\right)\right]=E\left[F_{1}\left(L_{s}^{+}\right) F_{2}\left(L_{t}^{+}\right) E\left[G_{1}\left(L_{s}^{-}\right) G_{2}\left(L_{t}^{-}\right)\right] .\right.
$$

The left-hand side of (3.d) is equal to :
$\mathrm{E}\left[\exp \left\{-\left\langle\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}}, \varphi_{1}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}^{-}, \psi_{1}}^{-}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}^{+}, \varphi_{1}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}, \psi_{2}\right\rangle\right\}\right]$
$=E\left[\exp \left\{-<\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}^{\prime}}^{+}, \varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}^{-}}^{-}, \psi_{1}+\psi_{2}>\right\} \mathrm{E}_{\hat{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{s}}}\left(\exp \left\{-<\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{s}^{\prime}}^{+}, \varphi_{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{s}^{\prime}}^{-}, \psi_{2}>\right\}\right)\right]$
(from the Markov property for $\left(L_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ )
$=E\left[\exp \left(-<\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}}^{+} ; \varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}>\right)\right] \mathrm{E}\left[\exp \left(-<\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}}^{-} ; \psi_{1}+\psi_{2}>\right) \mathrm{E}_{\hat{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}}}\left(\exp \left\{-<\mathrm{L}_{\left.\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{s}^{\prime}, \varphi_{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{s}^{\prime}}^{-}, \psi_{2}\right\rangle\right\}\right)\right]}\right.\right.\right.$
from the independence of $L_{s}^{+}$and $L_{s}^{-}$, and the fact that $\hat{B}_{s}$ is measurable with respect to $\sigma\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}}^{-}\right)$.

It is now clear that the identity (3.d) will be proven, together with the independence and the homogeneity of the increments of the process $\left(L_{t}^{+} ; t \geq 0\right)$ if we show :

$$
E_{\hat{B}_{s}}\left(\exp \left\{-<L_{t-s}^{+}, \varphi_{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle L_{t-s}^{-}, \psi_{2}>\right\}\right)
$$

(3.e)

$$
=E\left[\operatorname { e x p } ( - \langle L _ { t - s ^ { \prime } } ^ { + } , \varphi _ { 2 } > ) ] E _ { \hat { B } _ { s } } \left[\exp \left(-\left\langle L_{t-s^{\prime}}^{-}, \psi_{2}>\right)\right] .\right.\right.
$$

In (3.e), the notation $E_{\hat{B}_{s}}$ refers to the family of distributions of the Markov process $\left(\left|B_{t}\right|, \ell_{t} ; t \geq 0\right)$ starting from $(a, \xi)$ with, furthermore :

$$
\mathrm{a}=\left|\mathrm{B}_{\tau_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mu}}\right| \quad, \quad \text { and } \quad \xi=\ell_{\tau_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mu}}^{\mu}=\frac{\mathrm{a}}{\mu}
$$

Since $\left(\ell_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ is an additive functional of $\left(\left|B_{t}\right|, t \geq 0\right)$, we have, in general :

$$
E_{a, \xi}\left[F\left(\left|B_{t}\right|, \ell_{t} ; t \geq 0\right)\right]=E_{a}\left[F\left(\left|B_{t}\right|, \ell_{t}+\xi ; t \geq 0\right)\right]
$$

where $P_{a}$ is now simply the distribution of $\left(\left|B_{t}\right|, t \geq 0\right)$, starting from $a$
(and, in (3.e), $E$ refers to $P_{0}$ ).

Once this notation has been made precise, we remark that :
(3.f)

$$
E_{a, \frac{a}{\mu}}\left[\exp \left\{-\left\langle L_{t-s}^{+}, \varphi_{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle L_{t-s}^{-}, \psi_{2}>\right\}\right]=E_{a}\left[\exp \left\{-<L_{t-s}^{a,+}, \varphi_{2}\right\rangle-<L_{t-s^{\prime}}^{\left.\left.a,-, \psi_{2}>\right\}\right]}\right.\right.
$$

where :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{a},+} \equiv \underset{\tau_{\mathrm{t}}^{\left(\ell^{\prime}\right.}}{\mu, \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{x} \geq 0\right) ; \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{a},-} \equiv\left(\ell_{\tau_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mu}}^{\mu, \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{x} \geq 0\right) \tag{3.g}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\left(\ell_{u}^{\mu, y} ; u \geq 0\right)$ denotes the local time at level $y$ of the process $\left(X_{u} \equiv\left|B_{u}\right|-\mu \ell_{u} ; u \geq 0\right)$, while $\left(\tau_{t}^{\mu, a} ; t \geq 0\right)$ is the right continuous inverse of $\left(\ell_{u}^{\mu, a} ; u \geq 0\right)$.

It now follows from the Ray-Knight theorem stated as Theorem 3.3. below that the right-hand side of (3.f) is equal to :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.E_{a}\left[\exp \left\{-<L_{t-s}^{a,+}, \varphi_{2}\right\rangle\right\}\right] E_{a}\left[\exp \left\{-<L_{t-s}^{a,-}, \psi_{2}\right\rangle\right\}\right] \\
& \left.\left.=E\left[\exp \left\{-<L_{t-s}^{+}, \varphi_{2}\right\rangle\right\}\right] E_{a}\left[\exp \left\{-<L_{t-s}^{a,-}, \psi_{2}\right\rangle\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (3.e).

In order to complete the above proof, we state a Ray-Knight theorem which describes the law of the local times processes in (3.g) ; this theorem generalizes Theorem 9.1 in [23], with an analogous proof ; hence, details will not be reproduced.

Theorem 3.3 : Let $a \geq 0$, and $t>0$ be fixed.
Consider $\left(\left|B_{t}\right|, t \geq 0\right)$ a reflecting Brownian motion starting from $a$, and
$\left(\ell_{t}^{\mu, x} ; x \in \mathbb{R}\right)$ the family of local times of $\left(X_{u} \equiv\left|B_{u}\right|-\mu \ell_{u} ; u \geq 0\right)$, considered at time $\tau_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mu, \mathrm{a}} \equiv \inf \left\{\mathrm{u}: \ell_{\mathrm{u}}^{\mu, \mathrm{a}}>\mathrm{t}\right\}$. Then :
(i) the two processes $\left.\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{a},+} \equiv \underset{\tau_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mu, \mathrm{a}}}{\left(\ell^{\mu, \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{a}}\right.} ; \mathrm{x} \geq 0\right)$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{a},-} \equiv\left(\ell_{\tau_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mu, \mathrm{a}}}^{\mu, \mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{x} \geq 0\right)$ are independent ;
(ii) $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{a},+}$ is, as a process in $\mathrm{x} \geq 0$, a $B E S Q_{t}^{0}$, that is : the square starting at $t$, of a 0 -dimensional Bessel process ;
(iii) $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{a},-}$ is, as a process in $\mathrm{x} \geq 0$, an inhomogeneous Markov process, which is a $B E S Q_{\mathrm{t}}^{0}$ on the x -interval [0,a], and a BESQ ${ }^{2-\frac{2}{\mu}}$ process on [a, $[$; both processes are absorbed at 0 .

Important remark : Theorem 3.3 extends, for all $\mu>0$, the two main Ray-Knight theorems known for Brownian local times ( $\mu=1$ ) and, moreover, it allows to unify their statements, with the introduction of the stopping times $\tau_{t}^{\mu, a}$. To see this, we recall these two theorems (see, e.g., [19], Chapter 11, paragraph 2), by refering ourselves to particular cases considered in Theorem 3.3 :
$\alpha$ ) if we take $\mu=1$, and $a=0$, then $L_{t}^{0,+}$ and $L_{t}^{0,-}$ are two independent $\mathrm{BESQ}_{\mathrm{t}}^{0}$ processes indexed by $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$;
$\beta$ ) if we take $\mu=1, \mathrm{t}=0$, and $\mathrm{a}>0$, then : $\tau_{0}^{1, a} \equiv \inf \left\{\mathrm{t}:\left|\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{t}}\right|-\ell_{\mathrm{t}}=a\right\}$ is the first hitting time of a by the 1 -dimensional Brownian motion $\left\{\left|B_{t}\right|-\ell_{t} ; t \geq 0\right\}$ and, from (iii) above, $L_{0}^{a,-}$ is, as a process in $x \geq 0$, an inhomogeneous Markov process which is a $\mathrm{BESQ}_{0}^{2}$ on the x -interval $[0, a]$, and a $\mathrm{BESQ}^{\circ}$ on $[a, \infty[$.

Independently of its interest for the proof of Theorem 3.2, we will use Theomem 3.3 in section 4 for the proof of Theorem 4.7.

We now give a last Ray-Knight theorem from which we will deduce the distribution of $T^{\mu, a} \equiv \inf \left\{u ;\left|B_{u}\right|-\mu \ell_{u}=a\right\}$, at least for $a>0$.

Theorem 3.4 : Let $a \geq 0$, and $\mathrm{t}>0$ be fixed. Consider $\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{t}} ; \mathrm{t} \geq 0\right)$ a standard Brownian motion, and $\left(\ell_{\tau_{t}^{\mu,}}^{\mu, \mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{x} \in \mathbb{R}\right)$ the family of local times of $\left(X_{u} \equiv\left|B_{u}\right|-\mu \ell_{u} ; u \geq 0\right)$, considered at time $\tau_{t}^{\mu, a} \equiv \inf \left\{u: \ell_{u}^{\mu, a}>t\right\}$. Then : (i) the two processes $\left.\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{a},+} \equiv \underset{\tau_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mu, \mathrm{a}}}{\left(\ell^{\mu, \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{a}}\right.} ; \mathrm{x} \geq 0\right)$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{a},-} \equiv\left(\ell_{\tau_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mu, \mathrm{a}}}^{\mu, \mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{x} \geq 0\right)$ are independent ;
(ii) $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{a},+}$ is, as a process in $\mathrm{x} \geq 0$, a $\operatorname{BESQ}_{t}^{\mathrm{O}}$;
(iii) $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{a},-}$ is, as a process in $\mathrm{x} \geq 0$, an inhomogeneous Markov process, which is a $B E S Q_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}$ on the x-interval $[0, \mathrm{a}]$, and a $B E S Q^{2-\frac{2}{\mu}}$ process absorbed at 0 on $[a, \infty[$.

From this, we deduce the:

Corollary 3.4.1 $:$ Let $T^{\mu, a} \equiv \inf \left\{u:\left|B_{u}\right|-\mu \ell_{u}=a\right\}$.
(i) if $a>0$, then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} T^{\mu, a}\right)\right]=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{(\operatorname{sh}(\lambda a))^{1 / \mu} d x}{(\operatorname{sh}(\mu x+\lambda a))^{1+1 / \mu}} \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{+\infty} d t \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} t\right) \sqrt{2 / \pi} t^{-3 / 2} \frac{a}{\mu+1} \sum_{n \geq 0}(2 n+1) \frac{\left(\frac{\mu-1}{2 \mu}\right)_{n}}{\left(\frac{3 \mu+1}{2 \mu}\right)_{n}} \exp \left(-a^{2}(2 n+1)^{2} / 2 t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(\alpha)_{n} \equiv \alpha(\alpha+1) \ldots(\alpha+n-1)$, and $(\alpha)_{0} \equiv 1$, and,

$$
a+\mu \ell_{T^{\mu, a}} \stackrel{(\text { law })}{a} \frac{a}{Z_{1 / \mu, 1}}
$$

(ii) if $\mathrm{a} \leq 0, \mathrm{~T}^{\mu, \mathrm{a}}$ has the same law as the first hitting time of $(-\mathrm{a} / \mu)$ by a standard Brownian motion.

Proof : (i) We remark that: $\tau_{0}^{\mu, a \operatorname{def}} \inf \left\{u ; \ell_{u}^{\mu, a}>0\right\}$ is precisely equal to $\mathrm{T}^{\mu, \mathrm{a}}$. Then, according to Theorem 3.4 and usual computations about squares of Bessel processes, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\exp -\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} T^{\mu, a}\right]=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} E\left[\exp -\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \tau_{t}^{\mu, a}\right] \\
= & \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{Q}_{t}^{0}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty} Y_{x} d x\right)\right] \mathbb{Q}_{t}^{2}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{a} Y_{x} d x\right) \mathbb{Q}_{Y}^{2-2 / \mu}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} Z_{x} d x\right)\right]\right] \\
= & \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \exp -\frac{\lambda}{2} t \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu+1}{2 \mu}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)} \mathbb{Q}_{t}^{2}\left[\left(\lambda Y_{a}\right)^{1 / 2 \mu} K_{1 / 2 \mu}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} Y_{a}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{a} Y_{x} d x\right)\right] \\
= & \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \exp -\frac{\lambda}{2} t \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2 \mu}\right)} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathbb{Q}_{t}^{2}\left[\exp \left(\frac{N \lambda}{2} Y_{a} / \sqrt{2 s}-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{a} Y_{x} d x\right)\right] e^{-s} s^{1 / 2 \mu-1} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where N is an independent standard gaussian, centered, reduced variable. The result follows, after computations.

The law of $\mathrm{T}^{\mu, a}$ may also be obtained by the resolution of a Skorohod problem (Jeulin-Yor [6], Proposition 4.4 with $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{1}{\mu \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{a}}$ ), which gives the law of $\ell_{\mathrm{T}} \mu, \mathrm{a}$.
(ii) It follows from the equality $T^{\mu, a}=\tau_{-a / \mu}(B)$.

In fact from the inequality

we deduce: $\mathrm{T}^{\mu, a} \geq \tau_{-a / \mu}(\mathrm{B})$.
But, as $\quad X_{\tau_{-a / \mu}}=\left|\mathrm{B}_{\tau_{-a / \mu}}\right|-\mu \ell_{\tau_{-a / \mu}}=0-\mu(-\mathrm{a} / \mu)=\mathrm{a}$,
we have $\mathrm{T}^{\mu, \mathrm{a}}=\tau_{-\mathrm{a} / \mu^{(B)}}$.
4. Several results about the process $\left(X_{t} \equiv\left|B_{t}\right|-\mu \ell_{t} ; t \geq 0\right)$.

## (4.1) Towards a general principle ?

After reading sections 2 and 3 above, the reader may come very naturally
to the "conclusion" that, at least as far as the "arc-scenery" is concerned, identities in law valid for Brownian motion (such as (1.d), for instance) "always" extend to the process X , either literally, or with "little" change. The aim of this section is to show that there is no such "principle", and to present precisely how some of the well-known representations of the Brownian bridge have to be modified in the context of the " $\mu$-process" X , conditionned by $X_{1}=0$.

## (4.2) Some notation.

For short, we call $\left(X_{t}^{\mu} \equiv\left|B_{t}\right|-\mu \ell_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ the $\mu$-process;

- we shall write $\left(p_{\mu}(t), t \leq 1\right)$ for the $\mu$-bridge, i.e. : the $\mu$-process $\left(X_{t}^{\mu} ; t \leq 1\right)$ conditionned by : $X_{1}^{\mu}=0$;
- we shall also consider the pseudo- $\mu$-bridge :

$$
\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mu}^{\neq}(\mathrm{t}) \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{1}^{\mu}}} \mathrm{X}^{\mu}\left(\mathrm{t} \tau_{1}^{\mu}\right) ; \mathrm{t} \leq 1\right)
$$

Now we remark that, in the case $\mu=1,\left(X_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a 1 -dimensional Brownian motion, and the ( $\mu \equiv$ )1-bridge is simply the Brownian bridge, which we shall denote by $(p(t) ; t \leq 1) ;\left(\lambda_{t} ; t \leq 1\right)$ denotes the local time at 0 of $(p(t) ; t \leq 1)$.

- finally, it is also natural to introduce the $\mu$-process of the Brownian bridge ; precisely : $\left(\mathrm{q}_{\mu}(\mathrm{t}) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(|\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t})|-\mu \lambda_{\mathrm{t}} ; \mathrm{t} \leq 1\right)\right.$.


## (4.3) An absolute continuity relationship.

Another fairly straightforward extension of the results valid in the Brownian case ( $\mu=1$ ) is the following

Proposition 4.1 : For every measurable functional $F: C([0,1, \mathbb{R}]) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, we have :
(4.a)

$$
E\left[F\left(p_{\mu}(t) ; t \leq 1\right)\right]=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(\frac{1+\mu}{2}\right) E\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{1}^{\mu}}} F\left(p_{\mu}^{\neq}(t) ; t \leq 1\right)\right]
$$

Proof : It suffices to follow the steps of the proof in [3] ; here again, as for Proposition 2.1, the scaling property is essential. A unification of these various consequences of the scaling property will be presented in [24]. a

It is easy to show that the local time at 0 of $\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mu}^{\neq}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{t} \leq 1\right)$ is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{1}^{\mu}}}$.
Hence, we deduce from (4.a), with the help of the identity (1.e), the following

Corollary 4.1.1 : Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a Borel function; then, we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{f}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{dt} 1_{\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mu}(\mathrm{t}) \leq 0\right)}, \lambda_{1}^{\mu}\right)\right]=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(\frac{1+\mu}{2}\right) E\left[\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{\mu,-}, \ell_{1}^{\mu}\right)\right] \tag{4.b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mu} ; \mathrm{t} \leq 1\right)$ denotes the local time at 0 of $\mathrm{p}_{\mu}$.

The absolute continuity relationship (4.a), considered for $\mu=1$, may also be used to obtain the following results concerning the processes $q_{v}$.

Proposition 4.2 : Let $v>0$. Define $A_{t}^{-}\left(q_{v}\right) \equiv \int_{0}^{t} d s 1_{\left(q_{v}(s) \leq 0\right)}$, and let
$\left(\ell_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{q}_{\nu}\right), \mathrm{t} \leq 1\right)$ be the local time of $\mathrm{q}_{v}$ at 0 .
Then, if $\nu$ and $\mu$ are related by : $\frac{1}{\nu}=1+\frac{1}{\mu}$, we have :
(4.c)

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{-}\left(\mathrm{q}_{v}\right) ; \ell_{1}\left(\mathrm{q}_{v}\right)\right)\right]=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(\frac{1+\mu}{2}\right) \mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{\mu,-}, \ell_{1}^{\mu}\right) \ell_{1}^{\mu}\right]
$$

for every Borel function $\mathrm{f}:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$.

Comparing relations (4.b) and (4.c), we obtain the following

Corollary 4.2.1 : If $\mu$ and $v$ are related by : $\frac{1}{\nu}=1+\frac{1}{\mu}$, then :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{1}\left(q_{\nu}\right) ; \ell_{1}\left(q_{\nu}\right)\right)^{(l \underline{a} w)}\left(\int_{0}^{1} d t 1_{\left(p_{\mu}(t) \leq 0\right)} ; \lambda_{1}^{\mu}\right) \tag{4.d}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the particular case $\mu=1, v=\frac{1}{2}$, the identity in law (4.d) follows from a more general result obtained by Pitman-Yor [17] :
the processes of local times, in the space variable $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}$, taken at (4.e) time 1, of the Brownian bridge $(\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{t} \leq 1$ ) and of the process $\left(\mathrm{q}_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{t}) \equiv|\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t})|-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\mathrm{t}} ; \mathrm{t} \leq 1\right)$ are identically distributed.

The identities in law (4.d) and (4.e) have led us naturally to the following

Theorem 4.3 : Let $v>0, \mu>0$ be such that : $\frac{1}{v}=1+\frac{1}{\mu}$.
The processes $\left(\ell_{1}^{\mathrm{x}}\left(q_{\nu}\right) ; \mathrm{x} \in \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $\left(\ell_{1}^{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mu}\right) ; \mathrm{x} \in \mathbb{R}\right)$ of local times are identically distributed.

Before we prove this theorem, we present another interesting identity in law which follows from Theorem 4.3, and we identify the common distribution.

Proposition 4.4 : If $\mu$ and $\nu$ are related by : $\frac{1}{v}=1+\frac{1}{\mu}$, then :

$$
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1} p_{\mu}(t) \stackrel{(l a w)}{\underline{=}} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1} q_{\nu}(t) \equiv S_{\nu} ;
$$

furthermore, if N is a centered reduced gaussian variable, which is independent of $\mathrm{S}_{\nu}$, one has:

$$
\exp \left(2|N| S_{\nu}\right)-1\left(l_{\underline{a} w)}\left(Z_{1,1 / 2 \nu}\right) \frac{1-Z_{1,1 / \nu}}{Z_{1,1 / \nu}}\right.
$$

where, on the right-hand side, the two beta variables are independent.

Here is now a

Proof of Theorem 4.3_ We will show that for every Borel $f: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$we have :
(4.f)

$$
E\left[\exp \left(-\int \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \ell_{1}^{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mu}\right) \mathrm{dx}\right)\right]=E\left[\exp \left(-\int \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \ell_{1}^{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{q}_{\nu}\right) \mathrm{dx}\right)\right]
$$

Using the absolute continuity relationship (4.a) considered for a general $\mu$ and for $\mu=1$, it is equivalent to show :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1+\mu}{2}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{1}^{\mu}}}\right. & \exp (-\frac{1}{\tau_{1}^{\mu}} \int f\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\tau_{1}^{\mu}}}\right) \underbrace{\mu^{x}}_{\tau_{1}^{\prime}}\left(X^{\mu}\right) \mathrm{dx})]  \tag{4.g}\\
& =E\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{1}}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\tau_{1}} \int f\left(\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\sqrt{\tau_{1}}}\right) \ell_{\tau_{1}}^{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right) \mathrm{dx}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Let $f_{ \pm}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be two Borel functions such that $f(x)=f_{+}(x)$ if $x \geq 0$, $f(x)=f_{-}(-x)$ if $x<0$. We note :

$$
\left(\tau_{1}^{\mu}\right)^{ \pm} \equiv \int_{0}^{+\infty} \ell_{\tau_{1}^{\mu}}^{\mu^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mu}\right) \mathrm{dx} ; \quad \tau_{1}^{ \pm} \equiv \int_{0}^{+\infty} \ell_{\tau}^{ \pm \mathrm{x}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mu}\right) \mathrm{dx}
$$

(Beware $\tau_{1}^{ \pm}$depends on $v!$ )
The main tools we use are :
i) the scaling property of the square of a Bessel process ;
ii) the Ray-Knight theorem which describes the process of the local times of the $v$-process considered up to time $\tau_{1}$, as an inhomogeneous Markov process (Le Gall-Yor [9]) ;
iii) Theorem 9.1 in Chapter 9 of [23] for the local times of the $\mu$-process considered up to time $\tau_{1}^{\mu}$; this is, in fact, another Ray-Knight theorem. Then, we are able to prove the following :

1) the variables $\frac{\tau_{1}^{+}}{\left[\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{O}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)\right]^{2}}$ and $\frac{\tau_{1}^{-}}{\left[\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)\right]^{2}}$ are independent.

More precisely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mu+1}{2} \mathbb{P}\left[\left(\tau_{1}^{\mu}\right)^{+} \in \operatorname{du}\right] \mathbb{P}\left[\left(\tau_{1}^{\mu}\right)^{-} \in \mathrm{dv}\right] \\
& =E\left[\left(\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{O}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)\right)^{-1} \left\lvert\, \frac{\tau_{1}^{-}}{\left[\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)\right]^{2}}=\mathrm{v}\right.\right] \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{\tau_{1}^{+}}{\left[\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{O}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)\right]^{2}} \in \mathrm{du}\right] \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{\tau_{1}^{-}}{\left[\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)\right]^{2}} \in \mathrm{dv}\right] \\
& \text { 2) } E\left[\left(\left.\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{O}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)^{-1} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{f}_{+}\left(\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{O}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)}\right) \frac{\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)}{\left(\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{O}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)\right)^{2}} \mathrm{dx}\right) \right\rvert\, \frac{\tau_{1}^{+}}{\left(\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{O}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)\right)^{2}}=\mathrm{u}, \frac{\tau_{1}^{-}}{\left(\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{O}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)\right)^{2}}=\mathrm{v}\right]\right. \\
& =\mathbb{Q}_{0}^{2 / \nu}\left[\frac{1}{\mathrm{Y}_{v}} \left\lvert\, \frac{\int_{0}^{\nu} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{dx}}{\mathrm{Y}_{v}^{2}}=\mathrm{v}\right.\right] \mathbb{Q}_{1}^{\circ}\left[\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{f}_{+}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{dx}\right) \mid \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{dx}=\mathrm{u}\right] \\
& =E\left[\left(\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)\right)^{-1} \left\lvert\, \frac{\tau_{1}^{-}}{\left(\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)\right)^{2}}=\mathrm{v}\right.\right] \quad E\left[\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{f}_{+}(\mathrm{x}) \ell_{\tau_{1}^{\mu}}^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mu}\right) \mathrm{dx}\right) \mid\left(\tau_{1}^{\mu}\right)^{+}=\mathrm{u}\right] . \\
& \text { 3) } E\left[\left.\left(\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{O}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)\right)^{-1} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{f}-\left(\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{O}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)}\right) \frac{\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{-\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)}{\left(\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)\right)^{2}} \mathrm{dx}\right) \right\rvert\, \frac{\tau_{1}^{+}}{\left(\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)\right)^{2}}=\mathrm{u}, \frac{\tau_{1}^{-}}{\left(\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)\right)^{2}}=\mathrm{v}\right] \\
& \left.=c_{\nu} \frac{\mathbb{Q}_{0}^{2 / \nu}\left[\int_{0}^{L_{1}} Y_{x} d x \in \mathrm{dv}\right]}{\mathbb{Q}_{0}^{2 / v}\left[\frac{\int_{0}^{\nu} Y_{x} d x}{Y_{v}^{2}} \in \mathrm{dv}\right]}=v\right] \cdot \mathbb{Q}_{0}^{2 / \nu}\left[\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{L_{1}} f_{-}\left(L_{1}-x\right) Y_{x} d x\right) \mid \int_{0}^{L_{1}} Y_{x} d x=v\right] \\
& =E\left[\left(\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{O}\left(X^{\nu}\right)^{-1} \left\lvert\, \frac{\tau_{1}^{-}}{\left(\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{O}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)\right)^{2}}=\mathrm{v}\right.\right] \cdot \mathrm{E}\left[\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{f}_{-}(\mathrm{x}) \ell_{\tau_{1}^{\mu}}^{-\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mu}\right) \mathrm{dx}\right) \mid\left(\tau_{1}^{\mu}\right)^{-}=\mathrm{v}\right] .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

It now follows that for every Borel function $\psi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$we have :
(4.h)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1+\mu}{2} E\left[\psi\left(\left(\tau_{1}^{\mu}\right)^{+} ;\left(\tau_{1}^{\mu}\right)^{-}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\tau_{1}^{\mu}} \int \mathrm{f}\left(\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\sqrt{\tau_{1}^{\mu}}}\right) \ell_{\tau_{1}^{\mu}}^{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mu}\right) \mathrm{dx}\right)\right] \\
& =E\left[\frac{1}{\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)} \psi\left(\frac{\tau_{1}^{+}}{\left(\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)\right)^{2}} ; \frac{\tau_{1}^{-}}{\left(\ell_{\tau_{1}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right)\right)^{2}}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\tau_{1}} \int \mathrm{f}\left(\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\sqrt{\tau_{1}}}\right) \ell_{\tau_{1}}^{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\nu}\right) \mathrm{dx}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we deduce (4.g) by taking $\psi(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{~s}+\mathrm{t}}}$.
$\square$

## (4.4) About another proof of the arc sine law.

4.4.1. In the case $\mu=1$, one may prove that $A_{1}^{-} \equiv \int_{0}^{1} d s 1_{\left(B_{s}<0\right)}$ is arc-sine distributed by first proving that: $a^{-} \equiv \int_{0}^{1} d u 1_{(p(u) \leq 0)}$ is uniformly distributed on $[0,1]$, and then using the identity :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}^{-(l a w)} a^{-} \cdot g+\varepsilon(1-g), \tag{4.i}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g=\sup \left\{s<1: B_{s}=0\right\}$ is also arc-sine distributed, $\varepsilon=1\left(B_{1}<0\right)$, and $\left(a^{-}, g, \varepsilon\right)$ are independent.
(4.i) follows immediately from the fact that: $\left(\pi(\mathrm{t}) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{tg}} ; \mathrm{t} \leq 1\right)$ is a Brownian bridge, which is independent of $\sigma\left\{\mathrm{g} ; \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{g}+\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{u} \geq 0\right\}$.

Furthermore, the fact that $a^{-}$is uniformly distributed on $[0,1]$ follows easily from the absolute continuity relationship (4.a), from which we deduce :

$$
E\left[f\left(a^{-}\right)\right]=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} E\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau(1)}} f\left(\frac{A^{-}(\tau(1))}{\tau(1)}\right)\right]
$$

4.4.2. From the previous subsection, the question arises naturally whether the process :
$\pi_{\mu}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{~g}_{1}^{\mu}}} \mathrm{X}^{\mu}\left(\mathrm{tg}_{1}^{\mu}\right), \mathrm{t} \leq 1$, where $\mathrm{g}_{1}^{\mu}=\sup \left\{\mathrm{t}<1: \mathrm{X}^{\mu}(\mathrm{t})=0\right\}$,
is independent from $\sigma\left\{g_{1}^{\mu} ; X^{\mu}\left(g_{1}^{\mu}+u\right), u \geq 0\right\}$, and also whether $\pi_{\mu}$ and $p_{\mu}$ have the same distribution.

To discuss these questions which, as we shall see, have an affirmative answer only in the case $\mu=1$, we shall use again, in an essential way, the scaling property of Brownian motion, which will allow us to express the following expression $I_{\mu}$ in several different, but equivalent, forms :

$$
I_{\mu} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{dsh}(\mathrm{~s}) E\left[k\left(g_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mu}\right) F\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{s}^{\mu}}} \mathrm{X}^{\mu}\left(\mathrm{vg}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mu}\right) ; \mathrm{v} \leq 1\right)\right],
$$

where $h: \mathbb{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}, k:[0,1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$are two Borel functions, $F: C([0,1], \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is a measurable functional, and $g_{s}^{\mu}$ is the last zero of $\mathrm{X}^{\mu}$ before time s .
Decomposing the above time integral with respect to the excursions of $\mathrm{X}^{\mu}$ away from 0 , we obtain :

$$
\left.I_{\mu}=E\left[\sum_{u>0} \int_{\tau_{u-}^{\mu}}^{\tau_{u}^{\mu}} \text { dsh(s)k( } \tau_{u-}^{\mu}\right) F\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{u-}^{\mu}}} X^{\mu}\left(v \tau_{u-}^{\mu}\right) ; v \leq 1\right)\right]
$$

(4.j)

$$
=E\left[\sum_{u>0} k\left(\tau_{u-}^{\mu}\right) F\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{u-}^{\mu}}} X^{\mu}\left(v \tau_{u-}^{\mu}\right) ; v \leq 1\right) \int_{0}^{\tau_{u}^{\mu}-\tau_{u-}^{\mu}} d s h\left(s+\tau_{u-}^{\mu}\right)\right] .
$$

To simplify notation, we now introduce

$$
\varphi_{u}=k\left(\tau_{u-}^{\mu}\right) F\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{u-}^{\mu}}} X^{\mu}\left(v \tau_{u-}^{\mu}\right) ; v \leq 1\right) \quad(u>0)
$$

which is a previsible process with respect to the filtration $\left(\mathcal{F}_{\tau_{u}}^{\mu}, u \geq 0\right)$.

The key to the next developments is the following

Lemma 4.5: For every $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-valued process $\left(\psi_{\mathrm{u}} ; \mathrm{u}>0\right)$, which is previsible with respect to the filtration $\left.{ }_{(\mathcal{F}}^{\tau_{u}}{ }^{\mu} ; \mathrm{u} \geq 0\right)$, and every Borel function
$h: \mathbb{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, one has:

$$
E\left[\sum_{u>0} \psi_{u}\left(\int_{0}^{\tau_{u}^{\mu}-\tau_{u-}^{\mu}} d s h\left(s+\tau_{u-}^{\mu}\right)\right)\right]=E\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} d u \psi_{u} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{\sqrt{s}} h\left(s+\tau_{u}^{\mu}\right) \theta_{\mu}\left(\frac{1}{s}\left(B\left(\tau_{u}^{\mu}\right)\right)^{2}\right)\right]
$$

where $\left(\theta_{\mu}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{x}>0\right)$ is given by :

$$
\theta_{\mu}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{x} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2 \mu}\right)} \int_{0}^{+\infty}|\sin t|^{\frac{1}{\mu}-1} \exp \left(-\frac{t^{2}}{2 x}\right) d t
$$

Remark 4.6 : 1 . In the particular case $\mu=1, \theta_{\mu}$ is a constant; precisely, $\theta_{1}(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$. A posteriori, we may say that the independence of $g_{1}$ and $\pi_{1}$ appears as a consequence of the constancy of the function $\theta_{1}$; of course, there are more direct and well-known proofs of this result, and of the identity in law between $\pi_{1}$ and p. (see, for example, [19], Exercise, p. ).
2. In the language of the general theory of random processes, the identity obtained in Lemma 4.5 is equivalent to the following property :
if $h: \mathbb{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is a Borel function, and if we denote $H(x)=\int_{0}^{x} d s h(s)$, then the $\left(\mathscr{F}_{\tau_{t}^{\mu}}^{\mu}, \mathrm{t} \geq 0\right)$ predictable projection of $\sum_{u \leq t} H\left(\tau_{u}^{\mu}-\tau_{u-}^{\mu}\right)$ is :

$$
\int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{du} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{ds}}{\sqrt{s}} \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~s}) \theta_{\mu}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~s}}\left(\mathrm{~B}\left(\tau_{\mathrm{u}}^{\mu}\right)\right)^{2}\right)
$$

We postpone the proof of the Lemma, and, for the moment, we apply it to $\psi=\varphi$
in (4.j) in order to relate the laws of $\pi_{\mu}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mu}^{\neq}$, or $\mathrm{p}_{\mu}$.
Thus, we obtain :
$I_{\mu}=\iint_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{du} \mathrm{ds}}{\sqrt{s}} E\left[k\left(u^{2} \tau_{1}^{\mu}\right) F\left(\frac{X_{\mu}\left(v \tau_{1}^{\mu}\right)}{\sqrt{\tau_{1}^{\mu}}} ; v \leq 1\right) h\left(s+u^{2} \tau_{1}^{\mu}\right) \theta_{\mu}\left(\frac{u^{2}}{s} B^{2}\left(\tau_{1}^{\mu}\right)\right]\right.$
(by scaling).
Making the change of variables $y=u^{2} \tau_{1}^{\mu}$ in the integral in (du), we obtain :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\mu}=\iint_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{dy} \mathrm{ds}}{2 \sqrt{y s}} \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{E}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~s}+\mathrm{y})}{\sqrt{\tau_{1}^{\mu}}} \theta_{\mu}\left(\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{~s}} \frac{\mu^{2} \ell^{2}\left(\tau_{1}^{\mu}\right)}{\tau_{1}^{\mu}}\right) \mathrm{F}\left(\frac{\mathrm{X}_{1}^{\mu}\left(\mathrm{v} \tau_{1}^{\mu}\right)}{\sqrt{\tau_{1}^{\mu}}} ; v \leq 1\right)\right] \\
& =\iint_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{dy} \mathrm{ds}}{\sqrt{2 \mathrm{ys}}} \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{E}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{1}^{\mu}}} \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mu}^{\neq}(\mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{v} \leq 1\right) \theta_{\mu}\left(\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{~s}} \mathrm{i}^{2}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mu}^{\neq}\right)\right)\right] \\
& \text {where }: \quad \mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mu}^{\neq}\right)=\inf _{\mathrm{s} \leq 1} \mathrm{p}_{\mu}^{\neq}(\mathrm{s}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain :
(4.k)

$$
I_{\mu}=\int_{0}^{+\infty} d t h(t) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d y k(y)}{2 \sqrt{y(t-y)}} E\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{1}^{\mu}}} F\left(p_{\mu}^{\neq}(v) ; v \leq 1\right) \theta_{\mu}\left(\frac{y}{t-y} i^{2}\left(p_{\mu}^{\neq}\right)\right)\right]
$$

On the other hand, from the definition of $\mathrm{I}_{\mu}$, we obtain, by scaling :

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\mu}=\int_{0}^{+\infty} d s h(s) E\left[k\left(\mathrm{sg}_{1}^{\mu}\right) F\left(\pi_{\mu}(v) ; v \leq 1\right)\right] \tag{4.८}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, comparing (4.k) and (4.l), we obtain :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[k\left(g_{1}^{\mu}\right) F\left(\pi_{\mu}(v) ; v \leq 1\right)\right] \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d y k(y)}{2 \sqrt{y(1-y)}} E\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{1}^{\mu}}} \theta_{\mu}\left(\frac{y}{1-y} i^{2}\left(p_{\mu}^{\neq}\right)\right) F\left(p_{\mu}^{\neq}(v) ; v \leq 1\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d y k(y)}{\sqrt{y(1-y)}} c_{\mu} E\left[\theta_{\mu}\left(\frac{y}{1-y} i^{2}\left(p_{\mu}^{\neq}\right)\right) F\left(p_{\mu}^{\neq}(v) ; v \leq 1\right)\right]
$$

where $c_{\mu}=\frac{1}{(1+\mu)} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$, and the equality (4.m) follows from (4.a).
Below, we shall exploit formula (4.m) to describe the law of $g_{1}^{\mu}$ and to relate the laws of $\pi_{\mu}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mu}$.
But, first, we give a proof of Lemma 4.5 which, from well-known arguments relating discontinuous martingales of a "nice" Markov process to its Lévy system (see, e.g., Meyer [12]) may be seen as a consequence of the following partial determination of the infinitesimal generator $A$ of the two-dimensional Markov process $\left(\left|B{ }_{\tau_{t}}^{\mu}\right|, \tau_{t}^{\mu} ; t \geq 0\right)$.

Theorem 4.7 : Let $\mathrm{f}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $C^{1}$ function, with suitable integrability conditions. Then, f , considered as a function of two variables $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{z})$, belongs to the domain of A , and :

$$
\operatorname{Af}(a, z)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} f^{\prime}(z+s) \theta_{\mu}\left(\frac{a^{2}}{s}\right) \frac{d s}{\sqrt{s}}
$$

Proof of Theorem 4.7: We proceed as for the generator of the generalized Watanabe process $\left(\left|B_{\tau_{t}}^{\mu}\right|\right)_{t \geq 0}$ (see Carmona-Petit-Yor [4], section (4.2)).

Then, we obtain that
the semi-group $\left(P_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ of the Markov process $\left(\left|B \tau_{t}^{\mu}\right| ; \tau_{t}^{\mu}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is given by :

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{z})=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}\left[\mathrm{f}\left(\left|\mathrm{~B}_{\tau_{\mathrm{t}}}^{\mu, \mathrm{a}}\right| ; z_{\mathrm{t}} \mid \tau_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mu, \mathrm{a}}\right)\right]
$$

where $\tau_{t}^{\mu, a}$ is the inverse of the local time at the point a of the $\mu$-process built with a Brownian motion starting at a.

In the particular case where $f(a, z)=\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} z\right)$, we deduce from Theorem 3.3 that :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{t} f(a ; z)=\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} z\right) E_{a}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \tau_{t}^{\mu, a}\right)\right] \\
= & \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} z\right) \mathbb{Q}_{t}^{\circ}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} Y_{x} d x\right)\right] \\
\times & \left\{\mathbb{Q}_{t}^{\circ}\left[1_{T_{0} \leq a} \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} Y_{x} d x\right)\right]+\mathbb{Q}_{t}^{o}\left[1_{T_{0}>a} \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{a} Y_{x} d x\right) \mathbb{Q}_{Y_{a}}^{2-2 / \mu}\left(\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} Z_{x} d x\right)\right]\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

With the calculations made for the proof of the Corollary 3.4.1, we have :

$$
P_{t} f(a ; z)=\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} z\right) \frac{\exp (-\lambda t / 2)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2 \mu}\right)} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-s} s^{\frac{1}{2 \mu}-1} d s \mathbb{Q}_{t}\left(\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{a} Y_{u} d u+\frac{i N \lambda Y_{a}}{2 \sqrt{2 s}}\right)\right)
$$

then, with usual computations on Bessel processes,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Af}(a, z)=\lim _{t^{\downarrow} 0} \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} z\right) E_{a}\left[\frac{\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \tau_{t}^{\mu, a}\right)-1}{t}\right] \\
=-\lambda^{2} \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} z\right) E\left[\frac{1}{\lambda}\left\{1+\frac{\sqrt{2 Z_{1 / 2 \mu}}+i N}{\sqrt{2 Z_{1 / 2 \mu}}-i N} \exp (-2 a \lambda)\right\}^{-1}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

where N is a standard gaussian variable which is independent of $Z_{1 / 2 \mu}$.
Then, we develop in serie the term inside the expectation, and we invert the Laplace transforms $\frac{1}{\lambda} \exp (-2 a n \lambda)$ in $\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}$. The theorem follows for each function $f(a, z)=f(z)$ with suitable integrability conditions, for example, for quickly decreasing functions.

We now discuss shortly the identity (4.m).
Proposition 4.8 : 1) Taking $F \equiv 1$, in (4.m), we obtain after some calcula-
tions :
(4.n) $\quad \mathbb{P}\left[g_{1}^{\mu} \in d y\right]=c_{\mu} \frac{1,10,1[(y) d y}{\sqrt{y(1-y)}} E\left[\theta_{\mu}\left(\frac{y}{1-y} i^{2}\left(p_{\mu}\right)\right)\right]$

$$
=\frac{1}{\pi(1+\mu)} \frac{d y}{\sqrt{y(1-y)}} 1_{10,1[ }(y)+\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu+1}{2 \mu}\right)^{2}}{\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu+1}{2 \mu}\left(1+i \sqrt{\frac{1-y}{y}}\right)\right)\right|^{2}} \frac{1^{2} 0,1[(y) d y}{2 \mu y \operatorname{sh}\left[\pi \frac{\mu+1}{2 \mu} \sqrt{\frac{1-y}{y}}\right]}
$$

2) The identity (4.m) gives the law of $\left(\pi_{\mu}(v) ; v \leq 1\right)$ conditionally on $g_{1}^{\mu}$
(4.0) $E\left[F\left(\pi_{\mu}(v) ; v \leq 1\right) \mid g_{1}^{\mu}=y\right]=\frac{E\left[\theta_{\mu}\left(\frac{y}{1-y} i^{2}\left(p_{\mu}\right)\right) F\left(p_{\mu}(v) ; v \leq 1\right)\right]}{E\left[\theta_{\mu}\left(\frac{y}{1-y} i^{2}\left(p_{\mu}\right)\right)\right]}$
3) $g_{1}^{\mu}$ and $\left(\pi_{\mu}(v) ; v \leq 1\right)$ are independent conditionally on $\mathrm{i}\left(\pi_{\mu}\right) \equiv \inf _{v \leq 1} \pi_{\mu}(\mathrm{v})$.

## 5. Application to Walsh's processes.

We now present some variants for Walsh's Brownian motions and Bessel processes of the results obtained in the previous sections ; we recall (see [1], [2], [20]) that these Markov processes $\left(X_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$, which take values in $E=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_{i}$, the union of $n$ rays in the plane, are defined as follows: let $\left(p_{i} ; 1 \leq i \leq n\right)$ be a probability on $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Consider $n$ rays $\left(I_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ meeting at the origin. Suppose $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ starts at the origin, that its radial part is a Bessel process of dimension $\delta=2(1-\mu)$, with $\delta \in] 0,2[$, and that, when $\left(X_{t}\right)$ reaches the origin, it chooses, at least, heuristically, the $i^{\text {th }}$ ray $I_{i}$ with probability $p_{i}$. This process $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ may be constructed
rigorously using excursion theory : the characteristic (Itô) measure of its excursions away from the origin is given by : $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} n_{i}$, where $n_{i}$, the characteristic measure of excursion in $I_{i}$, is obtained in a canonical way from the measure of excursions of a $\delta$-dimensional Bessel process (see [2] for more details). In particular, when $n=2$, and $\delta=1,\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is the socalled skew Brownian motion, with $P\left(X_{t}>0\right)=p_{1} \equiv p$ and $P\left(X_{t}<0\right)=p_{2} \equiv 1-\mathrm{p}$. (See Walsh [20]).

Let $\left(\ell_{t} ; t \geq 0\right)$ be the Markovian local time at 0 of $\left(X_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$, or, of its radial part $\left(\left|X_{t}\right|, t \geq 0\right) ;\left(\ell_{t} ; t \geq 0\right)$ is defined up to a multiplicative constant, which we choose such that $\left(\tau_{u} ; u \geq 0\right)$, the right continuous inverse of $\left(\ell_{t} ; t \geq 0\right)$ be a standard stable subordinator of index $\mu$, i.e :

$$
E\left[\exp \left(-\lambda \tau_{u}\right)\right]=\exp \left(-u \lambda^{\mu}\right), \quad, \text { for every } u \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0
$$

We now define the multidimensional process of times spent in the $n$ rays :

$$
\left(A_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{i}}=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{ds} 1_{\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}} \in \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)} ; 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{t} \geq 0\right)
$$

We recall the main result of [2]

Proposition 5.1 : Let $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ be $n$ independent one-sided stable variables of index $\mu$. We have, for any fixed $\mathrm{t}>0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{l_{t}^{1 / \mu}} A_{t}^{i} ; 1 \leq i \leq n\right) \stackrel{(l a w)}{=}\left(p_{i}^{1 / \mu} T_{i} ; 1 \leq i \leq n\right) \tag{5.a}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now give a short proof of (4.a), following the method developed above in section 2 for Brownian motion, and in section 3 for the (local time) perturbed reflecting Brownian motion. This proof hinges on the following

Proposition 5.2 : Let $F: C([0,1] ; E) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a measurable functional. Then :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[F\left(X_{u} ; u \leq 1\right) 1_{\left(X_{1} \in I_{i}\right)}\right]=E\left[\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}^{\mathrm{i}}} F\left(\frac{X_{s \alpha_{1}^{i}}^{i}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{\mathrm{i}}}} ; s \leq 1\right)\right] \tag{5.b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\alpha_{t}^{i} ; t \geq 0\right)$ is the right-continuous inverse of $\left(A_{u}^{i} ; u \geq 0\right)$.

To finish the proof of Proposition 5.1, we use the same arguments as in paragraph 1.4. We have : $u=\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{u}^{j}$, for $u \geq 0$.
Hence :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{t}^{i}=t+\sum_{j \neq i} A_{\alpha_{t}}^{j}=t+\sum_{j \neq i}\left(A_{\tau}^{j}\right)\left(\ell_{\alpha_{i}}\right) . \tag{5.c}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence of excursion theory, the $n$ processes

$$
\left\{\left(\mathrm{A}_{\tau}^{1}\right)(\mathrm{t}) ;\left(\mathrm{A}_{\tau}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{t}) ; \ldots ;\left(\mathrm{A}_{\tau}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)(\mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{t} \geq 0\right\}
$$

are independent, and furthermore, $\left(\frac{1}{p_{i}}\left(A_{\tau}^{i}\right)(t) ; t \geq 0\right)$ is a standard onesided stable process of index $\frac{1}{\mu}$. We then deduce from (5.b) and (5.c) that, for every measurable $\mathrm{f}: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{\mathrm{n}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$:
(5.d)

$$
E\left[f\left(\frac{1}{\ell_{1}^{1 / \mu}}\left(A_{1}^{1} ; \ldots ; A_{1}^{n}\right)\right) 1_{\left(X_{1} \in I_{i}\right)}\right]=E\left[\left(\frac{\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\tau}^{\mathrm{i}}(1)\right.}{\tau(1)}\right) f\left(\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\tau}^{1}\right)(1), \ldots,\left(\mathrm{A}_{\tau}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)(1)\right)\right]
$$

The identity in law (5.a) follows.

We also deduce from (5.d), just as in the last statement of Corollary 2.1.1. :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(X_{1} \in I_{i} \mid A_{1}^{i}=a ; A_{1}^{j} ; \ell_{1}\right)=a . \tag{5.e}
\end{equation*}
$$
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