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Abstract

Engineering Dilute Nitride Semiconductor Alloys for Intermediate Band Solar Cells

by

Alexander Vallejo Luce

Doctor of Philosophy in Engineering-Materials Science and Engineering

University of California, Berkeley

Professor Oscar D. Dubon, Co-Chair
Professor Junqiao Wu, Co-Chair

The growth and characterization of GaAs nanowires and GaNPAs thin-films is discussed within the context of finding a material system that is suitable as an intermediate band solar cell (IBSC) absorber. The IBSC is an attractive concept proposed to exceed the Shockley-Queisser detailed balance limit for photovoltaic efficiency. These solar cells have an additional intermediate band, allowing for the absorption of below bandgap photons, thus resulting in an increase in photocurrent and higher efficiency. Suitable materials systems for the implementation of the IBSC concept, however, are presently lacking. Recent work on the highly-mismatched alloy (HMA) GaAsN has shown that the unique features of the electronic band structure demonstrate optical activity of three energy bands and have led to the realization of a proof-of-concept IBSC. GaAsN, however, is not without shortcomings. Another HMA material, GaNPAs, which offers a wide range of bandgap tunability and is better matched to the solar spectrum is proposed. This work covers the optical characterization of both GaAs nanowires and GaAsPN using traditional visible-light semiconductor characterization techniques including optical absorption spectroscopy, photo-modulated reflectance, steady-state photoluminescence, and spectral photoconductivity. Additionally, photovoltaic devices based on GaNPAs are demonstrated and assessed as potential IBSCs.
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Chapter 1

Introduction
Figure 1.1: Area required to meet global energy needs with photovoltaics, assuming 8% conversion efficiency. Original calculation by Matthias Loster [1].

1.1 The 12 million mile problem

In the year 2012, the equivalent of nearly 9,000 million tons of oil\(^1\) were consumed as energy worldwide\([9]\). The magnitude of this number sounds staggering. In comparison, however, it is less than half of the total energy from the sun that hits the earth in just one hour. In spite of this, the amount of actual energy generated from solar power sources, both photovoltaics (PV) and concentrated solar power (CSP), accounts for the equivalent of just 3 of those 9,000 million tons. The majority of energy supplied bring from non-renewable resources such as coal, oil, and gas.

Given the amount of sunlight hitting the earth, it becomes a nice exercise to consider a scenario where all of the world’s energy needs could be met by solar power\(^2\). Suppose that massive solar farms are placed in the desert, in areas having high sunlight and little population. These solar farms, each of which has a conversion efficiency of only 8%, taken

\(^1\)8,979 million tons of oil equivalent (Mtoe) per year is approximately 12 TW.

\(^2\)Accounting for differences in energy supply and energy consumption arising from energy loss, the global need is about 20 TW per year.
together could supply all of the energy needed worldwide. This calculation has been done before and is shown in Figure 1.1. However, the amount of land masse in one single solar farm, shown as black disc on the map\(^3\), small as though it may seem, is greater than that of all the paved roads in the entire world, or 12 million miles of pavement.

Solar power though, if done cheaply, is still poised to become a significant source of electricity generation in the future. In fact, the International Energy Agency estimates that between 6-10% of all electricity generation worldwide could come from solar power by 2035, depending on the policy environment in place\[9\]. For solar PV, this would be a 26-fold increase since 2010. Clearly, improved solar technologies, when coupled with a supportive policy and economic environment, are needed to meet these projections.

\subsection*{1.1.1 A moonshot for solar}

In 2010, the United States Department of Energy set out to make solar electricity cost competitive with electricity from non-renewable resources in the U.S. The result of this was the Sunshot Program, launched in 2011, with the goal of achieving a $1/Watt installed system price for utility scale PV by 2020. This $1/W price would enable PV to provide 14% of the energy across the country by 2030. To do this, however, requires that significant barriers to integrating high penetrations of PV on the grid, from generation to distribution and transmission, be overcome\[10\].

\section*{1.2 Introduction to Photovoltaics}

A substantive introduction to solar cell device operation can be found in numerous textbooks, e.g., Refs. \[11, 12, 13\], The following is meant to be an overview of only the key fundamental principles related to this dissertation.

\subsection*{1.2.1 The Shockley-Queisser limit}

The theoretical maximum efficiency of a single junction solar cell can be calculated using the detailed balance model first introduced by Shockley and Queisser, with the maximum efficiency at a given bandgap typically referred to as the Shockley-Queisser (SQ) limit \[14\]. Figure 1.4 plots the efficiency limit, \(\eta\), for an ideal solar cell with a single bandgap according to the detailed balance model. In order to determine the efficiency limit of a single junction PV, the assumptions are as follows:

1. The absorption of one photon produces only one electron-hole pair. Thus the quantum yield is 1.

2. Only photons with energy greater than the bandgap energy, \(hv > E_g\), are absorbed.

\footnote{About 160,000 square km in area.}
1. Introduction to Thin Film Photovoltaics

1.1 Thin Film Photovoltaics Overview

The world currently generates most of its electricity from fossil fuels. For centuries, this was not a problem because fossil fuel consumption was small relative to world fuel reserves and the environment could absorb the resulting CO₂ emissions. This last century of human history provided a number of amazing accomplishments, which have greatly improved the standard of living in large part due to the use of electricity. However, the era of fossil fuels will at some point come to an end due to the finite quantity of recoverable reserves on Earth as well as the finite amount of carbon emissions the Earth can handle while maintaining an environment hospitable to the human economy. Furthermore, the overall population of the Earth continues to rise, as does the energy used per each human being. As a result, it is expected that renewable energy sources will become a larger part of the global economy.

Fortunately, the sun radiates more than enough energy to the Earth each day to cover all of our electrical needs. The direct conversion of sunlight into electricity can be achieved through photovoltaics (solar cells). Almost all commercially available solar cells are made of inorganic materials, which convert the sun's photons into electron-hole pairs, which move to opposite electrical contacts thereby generating a photocurrent. The current-voltage (I-V) curve of a typical solar cell is shown in Fig. 1.1. The most important output parameter for a solar cell is the delivered power, which is the maximum product of current and voltage. The efficiency of a solar cell is defined as the delivered power divided by the incident power. The efficiency $\eta$ of a solar cell is typically measured using the AM1.5 solar spectrum, which is meant to simulate solar radiation under a certain set of atmospheric conditions thereby offering a means of comparing different solar cells.

Figure 1.2: Current-voltage curve for a solar cell. At zero voltage, the current is equal to the short circuit current ($J_{SC}$). At zero current, the voltage is equal to the open circuit voltage ($V_{OC}$). The power delivered to the external load is determined by the maximum power point (Max PP). Figure courtesy of Greg Brown.

Figure 1.3: PV Power Costs as Function of Module Efficiency and Cost

Figure 1.3: The “Thee Generations” of Photovoltaics, as a Function of Cost and Efficiency. The ‘Present Limit’ is the SQ limit for a single junction cell, and the ‘Thermodynamic Limit’ is the maximal possible efficiency achievable under concentration. From Ref. [2].
Figure 1.4: The efficiency limit, $\eta$, for an ideal solar cell with a single bandgap.

Figure 1.5: Fundamental loss processes in a standard solar cell.

3. Radiative recombination is the only recombination mechanism present.

4. Hot carriers relax down to the band edges through thermalization.

5. The quasi-Fermi level separation throughout the cell is constant. This results in infinite carrier mobility.

Figure 1.5 shows two fundamental loss processes in a standard single junction solar cell: (1) “Red” loss, and (2) “Blue” or thermalization loss. Red loss is due to the inability of the semiconductor to absorb below bandgap photons, since it is transparent to photons with energy $h\nu < E_g$. Thermalization loss is due to the fact that photons with higher energy $h\nu \gg E_g$ will relax back to the band edges. Thus only a fraction of the energy they carry, i.e., that equals the bandgap energy\(^4\), is converted. Not shown are losses due

\(^4\)Actually, this value multiplied by a Carnot factor.
to the (3) junction, (4) contact voltage, and (5) recombination\cite{2}. However, as shown by Figure 1.6, both red loss and blue loss make up the overwhelming majority of the incident energy lost in PV conversion.

## 1.3 Third-generation photovoltaics: beyond the Shockley-Queisser limit

This dissertation will focus on two approaches to “beat” the Shockley-Queisser limit through materials engineering of dilute nitride semiconductors. The first, intermediate band nanowires, seeks to couple a material system with very high light absorption (due to the geometry of the nanowires), with bandgap engineering through the use of highly-mismatched semiconductor alloys. The second, intermediate band semiconductors based on the GaNPAs system, presents our work towards achieving a working intermediate band PV device, all the way from theory and basic materials characterization, through device engineering and characterization under a solar simulator.

## 1.4 Organization of this dissertation

The remainder of this dissertation is organized as follows. Chapter 2 introduces the concept of the intermediate band solar cell (IBSC) and introduces several materials approaches for the realization of IBSCs. Also, an overview of highly-mismatched alloys (HMAs) and, in particular, dilute nitride semiconductors is given. Chapter 3 covers the experimental growth and characterization of III-V HMA nanowires (NW). Samples were grown at the UC Berkeley Integrated Materials Lab. Chapter 4 introduces the HMA
GaNPAs alloy system, and prevents calculations and experimental results assessing the suitability of its electronic structure for IBSC application. GaNPAs samples were grown at the University of California, San Diego. Chapter 5 provides an overview of our efforts to create a working IBSC device based on the GaNPAs system.
Chapter 2

Intermediate band solar cells
2.1 Introduction

The intermediate band solar cell (IBSC) concept provides a means to achieve photovoltaic conversion efficiencies exceeding the Shockley-Queisser (SQ) efficiency limit for a single junction solar cell by providing a higher photocurrent while maintaining a high output voltage\[14, 15\]. In an IBSC, a partially occupied energy band, or “intermediate band” (IB), located within the bandgap region of a semiconductor serves as a ‘stepping stone’ for the absorption of lower energy photons that are otherwise unattainable for photocurrent conversion. Unlike multi-junction cells, the IB solar cell enables photocurrent to be extracted through only a single p-n junction, greatly simplifying the device architecture. Detailed balance calculations show that a single junction cell formed in a material with a proper location of an IB can achieve an theoretical maximum power conversion efficiency of 63\%\[4\].

2.2 Theory

Figure 2.2 shows the band diagram of an intermediate band solar cell, which is a semiconductor with 3 energy bands: the valence band (VB), and conduction band (CB), and the additional intermediate band (IB). With three bands, there are three allowed optical transitions: VB to IB (energy $E_{IV}$), IB to CB (energy $E_{IC}$), VB to CB (energy $E_{CV}$). In 1997, Luque and Martí defined 7 conditions which are necessary to achieve an ideal IBSC, which are used as the basis of calculating the theoretical limiting performance of an ideal IBSC \[4\], these are summarized in Table 2.1. Thus, from a materials perspective, the ideal IBSC absorber would have negligible non-radiative recombination (IC1), high mobility (IC2), and a strong absorption coefficient (IC4). From a device design per-
spective, the ideal IBSC device would have an electrically isolated IB with perfect ohmic contacts (IC3), sufficient cell thickness to ensure full absorption (IC4), a high-quality mirror (IC5), and operate under high concentration with an ideal concentrator (IC7), while the absorber maintains an operating temperature, $T_a$, of 300K. Using these conditions, the limiting efficiency of an ideal IBSC can be determined solely based on the band gaps of the cell. Arithmetically $\epsilon_i + \epsilon_C = \epsilon_G$, where $\epsilon_i$, $\epsilon_C$, and $\epsilon_G$ represent the intermediate (smaller) bandgap, the larger bandgap, and the total bandgap, respectively. Thus, the limiting efficiency may be calculated\(^1\) as a function of just the total bandgap $\epsilon_G$ and the smaller, or intermediate, bandgap $\epsilon_i$, as shown in Figure 2.3.

Ideally the intermediate band would be half-filled with carriers that could be promoted to the conduction band. There are two ways to accomplish this: 1) high doping such that the Fermi level is in the middle of the IB, or 2) using high levels of illumination to photo-fill the IB with carriers.

### 2.2.1 The effect of concentration

A high level of concentration would be required to achieve sufficient photo-filling in the intermediate band. According to the detailed balance theory, the efficiency of a solar cell increases as a function of concentration, $X$. This is a direct result of an increase in open circuit voltage as shown below.

\(^1\text{Mathematica code based on the method outlined in [4] can be found in Appendix B.}\)
Table 2.1: Conditions necessary for an ideal IBSC, from Ref. [4]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>condition</th>
<th>design implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IC1 only radiative transitions between bands</td>
<td>keep non-radiative recombination low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC2 infinite carrier mobility</td>
<td>use high-mobility materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC3 no carrier extraction from IB</td>
<td>IB must be electrically isolated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC4 full absorption of photons at each energy</td>
<td>strong optical absorption coefficient $a_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC5 photons only escape front of cell</td>
<td>use excellent bottom mirror</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC6 stepwise absorption coefficient at each energy level</td>
<td>materials have high optical absorption coefficients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC7 isotropic cell illumination</td>
<td>use maximum achievable solar concentration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.3: Contour plot of ideal IBSC efficiency limit (shown in the color bar) calculated as a function of both the total bandgap $\epsilon_G$, and smaller bandgap $\epsilon_I$. 
2.2.1.1 Low injection

For low injection, if the incident solar flux is increased by a factor \( X \), the short circuit current density will also increase by \( X \), to within a first approximation, and the dark current will remain unchanged\(^2\). Thus \( J_{sc}(X) \approx X J_{sc} \). The resulting open circuit voltage is

\[
qV_{OC}(X) = nkT \ln \left( \frac{J_{SC}}{J_0} + 1 \right) \approx qV_{OC}(1) + nkT \ln [X] = nkT \ln \left( \frac{J_{SC}}{J_0} \right) + nkT \ln [X] \tag{2.1}
\]

where \( V_{oc}(1) \) is the open circuit voltage under 1 sun illumination, and \( n \) is a diode ideality factor. Equation 2.1 may also be written as

\[
qV_{OC}(X) = E_g - kT \ln \left( \frac{I_{SC}}{I_0} \right) + kT \ln \left( \frac{X}{r(\theta)} \right) \tag{2.2}
\]

where \( r(\theta) \) is the reflectivity for the cone of light with an angle, \( \theta \), which impinges the cell from the concentrator\[16\]. From this we see that \( V_{OC}(X) \) increases as the logarithm of \( X \). If the fill factor remains constant, the power output increases by

\[
P(X) = P(1) \left[ X \left( 1 + \frac{nk_BT}{qV_{OC}(1)} \ln (X) \right) \right] \tag{2.3}
\]

with the cell efficiency given by

\[
\eta(X) = \eta(1) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{nk_BT} \frac{qV_{OC}(1)}{qV_{OC}(1)} \ln (X) \right] \tag{2.4}
\]

However, both realistically and in the field, the dark current is indeed affected by concentration due to: 1) increased carrier density resulting in high injection; () increased operating temperature of the cell. Both these conditions cause deviations from the result obtained in Equation 2.1.

2.2.2 IBSC efficiency with a realistic solar spectrum

In addition to the idealistic material and device properties used above, the IBSC detailed balance calculation assumes that the sun is a perfect blackbody with temperature \( T_s=6000 \text{ K} \), and thus the incident solar irradiation is described by a blackbody spectrum. Other works have investigated the impact of using a more realistic input spectrum, such as the AM1.5G (under 1 sun) and AM1.5D (under concentrated sunlight), on the IBSC efficiency\[6, 17\]. The result being that there exist multiple maxima for each concentration level, instead of one global maximum as in the blackbody case. This effect is similar to

\(^2\)See, for example, section 9.5 of [12] for an excellent treatment on the effect of concentration on solar cell device physics.
Figure 2.4: Effect of concentration factor X on the short circuit current density of a GaAs-based IBSC. From [5]

the case of tandem solar cells under the AM1.5 spectrum[18]. Furthermore, the energy gap combinations corresponding to each maximum were found to shift by several tens of meVs over a concentration range of $X = 1$-10,000 suns. This has the design implication that a practical IBSC should have the desired concentration ratio taken into account when choosing the absorber bandgap. The effect of concentration ratio on IBSC operation is further explored in Chapter 5.

2.3 Key technical challenges

2.3.1 Identification of semiconductor material systems that result in intermediate bands

Any intermediate band absorber requires a deep level trap species that, in sufficient quantity, can delocalize to form a band. A few different systems have been studied for their potential as intermediate band materials. These include epitaxial quantum dots in quantum wells, highly mismatched III-V and II-VI alloys, and more recently, kesterites[19]. One approach, which has led to previous practical realization of IBSC is through the engineering of both II-VI and III-V highly-mismatched alloys. These materials display radical restructuring of the energy bands by changing the alloy composition and can be used to form intermediate band semiconductors. Two main challenges encountered have been 1) having a long enough carrier lifetime in the intermediate band and 2) having strong optical absorption in the intermediate band. We have recently begun experimentally measuring a new semiconductor material, multi-band GaNPAs, which has been demonstrated to have
strong optical absorption due to a direct bandgap and a potentially long carrier lifetime since the indirect band is very close in energy and there is transport between the bands. Furthermore, this alloy offers 2 degrees of freedom to engineer the bandgap so that it could be ideally matched to the solar spectrum[20].

It should be noted that this bulk approach to forming intermediate bands has alternatives, namely the use of energy levels of the confined states in a quantum dot[21], but their nanoscopic, epitaxial fabrication may make them impractical to manufacture at scale. In these systems, quantum confinement or nanoscale layering of different materials results in regions of the material with different band edge energy levels than their surroundings. In this way, the small bandgap regions can create a band within the large bandgap material. The energy levels using confined states in QD’s led to a demonstration solar cell in 2004, however solar cells based on QD’s typically have low absorption in the IB since the density of QD’s in the material is not high enough. Furthermore, there is a problem of tunneling between QD’s, which significantly reduces the open-circuit voltage, and hence the efficiency of the device. Despite this, there are reports of cells with efficiencies over 18%[22].

### 2.3.2 Selecting high-quality absorber materials

Intermediate band absorbers are attractive because of their simplicity compared to multi-junction devices. In a multi-junction device, the different sub-cells, often made of different materials, must be epitaxially matched. The same functionality can be achieved in a single material using an intermediate band absorber[23].

The principle challenge is that incorporating the extraordinary dopant densities required to form the delocalized band often leads to crystallographic phase segregation of the dopants. In this case the alloy is not truly uniform but rather a mixture of two or more
crystallographic phases. As a result, the unique band structure is lost. Non-equilibrium semiconductor growth techniques, such as molecular beam epitaxy and pulsed laser deposition, can be used to incorporate above-equilibrium concentrations of dopants. The idea of putting levels in the middle of the bandgap to increase the photocurrent was first proposed in 1960 and termed a “multi-transition cell” [24]. This idea was rejected initially because it was believed that these levels would act as trap centers for non-radiative recombination and kill the device efficiency. Any material candidate for IBSC application must take steps to inhibit non-radiative recombination, as is the case when density of impurities is high enough to exceed the Mott transition, where the behavior of the impurities effectively transitions from a trap-like state to that of a band [15, 25]. This is done by increasing the density of impurities beyond the density given by the Mott transitions. As a result, an electronic band forms and the electron wave function is delocalized, preventing detrimental Shockley-Reed Hall (SRH) recombination [26].

In addition, the doped material must be isolated from the conducting electron and hole contacts. One of the key requirements of the intermediate band is that the intermediate band is electrically isolated, acting as a “stepping stone” for sub-bandgap photons, which also make it analogous to a 2-terminal triple junction cell. If the intermediate band penetrates to the contact, carriers can be swept out of the intermediate band and the voltage of the device will be characteristic of one where the bandgap is only as large as the optical transition from the valence band to the intermediate band. In practice during thin-film deposition, this has been achieved through electron and hole selective contacts or the use of a wide-gap lattice-matched semiconductor such as AlGaAs as an electron-blocking layer in the case of IBSC based on GaAsN [27, 28] and is further explored in Chapter 5.

2.4 Experimental efforts

2.4.1 Quantum dots

Many experimental efforts to realize IBSC’s have focused on using mini-bands formed by confined states of a quantum dot array [21, 15]. In these systems, quantum confinement or nanoscale layering of different materials results in regions of the material with different band edge energy levels than their surroundings. In this way, the small bandgap regions can create a band within the large bandgap material. However, these quantum dot (QD) IBSC’s have limited success due to low absorption in the IB since the density of QD’s in the material is not high enough. Furthermore, there is the problem of tunneling between QD’s, which significantly reduces the open-circuit voltage, and hence the efficiency of the device [29]. A further review of IBSC experimental results can be found in Ref. [30].
Figure 2.6: Representative energy band diagram of an IBSC shown alongside the solar spectrum.

Table 2.2: Summary of approaches towards realization of IBSCs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>approach</th>
<th>material system</th>
<th>ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quantum dots</td>
<td>InGaAs/GaAs</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>InAs/GaNAs</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition metal</td>
<td>Ga₄P₃M and Ga₄PyM alloys</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impurity</td>
<td>TiGa₃As₄</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CuGaS₂:M (M=Fe,Co,Ni)</td>
<td>[35][36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SnS₂:V</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMA’s</td>
<td>GaNAs</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GaNAs</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZnOTe</td>
<td>[39, 40, 41]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table of Atomic Numbers and Electronegativities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atomic Number</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>VI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronegativity</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atomic Radius (Å)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronegativity</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atomic Radius (Å)</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronegativity</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atomic Radius (Å)</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronegativity</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atomic Radius (Å)</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.7: Columns III-VI of the periodic table relevant to the semiconductors in this work. Shown are the Pauling scale of electronegativity and the atomic radius.

Figure 2.8: Band structure calculation using the band anticrossing model for the nitrogen defect level in (a) the conduction band, such as GaAsN; and (b) below the conduction band, such as GaNPAs. Figure courtesy of R. Broesler.
2.4.2 Highly-mismatched alloys

Another approach using bulk materials is to use highly-mismatched semiconductor alloys (HMA’s), such as dilute nitrides[42, 43, 44] and oxides[45], which naturally exhibit an intermediate band. The formation of this intermediate band in HMA’s is explained by the band-anticrossing model (BAC)[46] explored further in Chapter 4. The BAC model describes the interaction between the localized isoelectronic impurity level (N in III-V case), and the conduction band of the host semiconductor matrix. This interaction splits the conduction band into two sub-bands, $E_-$ and $E_+$. The downward shift of the lower sub-band ($E_-$) is responsible for the reduction of the fundamental band gap and the optical transition from the valence band to the upper sub-band ($E_+$) accounts for the high-energy edge. Figure 2.6 depicts a representative IBSC system showing the 3 allowed optical transitions. IBSC proof-of-concept devices have been demonstrated in the II-VI alloy ZnOTe[47]. In 2011, an IBSC device based on the Group III-V highly-mismatched semiconductor GaNAs alloy was shown to have optical activity from the three energy bands. It proved to be a working PV cell where the IB contributed to a measurable increase in photocurrent [28].

Figure 2.9 shows the calculated efficiency of an IBSC based on the GaAs$_{1-x}$N$_x$ system with energy gap values determined by the BAC model (Equation 4.7). Practically, it is very difficult to achieve $x$ greater than 4% without significant degradation of material quality [48]. A key requirement for an efficient intermediate band solar cell is that the intermediate band should be narrow and well separated from the valence band and conduction band[15, 4]. In GaAsN the IB is wider because it is formed by splitting the conduction band into the $E_-$ and $E_+$ sub-bands and because the nitrogen level is located above the conduction band edge [49, 50]. Calculations based on the band anticrossing model show that the localized nitrogen level lies below the conduction band edge for GaN$_x$P$_{1-x-y}$As$_y$ alloys with $y < 0.7$, suggesting that the intermediate band formed will be narrow and hence more suitable as an intermediate band for IBSC’s compared with the GaAsN alloy system. Additionally, changing the As/P ratio ($y$) of the quaternary alloy allows for the modification of the location of the IB ($E_-$) and the conduction band ($E_+$) for optimum absorption of the solar spectrum. Furthermore, GaAs$_y$P$_{1-y}$ exhibits a direct-indirect gap crossover at approximately $y=0.5$, and for alloys with compositions $y>0.5$ the photon absorption occurs at the lower energy $\Gamma$ point through direct-gap absorption, yet electrons could also occupy the $X$-valley through inter-valley scattering[51, 52]. This could result in an IBSC absorber material with both high absorption coefficient and longer carrier lifetimes[53]. The band structure of the GaNPAs alloy system and its implications for IBSC’s is covered in Chapter 4.
Figure 2.9: Calculated efficiency of ideal GaAs$_{1-x}$N$_x$ IBSC as a function of N concentration, $x$.

Figure 2.10: Band offsets relative to vacuum of the GaAs$_{1-y}$P$_y$ alloy system for $y = 40\%$ (left), and schematic band structure showing the nitrogen level and the $\Gamma$ and $X$ CB minima.
Figure 2.11: Calculated $E[k]$ diagram for dilute-nitride GaAsPN with ~2% nitrogen. The interaction with localized N states splits the original host GaAsP conduction band into lower ($E_-$) and upper ($E_+$) conduction sub-bands, which become the IB and CB, respectively, in the intermediate band solar cell device scheme. The 3 allowed optical transitions are also shown.

### 2.5 Summary of requirements for viable intermediate band solar cells

The material requirements for intermediate band solar cells can be summarized as follows:

- The position of the IB has to be controllable
  - Necessary to optimize the absorption of the solar spectrum
- The IB must have a sufficiently high DOS
  - Non-radiative recombination has to be inhibited
- The IB must be partially filled
  - Has electrons to be promoted to the CB and empty states to receive electrons from the VB
- The IB has to be isolated from the CB
  - High energy electrons will not be thermalized to the IB
The IB must be electrically isolated from the contacts

- $V_{OC}$ is determined by the largest gap

## 2.6 Summary

The dissertation focuses on two dilute nitride alloy systems for IBSC applications: GaAsN and GaNPAs. Though GaAsN has already proven itself as a potentially viable IB absorber material [28], nanowires based on GaAsN would offer the additional benefit of high light absorption and the ability to use a range of low-cost substrates, including silicon. Chapter 3 details the growth and characterization of GaAsN nanowires.

The quaternary GaNPAs alloy system is another candidate material for IBSC’s that may overcome some of the shortcomings of the GaAsN system[20]. GaNPAs has the potential to be better suited to PV conversion of the solar spectrum, and has the advantage that, as a quaternary alloy, it offers another degree of electronic structure tunability by varying the As:P ratio in addition to the amount of nitrogen in the alloy. A detailed exploration of the electronic structure of GaNPAs is found in Chapter 4, and the results of GaNPAs IBSC device characterization are found in Chapter 5.
Chapter 3

Dilute nitride nanowires
3.1 Background

3.1.1 Nanowires for PV

Of particular interest, latently, to the PV research community, are PV designs based on, or incorporating, nanostructured materials. They offer the potential to exploit quantum confinement or surface-area to volume effects to enhance PV device efficiency, which may be impractical or impossible to achieve using bulk materials engineering. Examples include nanocrystals (0-dimensional confinement), nanotubes, nanorods, nanopillars, nanowires (all of which are 1-dimensional confinement), and quantum wells (2-dimensional confinement). These nanostructures can provide advantages both through strong light absorption (high optical absorption coefficient), and efficiency in charge-carrier separation as a result of large surface area to volume ratio [54]. Nanowires have the potential to be exploited for many applications due to their small size and high surface area to volume ratio. These applications range from use as biological [55, 56] and chemical sensors [57], to solid-state batteries, to applications in optoelectronics including the next generation of lasers, solar cells [58, 59, 60], and LED’s [61].

The PV community, in particular, is interested in nanowires for PV since they offer the promise of coupling a 1-dimensional direct path for charge transport [62], and a high surface area for light harvesting. Nanowire arrays typically have strong antireflection properties, and exhibit excellent light-trapping effects, all while using less actual material than the comparative bulk design [63]. Furthermore, due to their unique one-dimensionality, nanowires are able to solve certain problems that in the thin-film semiconductor community such as integration of III-V’s and other semiconductors directly onto silicon [64]. Nanowires provide a mechanism to relax lattice strain during growth and thus pave the way for optoelectronic semiconductor growth on lattice-mismatched substrates, such as III-V’s on silicon and germanium [65, 66]. Additionally, the ability to selectively grow heterostructures and superlattices allows for bandgap engineering and potential to further control optical and electronic behavior of nanowires [67].

Figure 3.1 shows the calculated efficiency limit of an idealized IBSC based on GaAs$_{1-x}$N$_x$ nanowires using the detailed balance theory developed in Chapter 2. There are two maxima, at $x=1.3\%$ and $x=6.5\%$. Due to the relatively large lattice mismatch (~21\%) between GaAs and GaAsN, it is difficult to incorporate large amounts of nitrogen in GaAsN. From the standpoint of thin-film growth, the practical nitrogen concentration is in the range between 0\% and 4\%, where there is both a significant change in the electronic structure due to band anticrossing and the material quality of the film remains high [48]. Thus, for IBSC applications, a nitrogen concentration of approximately $x=1.3\%$ was chosen as the target composition for GaAs$_{1-x}$N$_x$ nanowires.
Figure 3.1: Calculated efficiency ($\eta$) as a function of nitrogen concentration for an ideal IBSC based on GaAsN nanowires.

### 3.1.2 Growth

The nanowire growth may be explained in terms of the vapour-liquid-solid (VLS) growth mechanism[68][69]. In VLS growth, the minimum radius of the liquid droplet used for wire nucleation is given as

$$ R_{\text{min}} = \frac{2V_L}{RT \ln(s)} \sigma_{VL} $$

(3.1)

where $V_L$ is the volume of the droplet, $\sigma_{VL}$ is the vapour-liquid surface free energy, $R$ the molar gas constant, $T$ the temperature, and $s$ the degree of supersaturation in the vapour phase. From this equation it is apparent that a higher substrate temperature will yield a smaller diameter droplet, and hence a nanowire with smaller diameter.

Previously, GaAs nanowires have been grown with molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) without the use of a catalyst seed particle\(^1\), using gallium to catalyze the wire growth[70]. These catalyst-free GaAs nanowires can be grown on a number of substrates, including silicon (111)[71]. Varying the growth conditions allows for control over the physical dimensions of the wires and properties including chemical composition, including the formation of core-shell nanowires[72]. However, there exist few studies on the growth and resulting properties of HMA nanowires. Other works have shown that controlled changes in the alloy composition of the II-VI alloy nanowires $ZnSe_{1-x}Te_x$ can be achieved by varying the growth temperature. The resulting change in electronic structure, namely, an extreme bandgap bowing, is described within the framework of the band anticrossing model[73].

For III-V dilute nitride nanowires, there has been recent work focused on both the GaP/GaPN[74] system and the GaAs/GaAsN system. In the GaP/GaPN system, the authors were able to achieve a nitrogen concentration of up to 0.9% as determined by photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy[75]. In the case of $GaAs_{1-x}N_x/GaAs$, nanowire

\(^1\)Typically gold, nickel, or other metals may be used.
heterostructures with a nitrogen concentration of 0.3% have been achieved using the self-catalyzed technique[76]. Though this work was significant in that it demonstrated the feasibility of growing $GaAs_{1-x}N_x$ nanowires, the nitrogen concentration was low, and thus the resulting change in the bandgap energy as measured by PL was relatively small. Though 0.3% of nitrogen is close to the solubility limit in GaAs[77], one of the chief advantages of using a non-equilibrium growth technique such as molecular beam epitaxy is that it enables higher concentrations far exceeding the solid solubility limit. This chapter describes a novel approach for the catalyst-free growth of GaAs nanowires and GaAs/GaAsN core-shell nanowire structures and their resulting structural, electronic, and optical properties.

### 3.2 Molecular Beam Epitaxy Growth of III-V and highly-mismatched alloy nanowires

The GaAs and GaAsN nanowires were grown by the catalyst-free MBE VLS method[71]. Growth was performed with an Intervac Gen II MBE with solid source Ga and As effusion cells, ion- and cryo- pumps, as well as a reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED) system. A DC constricted-plasma source (CPS)[78] was used as the source of nitrogen, which has been shown to provide high nitrogen incorporation efficiency, growth stability, and reproducibility in GaAsN epitaxial layers [79, 80]. The Nitrogen flow rate during growth was monitored via a Tylan (Millipore) FC-2950M mass flow controller. A Glassman ER series DC voltage source (100mA, 3kV) was used for precise control and regulation of the nitrogen plasma.

With the constricted-plasma source, the plasma is initiated in the gas (diatomic nitrogen in this case) flowing through the source and into the chamber. Though the degree of ionization is low, defined as the ratio of charged monatomic nitrogen species compared to the concentration of neutral diatomic nitrogen species, a considerable amount of excited atoms may still be involved in the growth. The two important parameters which define the plasma are the nitrogen flow rate $F$ into the course and the DC current $I$ passing through the discharge chamber. For a given flow rate, there is a minimum current, $I_{\text{min}}$, required to maintain a stable plasma. Figure 3.3b shows the $I_{\text{min}}$ vs $F$ curve for the DC plasma source used[81]. Below a certain flow rate, the plasma is classified as unstable and will typically be extinguished after several minutes. This is due to the flow rate dropping low enough to quench the plasma as a result of fluctuations in the nitrogen supply, which may periodically cause a lower than normal flow rate.

Though the flow rate is a crucial parameter in determining the stability of the plasma, previous experiments on the growth of GaAsN layers determined that $F$ only marginally affects the nitrogen incorporation efficiency, $\eta_{\text{inc}}$, in the epilayers. $\eta_{\text{inc}}$ is the product of the nitrogen activation efficiency of the plasma source, $\eta_{\text{ac}}$, and the growth condition dependent sticking coefficient of the active nitrogen species, $\eta_{st}$ [79]. However, $\eta_{\text{inc}}$ was found to depend linearly on the on the plasma discharge current. As shown in Figure
Figure 3.2: Schematic of MBE growth fitted with DC constricted plasma source for N. Adapted from [7].

(a) Design schematic. From [78].

(b) Plasma current, $I$, vs flow rate, $F$, which is required to maintain a stable plasma. From [81].

(c) Nitrogen incorporation efficiency $\eta_{\text{fl}}$ as a function of plasma discharge current $I$. From ref. [79].

Figure 3.3: The DC constricted plasma source.
3.3c, a maximum $\eta_{\text{in}}$ of nearly 10% was reached at a discharge current of 45 mA. The reason that the incorporation efficiency depends mainly on discharge current is due to the fact the current is directly proportional to the flux density of the ions which generate actively charged nitrogen species during the collusion process[79].

All growths were performed on Si (111) substrates with no special surface cleaning or treatment before growth. Other groups report the use of organic chemical solvents to clean the Si (111) surface before transferring to the MBE growth chamber[71], but in our case it was not found to be necessary. Furthermore, the native oxide layer was left intact during all growth runs.

### 3.2.1 Growth of GaAs nanowires

Growth of GaAs nanowires was performed both without and with a gallium pre-deposition step, hereafter referred to as Type A and Type B GaAs nanowires, respectively. The purpose of the pre-deposition step was to deposit a gallium droplet on the silicon substrate that would act as seed catalyst particle for the VLS nanowire growth. For the wires grown without gallium pre-deposition, the silicon substrate was brought up to 560 °C and then exposed to constant arsenic flux for 5 minutes at a beam equivalent pressure (BEP) of $1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ Torr. Then, the gallium flux was turned on at a 15:1 As/Ga BEP ratio for 10 minutes to grow the wires. The gallium source was then turned off and the substrate was immediately cooled. After the temperature dropped below 500 °C, the As source was turned off and the wires continued to cool to room temperature. For the wires grown with Ga pre-deposition, the substrate was initially heated to 560 °C and then exposed to Ga flux for 5 seconds, allowing for the formation of Ga droplets on the surface. The As flux was then turned on and GaAs wires were grown in As-rich conditions for 10 minutes at the equivalent 15:1 As/Ga BEP ratio at a flux that would correspond to 1um/hour growth rate for thin-film GaAs, or 1 monolayer/second. A constant substrate rotation of 7 r.p.m. was used during all growths. The table summarizes the growth conditions of both thin-film GaAs, GaAsN, and GaAs NW’s.

### 3.2.2 Growth of GaAsN nanowires

In order to grow GaAsN nanowires, two distinct growth methodologies were used: a core-shell, or radial growth method; and an axial growth method. Each will be discussed in-turn.

#### 3.2.2.1 Core-shell GaAs/GaAsN nanowires

For the core-shell nanowires, the initial procedure was the same as for the growth of GaAs nanowires, as the GaAs wires act as a template or “core” for the growth of the GaAsN shell. First, the initial GaAs nanowire core was grown for 10 minutes, following the recipe above. Next, there was a subsequent 10 minute growth interruption during which
the gallium shutter was closed, the nitrogen plasma source was started and stabilized. In the MBE growth of nanowire heterostructures, a growth interruption is used in order to change source materials. For GaAs, this is typically done under a constant arsenic pressure while the gallium source is shuttered. Other studies[71] have shown that a growth interruption will result in a termination of the VLS growth process because the gallium droplet at the tip would evaporate. Also during the growth interruption the temperature was lowered to the desired GaAsN growth temperature of between 450 °C and 565 °C. The nitrogen flow rate used was between 1-2 SCCM, and a plasma current was chosen between 10 and 40 mA depending on the desired amount of nitrogen. After the growth interruption, the sample underwent 1 minute of nitridation under constant As flux, then the gallium shutter was re-opened for 30 minutes while the GaAsN “shell” layer was grown. Finally, the gallium shutter was closed and the nitrogen source was turned off. The sample was left under As flux exposure while the sample was cooled to below 300 °C to prevent GaAs decomposition.

3.2.2.2 Axial GaAs/GaAsN nanowires

For the axial nanowires, GaAs nanowires were first grown for 5 minutes at a substrate temperature of 565 °C under constant $N_2$ gas flux. This then allowed the nitrogen plasma to be started immediately without a growth interruption, and with continued exposure to As and Ga flux, continuing the VLS growth process. Growth continued with the plasma on, at a voltage of 1.0mA and flow rate of 2 SCCM for 5 minutes. Next, the plasma was switched off, but the growth continued for another 5-15 minutes again under constant $N_2$ gas flux. Finally, the gallium source was closed and the sample was cooled to room
### Table 3.2: Nanowire growth conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>thin-film GaAs</th>
<th>GaAs NW</th>
<th>GaAsN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>growth rate</td>
<td>1 um/hr</td>
<td>1 um/hr</td>
<td>1 um/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As/Ga BEP</td>
<td>20:1</td>
<td>15:1</td>
<td>20:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate</td>
<td>GaAs</td>
<td>Si(111), SiO$_2$ coated GaAs</td>
<td>GaAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth temp. (°C)</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>580-600 on Si, 580-630 on GaAs</td>
<td>400-500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.4: Diagram of X-ray diffractometer in the 2θ-ω measurement geometry. From ref. [84].

The size, shape, and areal density of the samples were characterized with scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with energy dispersive x-ray analysis (EDAX). The composition and crystallinity were investigated with high-resolution X-ray diffraction (XRD), using a Phillips X’pert Pro X-ray diffractometer in the 2θ-ω scan geometry. In this type of symmetric measurement, the source is fixed, the sample is rotated by an angle θ, and the detector rotates by 2θ.

Figure 3.5 shows a symmetric 2θ-ω XRD scan of the GaAs NW, and the GaAs$_{(1-x)}$N$_x$ NW samples. Both samples exhibit the GaAs zinc-blende (111) GaAs peak, confirming crystallinity of the sample, in addition to peaks from the Si (111) growth substrate. In the GaAsN sample, the GaAs (111) peak is shifted to a larger diffraction angle 2-theta, consistent with a reduction in crystalline lattice size. Using Vegard’s law

$$a_{GaAsN} = (1-x)a_{GaAs} + xa_{GaN}$$

where $a_{GaAs}$ is the lattice parameter of GaAs, and $a_{GaN}$ is the lattice parameter of cubic...
Figure 3.5: XRD symmetric 2θ-ω scan of GaAs (A.17) and GaAsN(A.19). Shown are the Si(111) peak from the substrate at 28.5 degrees, and zinc-blende GaAs peak, which shifts from 27.2 degrees to 27.4 degrees with increasing nitrogen concentration.

zinc-blende GaN. There is a 0.76 percent reduction in lattice parameter of the GaAsN NW sample due to N incorporation. However, using Vegard’s law to estimate the nitrogen composition of GaAsN epilayers results in an overestimation of nitrogen content by up to 30% for x<2.5% due to formation of nitrogen-related defects in $GaAs_{1-x}N_x$, which would consequently lead to errors when describing the electronic structure changes in the $GaAs_{1-x}N_x$, namely bandgap reduction with increasing nitrogen incorporation[85]. Thus, we can use the method of Li et al. to set an upper bound of $x=1.4\%(\pm 0.1)$ in the $GaAs_{(1-x)}N_x$ samples[85].

3.3.2 GaAs Nanowires

Figure 3.6 (a) shows an SEM image of Type A GaAs NW’s grown on Si (111) substrate without the Ga pre-deposition step and Figure 3.6 (b) shows the Type B NW’s grown with the Ga pre-deposition. In both cases, the NW’s are vertically oriented and of uniform areal density. Though the growth time and temperature were the same in both cases, the Type B nanowires were approximately 2-3 longer and diameter appeared to be more uniform among the wires surveyed. As discussed previously, the diameter of the wire is determined by the size of the gallium droplet, which is a function of As or Ga flux during growth, and has been studied in detail in other work[71]. Clearly, using the gallium pre-deposition step (Type B wires) produced better results, and this method was used in all subsequent growths of GaAs/GaAsN wires. Figure 3.7 (b) shows a representative energy-dispersive X-ray analysis (EDAX) scan from a GaAs nanowire. Using EDAX, it was determined that the tip of the nanowire is predominantly gallium, and the Ga:As fraction does not vary significantly along the length of the nanowire.
(a) Type A GaAs NW’s grown on Si (111) substrate without Ga pre-deposition step.

(b) Type B GaAs NW’s grown on Si (111) substrate with Ga pre-deposition.

Figure 3.6: SEM images of GaAs NW’s.

(a)

(b)

Figure 3.7: (a) SEM image of Type B GaAs nanowire transferred to silicon wafer. The wires shown are approximately 1-1.2 um in length. Scale bar: 500nm. (b) EDAX spectra of GaAs nanowire body. The large silicon peak is due to the Si substrate.
3.3.3 GaAs/GaAsN nanowires

3.3.3.1 Core-shell GaAs/GaAsN nanowires

Two sets of representative GaAs/GaAsN core-shell NW structure are shown in Figures 3.8 and 3.9.

3.4 Optical Characterization

Optical properties of nanowires were investigated using low-temperature micro-photoluminescence (micro-PL) and photoreflectance (PR) spectroscopy.
3.4.1 Photoluminescence

PL measurements were performed at both room temperature and at 4K. The samples were first measured in the vertically-oriented array geometry. GaAs NW’s exhibit room temperature PL, whereas the GaAsN NW’s do not. This may be due to the fact that the GaAs/GaAsN core-shell structure has the lower bandgap material on the outside (the “shell”) and thus there is no electron confinement which prevents carriers from recombining at the surface. Furthermore, the GaAsN wires appear to have much rougher surface morphology than the GaAs wires as determined by SEM. At low temperature, the GaAsN nanowires exhibit a broad PL at a lower energy, with a luminescence peak centered at 1.31 eV and full-width at half maximum of 0.109 eV. An excitation power dependence of this peak shows that the luminescence can be modeled as a free-to-bound exciton or donor-acceptor pair recombination. The measured power dependence of the PL intensity \( I \) was fitted by

\[
I \sim L^k
\]

where \( L \) is the power of the exciting laser light, and \( k \) is a fitting coefficient. For the data shown, \( k \) has a value of 0.89, and it has been shown that for excitation laser energy \( h\nu \) lower than the semiconductor bandgap energy \( E_g \), \( k \) is generally less than 1 for free-to-bound or donor-acceptor pair recombination[86].

Also observed is the appearance of so-called sharp lines in the GaAsN photoluminescence, which have been reported before as arising from the recombination of carriers through localized and delocalized states[87]. More specifically, the sharp lines are due to the recombination of localized excitons which are confined by radiative centers. The energy and relative intensity of the sharp lines depends on the spatial location of the laser
Table 3.3: Nanowire transfer methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>method</th>
<th>application</th>
<th>description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ultrasonication</td>
<td>TEM samples</td>
<td>ultrasonicate in IPA for 10-60 minutes, transfer via dropper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scratching</td>
<td>not suitable</td>
<td>drag as-grown sample across desired substrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>judicious-tapping</td>
<td>PL &amp; SEM samples</td>
<td>place as-grown sample on desired substrate, tap using tweezers to transfer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4.1.1 Transferred Nanowires

In order to isolate the effect of an individual wire and rule out any effects of either substrate or additional material deposited during the NW growth, micro-PL was performed on NW after transfer to a clean Si(111) substrate. To transfer the wires, three separate methods were used, summarized in Table 3.3. The first, ultrasonication, consisted of placing the as-grown samples in a vial of iso-propyl alcohol (IPA) and sonicating for 10-60 minutes. The sonication process removes the wires from the silicon chip, and the wires can be transferred to another substrate or TEM sample grid by using a dropper. This method was found to be the best for preparing TEM samples. The second method, scratching, involved placing the as-grown sample face down upon another silicon wafer. Tweezers were then used to drag the sample across the transfer substrate, leaving wires deposited on the transfer substrate, albeit many of which may be broken. The third method, “judicious-tapping,” involves placing the as-grown sample face down on another piece of silicon. A pair of tweezers or a diamond scribe are then used to forcefully, but judiciously, tap the back of the as-grown sample, which results in the transfer or wires to the transfer substrate. This method produced the best results when transferring wires to another silicon chip for PL or SEM studies since it leaves behind a relatively high density of wires in good condition.

The GaAs NW’s exhibit sharp low-temperature PL as shown in Figure 3.11. The luminescence peak at 1.52 eV agrees with results obtained in other works[88] and corresponds to the free exciton emission in zinc-blende GaAs[89], whereas the lower energy peak at approximately 1.48 eV is due to recombination from the wurtzite crystal phase of GaAs, as has been reported previously[90]. From TEM measurements, the samples exhibit mixed zinc-blende and wurtzite phase [91]. This is often the case for GaAs NWs, which typically exhibit highly faulted zinc blende/wurtzite crystal structure and luminescence from both phases is often visible [92, 93]. Both bands also exhibit inhomogenous broadening, likely a result of size dispersion. The relatively large peak width (0.010 eV) could be due to small variations in exciton emission energy caused by strain or compositional inhomogeneities. The intensity of each PL peak as function of excitation power is plotted in the Figure 3.11.
Figure 3.11: PL spectra of single GaAs nanowire at different excitation power from 50 uW to 1 mW at T=4 K. Inset shows the excitation power dependence of the PL intensity for the 1.48 eV peak (wurtzite phase) and the 1.52 eV phase (zinc blende phase).
Figure 3.12: PL spectra of GaAsN nanowire array at 4 K, with inset showing the excitation power dependence of the PL intensity of the GaAsN nanowire array.

The luminescence peak associated with the zinc blende GaAs phase has a $k$ value of approximately 1.16, which generally corresponds to a free or bound-exciton emission. For the wurtzite GaAs phase, $k$ is less than 1, which could be indicative of donor-acceptor pair recombination [86].

Micro-PL of the GaAs/GaAsN core-shell structure is displayed in Figure 3.12. The luminescence peak is considerably broadened, due perhaps to the poor quality of the GaAsN layer or lack of a cladding layer. Such degradation of PL efficiency also occurs in GaAsN thin-films, but the efficiency can be improved via post-growth annealing [94]. A shift in luminescence peak to a much lower energy of 1.3 eV is observed, consistent with bandgap reduction due to the incorporation of nitrogen. In Figure 3.13 is shown the low-temperature micro-PL of an individual GaAsN nanowire. The luminescence energy is consistent with that of the vertically-oriented array, and is broad in the region of energy from 1.3-1.35 eV, the sharp lines associated with the recombination of localized excitons are present in the individual wire as well.

Overall, the PL quality of the GaAsN nanowires is poor, and it has been suggested in the literature that an AlGaAs cladding layer has been shown to improve the PL properties of bare GaAs NW’s by passivating the GaAs surface [88]. Furthermore, transmission electron microscopy studies of the GaAs and GaAsN nanowires have shown the existence of twinning defects, which have been reported to adversely affect the optical and electronic properties of semiconductor nanowires [95, 96, 97]. Thus, there is considerable room for
improvement in optimizing the optoelectronic properties of these nanowires by improving the structural quality.

3.4.2 Photoreflectance

3.4.2.1 Experimental Description

Photoreflectance (PR) characterization was performed on the samples with the modulation of band bending by a 442 nm line from a chopped HeCd laser with an output power of approximately 30 mW. Monochromatic light was focused on the sample with a Acton double-grating monochromator blazed for 300 nm and collected via the standard lock-in technique. The energy of the pump laser beam determines the highest accessible critical point of the sample under study, thus in this study we are restricted to accessing transitions below 2.8 eV due to the laser energy. Due to the difficulty in measuring PR spectra from NW arrays, which typically exhibit very low reflection, the sample was placed at a 45 degree angle of incidence to the probing beam. All PR measurements were performed at room temperature.

To extract energies of optical transitions in the GaAs and GaAsN NW samples from the PR spectra, these transitions have been fitted by Aspnes’ third-derivative functional form of the Lorentzian lineshape[98]
\[ \frac{\Delta R}{R}(E) = \text{Re}[Ce^{i\theta}(E - E_j + i\Gamma)^{-m}] \]  

(3.4)

where \( \frac{\Delta R}{R}(E) \) is the energy dependence of PR signal, \( C \) and \( \theta \) are the amplitude and phase of the resonance, and \( E_j \) and \( \Gamma \) are the energy and the broadening parameter of the optical transition, respectively. The term \( m \) depends on the nature of the optical transition, specifically type of critical point: for an excitonic transition \( m = 2 \), for a three-dimensional one electron transition, \( m = 5/2 \), and for a two-dimensional one electron transition \( m = 3 \)[99, 100].

The modulus of the PR resonance \( \rho \) was obtained according to Eq. 3.5 with parameters taken from the fit.

\[ \Delta \rho(E) = \frac{|C|}{[(E - E_j)^2 + \Gamma^2]^{\frac{m}{2}}} \]  

(3.5)

The integrated modulus of the resonance can be interpreted as the oscillator strength of the optical transition [87, 101]. Broadening is related to both sample quality and temperature. During the fitting, each resonance has to be justified since imaginary transitions can be generated if too many resonances are used to simulate the spectra. To facilitate this, the modulus of each individual resonance is plotted and evaluated, wherein the transition intensity and energy difference between resonances is compared. Thus, allowed transitions will be much stronger than partially allowed ones, and the (temperature induced) broadening of each PR resonance should be comparable. It is accepted that the broadening of PR resonances is higher for excited state, or partially allowed transitions.

### 3.4.2.2 Results

Figures 3.14 and 3.15 show typical PR spectra from an array of vertically oriented GaAs NW and GaAsN NW’s respectively. In the GaAs NW’s there is a sharp feature associated with the critical point transition of the energy gap of GaAs, \( E_0 \), at 1.42 eV. In the GaAsN NW’s, there are features associated with the energy gap of GaAs, \( E_0 \), at 1.42 eV as well as from the transition from the valence band to \( E \) at lower energy 1.23 eV. There are also features associated with transitions from the split-off valence band to both the conduction band at approximately 1.55 eV, and to \( E \) at approximately 1.74 eV. The energies of the critical point transitions were determined by the fitting procedure. In this case a value of \( m=3 \) yielded the best fit to experimental data, which corresponds to a 3-dimensional critical point transition[102]. No features due to \( E_+ \) were observed. Overall, the energies of both the PL luminescence peak and the critical-point transitions determined by PR are in good agreement. However, the introduction of nitrogen also resulted in a decrease of structural quality in the nanowires, as evidenced by the SEM, TEM, and PL results. This could be improved in the future by addressing some of the considerations made when growing GaAsN, such as optimizing the growth temperature[80, 103], and adding an AlGaAs cladding layer to reduce surface recombination.
Figure 3.14: PR spectra of GaAs nanowires together with fitted curve (solid line) and decomposition of the individual modulus of PR resonance.
Figure 3.15: PR spectra of GaAsN nanowires together with fitted curve (solid line) and decomposition of the individual modulus of PR resonance. Inset: root-mean-squared curve-fitting error for different $m$ relative to $m=3$. 
3.5 Outlook

In summary, the growth of both GaAs and GaAsN nanowires using the self-catalyzed MBE technique was achieved. This approach is novel due to the use of a DC constricted plasma source as a source of nitrogen, which has yielded better film quality in thin-film GaAsN samples. The introduction of nitrogen into GaAs nanowires yielded changes in both the structural (lattice constant) and electrical (bandgap) properties of the nanowires. The electronic structure changes are well-described within the framework of the conduction band anticrossing model. In the future, this technique may provide a promising route for the integration of III-V nanoscale heterostructures on silicon.
Chapter 4

Electronic band structure of GaNPAs
4.1 Theory

Historically, two independent approaches have been used to explain the unusual behavior of dilute nitrides: one is based on detailed band structure calculations from first principles methods[104][105][50][106] while the other is a phenomenological approach based on the experimentally observed band anticrossing effect in the conduction band of dilute nitrides such as GaAsN[42, 107]. While both these approaches are complementary, the second approach, called the band anticrossing (BAC) model, is also supported by theoretical studies based on the tight-binding method [108][109][110]. This model is currently used in a wide variety of work to calculate conduction band dispersion relations in the dilute nitride class of materials.

4.1.1 Band anticrossing model

The BAC model assumes that N atoms, substituted into the group V elements, are randomly distributed in the crystal lattice and weakly coupled to extended states of the host semiconductor matrix [42, 107, 111]. The original BAC model developed for GaN$_x$As$_{1-x}$ alloys considered two sets of states: localized states of substitutional nitrogen atoms and extended states of the GaAs matrix conduction band. Subsequently the model has been modified to consider a larger set of bands with a standard \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) approach [112, 113]. In the case of GaN$_x$P$_y$As$_{1-x-y}$ alloys, the locations of the conduction band minima in the GaP$_y$As$_{1-y}$ matrix depend on the alloy composition, and in the current case of GaN$_x$P$_{0.4}$As$_{0.6-x}$, all the high-symmetry conduction band minima (\( \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{L} \)) interact with the close lying N level. Interaction of the N-related states with a single conduction band minimum is modeled by the BAC Hamiltonian using perturbation theory.

Figure 4.1 illustrates a 2-level system comprised of a superposition of quantum states \( |a\rangle \) and \( |b\rangle \). The wave function can be written as a linear combination of orthonormal basis states

\[
\psi(x, t) = c_1(t) \phi_1(x) + c_2 \phi_2(x).
\]

The time dependent coefficients \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) satisfy the Schrödinger equation \( \hat{H} |\Psi\rangle = E_{\Psi} |\Psi\rangle \) in matrix form

\[
\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} c_1(t) \\ c_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{11} & H_{12} \\ H_{21} & H_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1(t) \\ c_2(t) \end{pmatrix}.
\]

with the matrix elements given by

\[
H_{ij} = \langle \phi_i(x) | \hat{H} | \phi_j(x) \rangle.
\]

The energy eigenvalue problem for this 2-level system is thus given as

\[
\begin{vmatrix} E_a & \Delta \\ \Delta & E_b \end{vmatrix} - E \cdot \mathbf{I} = 0.
\]
Figure 4.1: Illustration of simple 2 level system.

yielding

$$\begin{vmatrix} E_a - E & \Delta \\ \Delta & E_b - E \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

with $\Delta$ a measure of the hybridization strength. More specifically, $|a\rangle$ represents the extended host semiconductor states with energy $E_M$ and $|b\rangle$ represents the localized nitrogen states with energy $E_N$. Thus, the BAC Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\begin{vmatrix} E_M(k) & V_{MN}\sqrt{x} \\ V_{MN}\sqrt{x} & E_N \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

(4.4)

where $V_{MN} = \langle k | V | N \rangle$ is the matrix element (coupling constant) describing the interaction between the two states, $x$ is the mole fraction of substitutional N (defect) atoms, $E_M(k)$ is the energy dispersion of the lowest conduction band of the III-V host semiconductor matrix, and $E_N$ is the energy of the N level, with all energies referenced relative to the top of the valence band of the III-V semiconductor host. $|k\rangle$ is the $A_1$-symmetric conduction band at the of the III-V host with the energy, and $|N\rangle$ is the $A_1$-symmetric level of an isolated nitrogen impurity in $T_d$ symmetry with energy $E_N$.

Equation 4.4 may be re-written to include a broadening term $\Gamma_d$ in the many-impurity Anderson model[114] such that

$$\Gamma_d = \pi \beta V^2 \rho_0 \left( E^d \right)$$

(4.5)

where $\rho_0$ is the unperturbed density of states of the conduction band, with energy dispersion given by $E^c_k$, and $\beta$ is a prefactor which was experimentally determined to be 0.22 in the case of GaAsN[49].

Thus, the Hamiltonian becomes

$$\begin{vmatrix} E^c_k - E_M(k) & V_{MN}\sqrt{x} \\ V_{MN}\sqrt{x} & E_N + i\Gamma_d - E(k) \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$ 

(4.6)

If broadening is neglected, we may take $\Gamma_d = 0$, and Equation 4.6 reduces to the standard BAC Hamiltonian given by Equation 4.4. Thus, the interaction of the dispersionless N-related states with the conduction band states results in a characteristic level anticrossing
interaction that results in a splitting of the conduction band into two highly non-parabolic sub-bands, $E$ and $E_+$, given by [42]

$$E_{\pm}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ E_N + E_M(k) \pm \sqrt{(E_N - E_M(k))^2 + 4V_{MN}^2} \right\} (4.7)$$

It has been shown previously that a similar approach can be applied to describe the BAC interaction with minima at the $X$ and $L$ points of the Brillouin zone. The only difference is that the coupling constant, $V_{MN}$, has to be modified since the conduction band states lose the $s$-like character with the $A_1$ symmetry. It was observed that the interaction between N-related states and conduction band states at the boundary of Brillouin zone still exists for dilute nitrides [113]. Some experimental evidence of this interaction has been provided by Mascarenhas et al. using resonant Raman scattering [115], and Perkins et al. using the electroreflectance technique [116]. Thus, a non-zero $V_{MN}$ element is expected for electron states at the boundary of Brillouin zone. It has been found previously[44] that the $V_{MN}$ element can be expressed as

$$V_{MN}(k) = \frac{V_{NT}}{\left[ 1 + (ak)^2 \right]^2} (4.8)$$

where $a$ is the parameter of the order of lattice constant describing the spatial extent of the N localization wave function and $V_{NT}$ is the $V_{MN}$ element for $k=0$, which should be determined from measurements of the band anti-crossing interaction in the conduction band at the $\Gamma$ point. Experimentally, it has been observed that $V_{MN}(k)$ at the X point is 3-4 times smaller than $V_{NT}$ [44].

The BAC model considers only a single nitrogen level on a substitutional lattice site or narrow impurity band and thus ignores mixing with the $L$ and $X$ valleys. Additionally, it does not take into account the formation of N-N pairs or clusters that may form in diluted nitride semiconductors. Other approaches to describe the behavior of this system, such as empirical pseudopotential calculations, can be computationally demanding. However, what the BAC does provide is a solid foundation for describing the material properties of dilute nitride semiconductor alloys, such as the band gap, the temperature dependence of the bandgap, the electron effective mass, and the characteristics of $E$- and $E_+$ close to the center of the Brillouin zone.

### 4.1.2 Density of states

Following the derivation presented in ref. [117] of the two-level BAC model\(^1\), the density of states of the conduction band edge in a III-V semiconductor can assumed to have the parabolic form from effective-mass theory.

---

\(^1\)See also ref. [118] for a thorough treatment thorough treatment of valence band anticrossing in highly-mismatched alloys.
\[
\rho_0 (\varepsilon) = 4\pi \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon - E_0^c}}{\varepsilon_B^{3/2}}
\]  
(4.9)

where \(\varepsilon_B\) is of the order of the conduction band width and is given by

\[
\varepsilon_B = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} (2\pi/b)^2
\]  
(4.10)

where \(b\) is the lattice parameter of the unit cell, and \(m^*_e\) is the electron effective mass. The density of states can then be calculated from the imaginary part of the Green’s function given by[49]:

\[
\rho (E) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \rho_0 (E_k^c) \Im \{G_{kk} (E)\} dE_k^c
\]  
(4.11)

where \(G_{kk}\) is the diagonal Green’s function in momentum space according to the Coherent potential approximation (CPA) and written as[49]:

\[
G_{kk} (E) = \left[ E - E_k^c - \frac{V^2 x}{E - E^d - i\pi\beta V^2 \rho_0 (\varepsilon)} \right]^{-1}.
\]  
(4.12)

Figure 4.2 shows the calculated density of states (DOS) of (a) GaN\(_x\)As\(_{1-x}\) and (b) GaN\(_x\)P\(_{0.4}\)As\(_{0.6-x}\) for different N content \(x\) under the coherent potential approximation (Equation 4.11)\(^2\). The dashed vertical lines indicate the position of the N level in the matrix materials. In this calculation, the hybridization parameter \(V = 2.84\) eV is taken to be an experimentally-determined constant[45]. This figure shows that the BAC induced splitting of the conduction band results in formation of a narrow intermediate band (IB) that is well separated from the upper conduction band (CB).

This is a band structure configuration envisioned for the intermediate band solar cell concept that utilizes low-energy photons in a two-step absorption process via the intermediate band. The three absorption edges resulting from the optical transitions from VB to CB (\(E_{CV} \approx 2.4\) eV), from VB to IB (\(E_{IV} \approx 1.5\) eV), and IB to CB (\(E_{IC} \approx 0.9\) eV), are close to the band gap distribution for efficient solar power conversion under idealized conditions as described in Chapter 2. The BAC interaction between N states and the extended states of the close lying \(X\) and \(L\) minima is also calculated and shown in Figure 4.3. The coupling parameter \(V_{MN}\) for these minima is much smaller than for the \(\Gamma\) minimum. This results in the splittings at the \(X\) and \(L\) minima being at least one order of magnitude smaller than the splitting at the \(\Gamma\) minimum, and therefore these are safely ignored.

\(^2\)The Mathematica code for this computation was modified from the work of ref. [117] and can be found in Appendix B.
Table 4.1: Materials parameters used in DOS calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GaN$<em>x$As$</em>{1-x}$</th>
<th>GaN$<em>x$P$</em>{0.4}$As$_{0.6-x}$</th>
<th>GaN$<em>x$P$</em>{1-x}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_N$ (eV)</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{MN}$</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_M$ ($k = 0$) (eV)</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>2.72*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m^*/m_0$</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.12*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{hh}/m_0$</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.79*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{lh}/m_0$</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{SO}/m_0$</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_{SO}$ (eV)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$ (Å)</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>5.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*denotes direct gap

Figure 4.2: Calculated DOS of (a) GaN$_x$As$_{1-x}$ and (b) GaN$_x$P$_{0.4}$As$_{0.6-x}$ for different N content $x$. The dashed vertical lines indicate the position of the N level in the matrix materials.
The resulting band structure has three optical gaps with energy levels suitable
for IB solar cells with over 60% SQ theoretical efficiency.

4.1.3 Optimum GaNPAs alloy composition for IBSC application

In order to find the optimal composition of GaNPAs alloys for IB solar cells, it was
determined that for GaAs$_x$P$_{1-y}$ with $y < 0.7$ the nitrogen level lies below the conduction
band edge (CBE), as discussed in Chapter 2. Thus, according to the DOS calculations
above, we see that the BAC interaction will result in a narrow IB separated from the upper
conduction band, unlike the case of GaN$_x$As$_{1-x}$. Furthermore, the electronic structure of
GaN$_x$P$_y$As$_{1-x-y}$, with $x$ (1%–2%) and $y$ (0.5 < $y$ < 0.7), has band gaps which are optimized
for IB solar cells with over 60% SQ theoretical efficiency$^3$.

The BAC model was used to calculate energies of $E_-$ and $E_+$ with various amounts of
nitrogen. Results of these calculations are presented in Figure 4.3. Material parameters
used for these calculations were taken from Reference[116]. BAC parameters ($E_N$ and
$V_{MN}$) for GaN$_x$P$_y$As$_{1-x-y}$ have been calculated as a linear interpolation of the BAC pa-
rameters for GaNAs ($E_N$ =1.65eV and $V_{MN}$=2.8eV[107][119] ) and GaNP ($E_N$ =2.18eV
and $V_{MN}$=3.05eV [119]). These are summarized in Table 4.1. Additionally, Figure 4.3 also
shows the composition dependence of the conduction band minima energies at different
symmetry points in the GaPAs host. Indicated by the grey bar in Figure 4.3 the nitrogen
level falls below the conduction band minimum in GaP$_y$As$_{1-y}$ with $y$ larger than ~0.4.
The calculations presented in Figure 4.3 show that the incorporation of 2% nitrogen into
the III-V host material leads to a splitting of the conduction band into the $E_+$ and $E_-$
sub-bands. The resulting band structure has three optical gaps with energy levels suitable
for an ideal intermediate band solar cell.

$^3$Additional information about the choice of alloy composition can be found in Appendix A.2
4.1.4 $k \cdot p$ calculation

Figure 4.4 shows the calculated dispersion relations for the conduction and the valence bands close to the $\Gamma$ point of the Brillouin zone. The band structure of the $\text{GaP}_{0.4} \text{As}_{0.6}$ host (shown with grey lines) was obtained using an 8-band $k \cdot p$ Hamiltonian$^4$. The dispersion relations for the $\text{GaN}_{0.02} \text{P}_{0.40} \text{As}_{0.58}$ alloy (shown with black lines) were calculated using the BAC model.

4.2 Growth of GaNPAs thin films

The GaNPAs films and structures used in this work were grown by gas-source MBE at University of California, San Diego using a Varian Gen-II MBE system modified to thermally crack gas-phase PH$_3$ and AsH$_3$ to P$_2$, As$_2$ and H$_2$, respectively. Elemental Ga, solid Si, solid Be, and N radicals produced using radio-frequency plasma activation were used as a Group III source, n-type dopant, p-type dopant, and N source, respectively. The films were grown on GaP (100) substrates. Since $\text{GaN}_x \text{P}_y \text{As}_{1-y-x}$ in the target composition range of $(0.5<y<0.7)$ has a significant lattice mismatch to the GaP substrate, a linearly graded GaAsP buffer layer was first grown to slowly expand the lattice constant and to control misfit dislocations. Following the buffer layer, a 0.5 $\mu$m GaAsP layer with constant As composition was grown to relieve the residual strain. The structure up to this point is referred to as the “template” throughout. Then the top 0.5-$\mu$m-thick $\text{GaN}_x \text{P}_y \text{As}_{1-x-y}$

---

$^4$See Appendix for details on the $k \cdot p$ calculation and approach.
layer was grown at 520 °C atop the template. Samples with the top layer of GaAsP and without N were also grown as control groups. Finally, in order to improve optical properties of GaNPAs layers, certain samples were annealed at 900°C for 60 s in 95% \( \text{N}_2 \) and 5% \( \text{H}_2 \) forming gas ambient.

The composition profiles and depth profiles of the films were measured using channeling Rutherford backscattering spectrometry (c-RBS) together with nuclear reaction analysis (NRA). The \( ^{14}\text{N}(\alpha,\text{p})^{17}\text{O} \) reaction with a 3.72 MeV \( ^{4}\text{He}^{2+} \) beam was used for the detection of nitrogen. A 150 mm\(^2\) passivated implanted planar silicon (PIPS) detector with a 3 × 12 mm slit was used to detect the emitted protons at an angle of 135° with respect to the incident beam. A 25\(\mu\)m thick mylar foil was placed in front of the detector to absorb the backscattered alpha particles. RBS spectra were also obtained simultaneously at 168° with another PIPS detector. Both RBS and NRA measurements were carried out in the random and <100>, <110>, and <111> axial channeling directions. The fraction of substitutional nitrogen atoms in the films was obtained by comparing the random and channeling yields of both the RBS and NRA measurements.

Figure 4.6 illustrates a design schematic (left) of one of our samples along with the atomic profile (right) of the film structure as determined using RBS. The RBS results are fit using the SIMNRA[120] and SIMTarget[121] software packages. The channeling c-RBS shows that samples grown in this particular structure are of good crystalline quality with minimum channeling yield of ~5%. Furthermore, the increment in arsenic content can be seen in the linearly graded buffer layer, from 0% As at the GaP substrate to ~44% As in
Figure 4.6: The designed structure (left) of GaNPAs and the measured composition profile by Rutherford back scatteringspectrometry and nuclear reaction analysis (right).

Figure 4.7: (a) RBS measurement geometry, image courtesy K.M. Yu. (b) Channeling RBS measurement of and (c) channeling NRA of \( \text{GaN}_{0.015}\text{P}_{0.445}\text{As}_{0.545} \) sample.
the top GaNPAs layer. Both channeling RBS and NRA revealed that the substitutional
N fraction is ≥ 80% for all samples. Further details on the growth process have been
previously reported elsewhere [122, 123]. Though the samples studied are grown on GaP
substrates at present, both GaPN and GaAsPN have also been grown on silicon substrates
and demonstrated to be of high optical quality[124, 125, 126], offering a possible avenue
for the integration of these dilute nitride alloy materials on silicon.

4.3 Optical measurements

In order to verify the above predictions and identify experimentally features related to
IB formation in GaNPAs alloys, PL, PR, and absorption techniques were used to study
the GaNPAs samples with variable N (0.56-1.5%) and P (38-45%) concentrations.

4.3.1 Experimental setup

Photoluminescence (PL) measurements were performed at room temperature using a
325 nm HeCd 50 mW laser as an excitation source and a Hamamatsu R928 photomultiplier
tube as a signal detector. For low temperature measurements, the samples were cooled
to ~7 K inside of an evacuated cryostat by making contact to a cold finger connected to a
closed-cycle helium refrigerator. For the PR measurements, samples were excited by the
442 nm line from a HeCd laser, and the signal was detected by a Si detector using the
lock-in technique. Absorption coefficients of the films were obtained by transmission and
refection measurements using a Perkin Elmer Lambda 950 photospectrometer.

4.3.2 Photoluminescence

Figure 4.8 shows the room temperature PL of a representative GaN_{0.015}As_{0.54}P_{0.445}
sample (S205B) along with a reference GaAs_{0.59}P_{0.41} sample (S205A). At this As compo-
sition in GaAsP sample, the minimum at the $\Gamma$ point is located at about 2 eV above the
valence band edge (VBE) and slightly below the minimum of the $X$ band. The incorpo-
ration of N results in admixing of the $\Gamma$ component with the lowest CB states, enhancing
the oscillator strength for radiative recombination which significantly increases the PL
intensity of the dilute nitride sample (S205B). N also redshifts the PL peak, broadens the
line width, and heaves up a band tail on the low energy side, which is believed to be a
thermal distribution of N-related states below the newly formed CBE ($E_-$).

The PL peak position coincides with the $E_-$ signal from the PR measurement (Figure
4.9). Although the N level lies below the $\Gamma$ valley in both GaP and GaAsP within the
composition range of study, this relatively good agreement indicates that the major contri-
bution to PL is due to band-to-band transitions. It is not attributed to the recombination
of excitons trapped by N-related deep centers below the bandgap[127]. This is further
evidenced by the synchronized blueshift of the PL peak position and the $E_-$ position on
Figure 4.8: Room-temperature photoluminescence of GaAsP (S205A), GaNAsP (S205B) and GaNAsP after rapid thermal annealing (S205B RTA).

the sample subjected to rapid thermal annealing (at 900 °C for 60s in 95% N₂ and 5% H₂ forming gas ambient). The 3-fold increase in PL intensity suggests that N-related centers do exist in the as-grown sample serve and as non-radiative recombination centers. An RTA step, however, effectively removes them and suppresses the non-radiative channels.

4.3.3 Photoreflectance

Figure 4.9 shows PR measurements of a series of GaNPAs samples containing the GaAsP reference sample, and both the as-grown and annealed GaNPAs samples. In the GaAsP sample, only two transitions are seen, from VB to CB and from the split-off (SO) valence band to CB. In the GaNPAs sample, however, the inter-band transitions VB→E⁻ and VB→E⁺ as well as their SO counterparts are clearly resolved in the PR spectrum. The theoretical values of E⁻ and E⁺ for S205B are plotted as grey bars in the figure. By using the linear interpolated values for $E_N$, $E_M$, and $V_{MN}$ shown in Table 4.1, the energy of the conduction sub-bands, E⁻ and E⁺, from the BAC model agree with the experimental results. This has an important technical implication since the IB solar cell efficiency changes drastically as the energy gaps change, as discussed in Chapter 2. From a material growth perspective, the well predicted splitting of the conduction band by the BAC model greatly decreases the difficulty in IB position tuning, hence makes it easier
to grow the material in the optimal compositions.

Figure 4.9 shows PR and absorption spectra measured at room temperature for GaPAs (reference sample) and GaNAsP samples (both as-grown and annealed). PR resonances related to the energy gap ($E_0$) and the spin-orbit split ($E_0 + \Delta_{SO}$) transitions are observed for the reference sample. For GaNAsP samples the $E_-$ and $E_+$ transitions are visible instead of the $E_0$ transition. Additionally, the $E_+ + \Delta_{SO}$ and $E_+ + \Delta_{SO}$ transitions are visible in these samples. The spin-orbit splitting in N-free and N-containing alloys is the same within experimental error and equals 283, 282, and 270 meV for GaPAs, as-grown GaNPAs and annealed GaNPAs samples, respectively. This observation confirms that the incorporation of nitrogen into the GaPAs host changes the CB without any significant effect on the VB. Very similar PR spectra were observed for other GaNPAs samples studied. However, as shown in Figure 4.10, in some samples a strong PR signal from the GaPAs template interfered with optical transitions from the GaNPAs layer as it is quite close in energy. The $E_0$ transition in the GaPAs template is easy to recognize, however, as it coincides with the absorption edge marked by the dashed vertical line in Figures 4.9 and 4.10.

In order to extract energies of optical transitions in GaNPAs layers from PR spectra,
Figure 4.10: Room-temperature photoreflectance and absorption spectra for GaP_{0.012}As_{0.62} (a), as-grown GaN_{0.012}P_{0.38}As_{0.608} (b), and annealed GaN_{0.012}P_{0.38}As_{0.608} (c) samples.
these transitions have been fitted by the Aspnes formula (equation 3.4 )

$$\frac{\Delta R}{R}(E) = Re[Ce^{i\theta}(E - E_j + i\Gamma)^{-m}].$$

(4.13)

The fitted curves are shown as thick grey lines in Figures 4.9 and 4.10 together with the moduli of PR resonances, which are shown as solid black lines. The modulus of the PR resonances ($\phi$) was obtained according to Equation 3.5 with parameters taken from the fitting procedure.

### 4.3.3.1 Influence of thermal annealing

A post-growth annealing step was performed on some of the GaNPAs samples and found to influence the spectral position and strength of the optical transitions. By analysis of the moduli of the PR transitions for the as-grown and annealed samples, it is visible that the E transition is blue-shifted while the E$_+$ transition becomes redshifted after annealing. Such behavior of the E and E$_+$ transitions is consistent with the reduction and/or passivation of substitutional N in GaNAsP during annealing. A blueshift of E transition was also observed for GaInNAs layers, but in this material the blueshift phenomenon is mostly attributed to the change in the nitrogen nearest-neighbor environment from Ga-rich to In-rich [39-41]. For GaInNAs it has also been reported that substitutional nitrogen atoms with different nearest-neighbor environment influences the coupling element $V_{MN}$ in BAC model and thus also affects the energy gap [42]. It is also possible that energies of the E and E$_+$ transitions can vary with the same nitrogen concentration due to a different nitrogen nearest-neighbor environment. This would also influence the coupling element in the GaNPAs alloys. Thermal annealing is a frequently-used technique to improve the optical quality of dilute nitrides. As is shown in the Figs. 3 and 4 a significant reduction of the linewidth is observed in the PR spectra of the annealed GaNPAs layers. Narrowing of the optical transitions in modulated reflectance spectra was also observed for other dilute nitrides [43] and has been attributed to an improvement of the alloy homogeneity and/or a reduction of point defects in these layers.

Figure 4.11 shows theoretical predictions of the transition energies from the valence band to E and E$_+$ sub-bands in GaN$_x$P$_y$As$_{1-x-y}$ alloys with various nitrogen concentrations. The calculations are compared with experimental data for the as-grown (solid points) and annealed (open points) GaNPAs samples. The theoretical predictions are compared to samples with three different P concentrations ($y=0.38, 0.4$ and $0.44$) as determined by RBS. Although there is good agreement between the BAC calculations and the experiment, it is clear that the agreement is better for the E rather than for the E$_+$ transition. This is not surprising as the E$_+$ transitions in some of the samples interfere with the optical transitions from the template and become more difficult to measure accurately. Furthermore, the accuracy of the substitutional N fraction measured by c-RBS and c-NRA is $\sim 15\%$, and this is within the changes observed between as-grown and annealed samples.
Figure 4.11: Energies of the $E_-$ and $E_+$ transitions for as-grown (solid points) and annealed (open points) GaNPAs layers, along with theoretical predictions obtained within the BAC model.

The results in Figure 4.11 confirm experimentally that GaN$_x$P$_{0.4}$As$_{0.6-x}$ alloys with ~1-2% of N have a band structure suitable for IB solar cells. This agrees with the calculated DOS for GaNAsP and GaNAs shown in Figure 4.2, which shows that the IB in GaNAsP is more isolated from $E_+$. 

### 4.3.4 Absorption

Figure 4.12 shows the absorption coefficients of the same sample group, both of which show multiple absorption edges corresponding to different layer in the samples. In the GaAsP sample (S205A), absorption takes off at ~1.95eV, from the $\Gamma_V-\Gamma_C$ transition, in good agreement with the PL data. The small amount of N incorporation clearly redshifts the absorption edge from ~1.95eV to ~1.6eV in S205B. The position of the absorption edge also is in good agreement with the PL and PR data, suggesting its origin from the VB→E. transition. Note that for all of our GaNAsP samples the intermediate band (E. band) exhibits strong absorption with $\alpha$>2x10$^4$ cm$^{-1}$. This suggests that using GaNAsP layers as the absorber in an IB solar cell requires only a layer thickness ~1 μm. The PR spectra along with PA spectra clearly demonstrate the intermediate band behavior of GaNAsP sample.

However, an important requirement for an efficient IBSC is a strong enough absorption for the optical transitions from the VB to IB and from the IB to CB. In the case of GaNPAs with the nitrogen level located below the GaPAs conduction band, the character of the IB is mostly determined by the highly localized N states and the question arises as to whether there is strong enough optical coupling between the extended band states and the IB. The absorption spectra measured on different samples are shown in Figure 4.13.
As expected, changing P concentration from 38% to 46% in N-free alloys changes the absorption edge $E_0$ to higher energy without affecting the shape of the edge. In the case of GaNPAs films we observe a large downward shift of the absorption edge, indicating an onset of the transitions from the VB to IB ($E_N$). The low energy optical absorption has a tendency to saturate at higher energies of about 1.8 to 2 eV with the saturation level dependent on the N content. This behavior reflects the fact that the nature of the IB states and thus also that the dipole matrix element for the transitions from the VB to IB depends on the $k$ vector. At small $k$’s the IB states have a large admixture of the $s$-like CB states that strongly couple to the p-like VB states. As shown in Figure 4.4, at large $k$ values the IB states approach $E_N$ and become more localized with weaker optical coupling to the extended VB states. This effect results in the saturation of the optical absorption for the VB to IB transitions. In order to evaluate the absorption edge energy and the relative strength of the optical absorption from the spectra in Figure 4.13, a standard formula is used to describe absorption coefficient as a function of photon energy, $E = h\nu$

$$\alpha (E) = \alpha_0 \sqrt{\frac{E - E_g}{E_g}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.14)

where $E_g$ is the energy gap and $\alpha_0$ is a constant that represents the strength of the optical absorption. The straight lines in Figure 4.13 represent the fits and are used to determine $E_g$ and $\alpha_0$. The values of $\alpha_0$ for different samples are plotted in Figure 4.13. It is evident that the incorporation of nitrogen into the GaPAs host leads to the formation of an IB with an absorption coefficient of about $0.5 \times 10^5$ cm$^{-1}$ for the VB$\rightarrow$IB transition, which is about 50-60% smaller than the absorption coefficient for the VB$\rightarrow$CB transition in the GaPAs host. This indicates that the GaNPAs thin films have an optical absorption for
Figure 4.13: (a) Room-temperature absorption spectra of GaNPAs samples of various contents. (b) Absorption coefficient $\alpha_0$ determined for GaNPAs alloys of various contents.
the VB to IB transitions strong enough to be used in thin film IB solar cells. For example, >90\% of the incident light with photon energy larger than $E_\text{g}$ will be absorbed by only 0.5 $\mu$m thick layer of GaNAsP.

\section*{4.4 Conclusion}

In conclusion, the structural, and optical properties of high-quality $\text{GaN}_x\text{As}_y\text{P}_{1-x-y}$ layers grown by gas source MBE were investigate. Channeling NRA-RBS measurements confirm the composition profile of $\text{GaN}_x\text{P}_{1-x-y}\text{As}_y$ grown on GaP(100) substrate through a GaAsP linearly graded metamorphic buffer layer with $\geq 80\%$ substitutional N in the layer. PL, PR and PA results clearly demonstrate the good optical quality of the MBE grown GaNAsP samples and their intermediate band characteristics. The high absorption coefficient of the IB suggests that this material is suitable as an absorber for IB solar cell application.

Additionally, the optical properties of $\text{GaN}_x\text{P}_y\text{As}_{1-x-y}$ alloys in the whole P composition range were studied. Calculations of the electronic band structure based on the BAC model indicate that dilute nitride GaNPAs with $\sim 40\%$ P has a band structure and optical properties suitable for application in intermediate band solar cells. The predictions have been experimentally tested on GaNPAs alloys with P content ranging from 38 to 45\%. The energies of the optical transitions are in excellent agreement with the BAC calculations. Measurements of the optical absorption show a strong optical coupling between the valence band and the intermediate band, which is a key requirement for the application of GaNPAs alloys in thin-film intermediate band solar cells.
Chapter 5

Towards GaNPAs based IBSC devices
5.1 Introduction

Ultimately, to assess the suitability of GaNPAs for application as an IBSC material, the doping properties need to be investigated. P- and n-type layers would allow for the formation of a pn junction, a critical component of a working IBSC solar cell. Thus, the optical and electrical properties of intentionally doped GaNPAs alloys need to be studied. Another crucial measurement is to evaluate the strength of the complementary IB to CB transitions, as this transition allows the IB to act as a stepping stone, as discussed in Chapter 2. Also of critical importance is the charge transport in the conduction band. These measurements are essential to evaluate the suitability of GaNPAs alloys for application in intermediate band solar cells.

5.2 Growth of doped GaNPAs layers

5.2.1 Growth of doped GaNPAs layers

To grow the n- and p-type doped GaNPAs layers, various Si and Be fluxes were used to achieve different doping levels. It is worth noting that to achieve active silicon dopants in the dilute nitrides, the growth temperature has to be lower than the critical temperature, \( T_c \), so that the diffusion length of the N atoms becomes very small. Thus the N atoms will not encounter a Si adatom on the surface during growth. Otherwise, the N and Si adatoms will form a bond and become a Si-N split interstitial defect complex. Furthermore, if the growth temperature is lower than \( T_c \), a N atom still has some probability to hit a Si atom on the surface during deposition. The active silicon dopants will then decrease exponentially as the N composition increases. For example, \( \sim 60\% \) silicon atoms act as active dopants for GaAsN with \([N]=0.5\%\) but only \( \sim 20\% \) active for \([N]=2\%\).

5.3 Characterization of doped GaNPAs layers

5.3.1 Electrical properties

Electrical measurements were made using a Hall effect measurements in the van der Pauw geometry using an Ecopia HMS3000 system with a 0.6 Tesla magnet. To make contacts, a soldering iron was used to melt small indium droplets on all four corners of the square samples. A RTA (T <450°C) was found to help reduce contact resistance.

Figure 5.1 summarizes the electrical properties of n-type doped samples. Room-temperature Hall effect and resistivity measurements reveal that electron concentration in the samples ranged from \( 5.0\times10^{17} \) up to \( 3.0\times10^{18} \) cm\(^{-3}\). It was not possible to achieve an electron concentration higher than \( N\sim3\times10^{18} \) cm\(^{-3}\). In fact, the beam flux during Si doping for the \( 3\times10^{18} \) cm\(^{-3}\) sample was actually calibrated for \( 1.0\times10^{19} \) electrons. The sample was grown at very low temperature \((\sim400°C)\) in an effort to prevent the formation of Si-N
defect complexes, however, it is likely that Si-N defect complexes may still contribute to the lower than expected dopant activation in the n-type doped samples. Furthermore, the p-type doping was not affected by the presence of nitrogen.

The apparent “U”-like shape in the mobility vs electron concentration plot is likely due to ionized impurity scattering from dopants. The sample points in the bottom of the “U” were doped with a very high silicon flux during the growth (high Si cell temperature). The electron concentration may not be necessarily higher than other samples (like samples S244B and S244C) due to auto-compensation. But the mobility is indeed lower.

Furthermore, sufficient n-type doping is important because a high enough electron concentration is needed so that the Fermi level will be close to (or within) the intermediate band. This would result in the intermediate band being partially occupied with carrier, and having sufficient carriers such that the IB→CB transition probability would not depend on photo-filling of the intermediate band from high levels of solar flux. In order for photo-filling to make a notable impact on the carrier population in the intermediate band, very high photon fluxes are required, and would practically be achieved only by very high levels of solar concentration (1000x and above) [129, 5].

\[^1\text{Yanjin Kuang, personal communication, April, 2013. For further treatment discussion on the MBE growth of doped GaNPAs, we refer the interested reader to Ref. [128].}\]
5.3.2 Optical properties

There is also evidence for the formation of Si-N defect complexes. At very high silicon flux during growth the mobility is reduced. Furthermore, after a post-growth rapid-thermal anneal (RTA) step, the samples exhibit a lower energy luminescence peak that is characteristic of mutual passivation[130].

Figure 5.2 shows the photoreflectance of n-type GaNPAs with increasing electron concentration, along with an undoped GaNPAs for reference. Several features are readily apparent. First, both the E and E+ are visible, as well as features due to the split-off valence band (SO). This has been reported in previous work in the case of undoped GaNPAs[123], and it is significant that these features are also present in the doped samples.

In fact, for samples with lower electron concentration (such as $10^{17}$ range) the optical transitions between the valence band and both the E and E+ sub-bands are much stronger than in the undoped samples. The reason for this is that the surface band bending is much stronger in the GaNPAs:Si n-type doped samples, which is illustrated in Figure 5.4. Furthermore, as the electron concentration is increased due to higher doping, we observe that the intensity of the PR signal is drastically reduced, and by the time the electron concentration has reached the $10^{18}$ range, the spectral features associated with either VB→E or VB→E+ energy transitions diminish and become hardly visible. Though not shown, the same behavior is also observed in the p-type doped samples. This observation can be explained by the fact that at higher electron (hole) concentration the surface depletion (accumulation) layer decreases in width, as illustrated in Figure 5.5. Due to the higher concentration of electrons (holes) and the resulting high surface band-bending from the dopants, the photo-generated carriers from the PR laser have much less effect on the modulation band bending of the sample, and the resulting PR spectral features are diminished.

5.3.3 Time-resolved PL

For the time-resolved PL characterization, the samples were contained in a closed-cycle helium refrigerator, allowing for measurements at variable temperature. Samples were excited by the second harmonic from a (415 nm) mode-locked Ti:Sapphire laser with pulse duration of 150 fs. The laser beam was focused on the sample to a spot diameter of ~0.2 mm. The average power of the pulsed laser beam used in the experiment was 3 mW. The PL signal was dispersed by a 0.3m-focal length monochromator and detected by a Hamamatsu streak camera equipped with an S-20 photocathode.

5.4 Design of GaNAsP IBSC device

As introduced in Chapter 2, one of the key requirements of an IBSC is electrical isolation of the IB, as it remains solely as a “stepping-stone”. This is essential in allowing
Figure 5.2: Photoreflectance of n-type doped GaNPAs, showing evidence of both the VB $E_-$ and VB to $E_+$ transitions. At higher electron concentrations, the modulation band-bending is drastically decreased.
Figure 5.3: Photoreflectance of n-type (b,c,d), and p-type (e,f) doped GaNPAs samples. At higher electron concentrations, the modulation band-bending is drastically decreased and the spectral features associated with transitions from VB to either E or E' are absent.
Figure 5.4: Illustrative band diagram of (a) undoped GaNPAs sample and (b) n-type GaNPAs:Si, which much higher band bending at the surface as a result of the formation of a surface depletion layer.

Figure 5.5: Band diagram depicting the change in band bending in (a) Si doped GaNPAs with a dopant concentration of \( n_1 \) and a depletion layer width of \( d_1 \), and (b) band-bending for the case of higher dopant concentration \( n_2 \), where \( n_2 > n_1 \), and the resulting depletion layer width \( d_2 < d_1 \).
selective collection of photo-generated carriers from the CB, but not from the IB. Thus, the IB will be coupled to the VB and CB bands through only optical transitions. In practice, this can be done by adding an electron blocking layer (EBL), forming a structure with a blocked intermediate band (BIB). The EBL is simply an energy barrier layer for the IB electrons, located between the IB absorber layer and the n-type contact layer. This can be done by adding a material with a smaller electron affinity \( \chi \) such that the conduction band edge is closer to the vacuum level (such as the case of AlGaAs in GaAs), and a barrier to electron transport is formed. The structure without the EBL is referred to as the unblocked intermediate band (UIB), and typically serves as a reference structure when attempting to isolate the effect of the IB on PV device performance. In practice, the effect of the IB can be quite small, and thus comparing measurements with and without the EBL allows small changes induced by the IB to be resolved.

One advantage of using n-type substrates for the IBSC devices is that the blocking layer does not need to be optically transparent, as was the case of the ZnTeO IBSC’s in ref. [41], where ZnTeO was grown atop p-type ZnTe substrates.

Figure 5.6 shows block diagrams of the designed IBSC both with (left, BIB) and without (right, UIB) a nominally undoped i-GaAsP electron blocking layer.
5.5 Construction of GaNAsP IBSC device

Sample growth was similar to the procedure used during the growth of doped GaNPAs layers described above. Due to lack of a functioning aluminum source on the MBE growth equipment, AlGaAs could not be used as the blocking layer material, and nominally undoped i-GaAsP was used instead. All devices were grown on n-type GaP Substrates (N=5x10^{17}-2x10^{18} cm^{-3}). Band diagrams were calculated using the SCAPS computer program[131].

Electrical contacts were fabricated by using e-beam evaporation to deposit 200Å of titanium followed by 7000Å of gold. A shadow mask was used to pattern the electrical grid. Figure 5.7 shows one of the completed devices. The back contact is soldered with indium to copper tape, and is mounted on a glass slide for stability and support.

5.6 Characterization of IBSC devices

5.6.1 EQE

The external quantum efficiency (EQE) was measured using a 150W xenon lamp modulated with a chopper and a grating monochromator. A 150 W xenon lamp served as the excitation source; the lamp spectrum was removed from the final spectra with a silicon reference diode. The current of the reference diode was measured in the setup while the EQE of the reference diode was provided by the manufacturer for calibration. The light passed through a monochromator with a 600 g/mm grating and 2 mm slit width (~4 nm wavelength resolution). Light exiting the monochromator was chopped at 155 Hz and passed through a 578 nm long pass filter at wavelengths greater than 600 nm to eliminate second harmonics from high energy white light. No applied bias was needed since the band bending necessary for charge carrier extraction was provided by the pn junction of
Figure 5.8: Photoreflectance (top) and EQE of Blocked Intermediate Band (BIB) GaNAsP based IBSC.

The devices.

Figure 5.8 shows the measured EQE curve for the GaNPAs BIB solar cell. In the BIB device the photocurrent exhibits two thresholds: the first at about 1.5 eV corresponding to the transitions from VB→E− (IB), and the second at ~2.2 eV, corresponding to the transitions from the VB→E+ (CB). As seen in the top portion of Figure 5.8, there is an excellent agreement of the EQE onset thresholds with the VB→E− and the VB→E+ transitions measured by PR. Furthermore, similar to the case of the GaNAs based IBSC in[28], transitions from the IB to E+ cannot be resolved.

The observed EQE is consistent with the expected transport behavior of photo-generated charge carriers. In the BIB, the EBL prevents electrons from traveling from the IB layer to the back contact of the solar cell. Thus, under broadband illumination, electrons in the IB can absorb a low energy photon to be excited to the CB, then to be collected at the back contact.

Furthermore, we can explain deviations from an ideal QE response. Below the E− transition, no light will be absorbed below the so the QE is zero at low energies. At energies greater than E− and below E+, the will be QE losses due to surface recombination, reduced ab-
Figure 5.9: J-V curve of Blocked Intermediate Band (BIB) and Unblocked Intermediate Band (UIB) GaNAsP structures.

sorption at long wavelengths (energies just above $E_-$). Between $E_-$ and $E_+$, a reduction of the overall QE occurs, some of which is due to reflection (there is no anti-reflection layer present) and a low diffusion length of minority carriers. Finally, at higher energies above $E_+$, the short wavelength response is reduced due to front surface recombination.

5.6.2 JV characteristics

Current voltage (I-V) measurements are used to assess the performance of the solar cell, and can also provide further evidence for the intermediate band activity. Figure 5.9 shows J-V curves for the two types of structures obtained under 20 suns concentration of AM 1.5 illumination. The results are summarized in Table 5.1. The UIB structure shows an open circuit voltage ($V_{OC}$) of about 0.498 V, whereas the BIB structure has a significantly higher $V_{OC}$ of 0.765 V. In general, the $V_{OC}$ of a PV device depends on the band gap, $E_g$, and is given by $V_{OC} = (E_g - \Delta)/e$ [28]. The offset depends on the junction and material quality as well as the sunlight concentration factor and has been
Table 5.1: measured IBSC J-V characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BIB</th>
<th>UIB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{OC},(V)$</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_{SC},(mA/cm^2)$</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.10: 2-photon excitation (TPE) for intermediate band solar cell. (a) Schematic band diagram of 2-photon excitation experiment. (b) Overview of the 2-photon excitation experiment design.

shown to be larger than 0.4 eV under 1 sun illumination [132]. The small $V_{OC}$ and large value of $\Delta$ in the UIB structure is consistent with a poor quality junction in this semiconductor. However, there is large increase of $V_{OC}$ in the BIB structure, indicative of voltage enhancement due to IB activity. The large value of the offset found in both cases is most likely related to a short minority carrier lifetime as has been previously found in GaInNAs alloys [133].

5.6.3 Two photon excitation

Photocurrent generation due to 2-photon excitation (TPE) using the IB as stepping stone is an essential step for the realization of viable IBSCs. As covered in Chapter 2, since the ideal IB is electrically isolated, carriers that are excited from VB to IB, could be further excited to the CB with light of energy $h\nu \sim E_{IC}$. Thus, since $E_{IC}$ for this system is approximately 0.6-0.8 eV, illumination with infrared light between 900 and 1700nm would promote carriers from the IB to CB.

Figure 5.10a shows a schematic diagram of the 2-photon experiment, which is similar in concept to the work first reported by ref. [38].

A 940nm LED light source used for the source of IR illumination. The change in quantum efficiency is given by
Figure 5.11: Change in quantum efficiency (QE) as result of illumination with IR light source
$$\Delta QE = QE_{IR-on} - QE_{IR-off}. \quad (5.1)$$

Figure 5.11 shows the change in quantum efficiency during the two-photon excitation experiment. As seen from the experiment, enhancement of the quantum efficiency due to IR illumination, which is a key working principle of the IBSC, is observed. However, the effect is small (on the order 1%) and strategies to improve both the material quality and optimize the device architecture are likely to result in further increases to the TPE.

On the experimental side, since the noise level is still high. Strategies to reduce the noise floor include and improve the signal to noise ratio should be explored further. Among these, the temporal stability of the monochromatic light source (halogen lamp) used is quite crucial.

5.7 Conclusion

In order to fabricate a functioning IBSC device, first p-type, and n-type GaNPAs were grown and their optical and electrical properties were characterized. Efficient p and n-type doping of GaNPAs can be achieved with Be and Si, respectively. Next, GaNPAs-based IBSC devices were grown by gas-source molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) on GaP substrates with a thick (~1.5 μm) compositionally graded GaAsP buffer layers. Both a blocked intermediate band structure, where the intermediate band is electrically isolated from the valence and conduction bands, and an unblocked intermediate band structure (as a reference structure) were grown. The design of the structure was optimized to achieve efficient charge-carrier extraction, yet still demonstrate absorption from the three possible band-to-band transitions. The external quantum efficiency, both with and without a white-light bias, was used to evaluate the spectral response of the devices and the optical activity of the intermediate band. I-V measurements using both AM 1.5 and under 30 suns concentration were used to evaluate the PV device performance.
Chapter 6

Conclusions and future directions
The intermediate band solar cell is an attractive concept to increase photovoltaic conversion efficiency. Compared to a standard single-junction PV, the IBSC is able harness the absorption of sub-bandgap light.

Thus far, an increase in cell efficiency, over the current single-junction case, due to the presence of an intermediate band has not been experimentally demonstrated. This research has extended the current understanding of III-V dilute-nitride alloys, and serves to assess whether these alloys may serve as suitable material systems for intermediate band solar cell applications. Though preliminary, the results are promising enough to suggest that the dilute-nitride alloy GaNPAs could be suitable materials systems. This alloy has strong optical absorption in the desired wavelength range, and its electronic structure is better suited to IBSC application compared to the GaAsN alloy system. 2-photon absorption measurements were used to show absorption between the E and E+ bands. Finally, the GaNPAs alloy can be synthesized using scalable epitaxial techniques and can be doped both n-type and p-type.

The applicability of GaAsPN as an intermediate band solar cell absorber material depends on whether detrimental non-radiative recombination can be kept to a minimum, and whether the absorption of sub-bandgap photons will result in a measurable increase in photocurrent via a 2-step photon absorption process. To test this, a working GaNPAs IBSC device was designed and measured with a solar simulator and the blocked intermediate band cell (the test case) was found to outperform the unblocked intermediate band cell (the reference case). Furthermore, the quantum efficiency was enhanced due to IB activity under illumination with infra-red light.

Following the results of this study, there are several directions in which this research would proceed. The first is to continue the study of p-n junction solar cells with GaAsPN as the absorber material. Continued work is need to optimize the doping of the GaAsPN absorber layer. Also, a key requirement for a functioning device is to have an effective electrical blocking layer, and both the layer composition and thickness of this layer need to be optimized.
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Appendix A

Additional data and figures
A.1 Selected Properties of III-V Semiconductors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property / Material</th>
<th>GaAs</th>
<th>Cubic (Beta) GaN</th>
<th>Hexagonal (Alpha) GaN</th>
<th>GaP</th>
<th>Si</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>zinc blende</td>
<td>zinc blende</td>
<td>wurtzite</td>
<td>zinc blende</td>
<td>Diamond cubic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lattice Parameter at 300K (Å)</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>$a_0 = 3.819$; $c_0 = 5.185$</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>5.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Frequency Dielectric Constant</td>
<td>2.9 (at 3eV)</td>
<td>2.67 (at 3.38eV)</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static Dielectric Constant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of Energy Gap $E_g$</td>
<td>direct</td>
<td>direct</td>
<td>direct</td>
<td>indirect</td>
<td>indirect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Gap $E_g$ at 300K (eV)</td>
<td>3.23*</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective mass of electrons $m^*_e / m_0$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective mass of holes $m^*_h / m_0$</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron mobility at 300K ($cm^2 V^{-1} s^{-1}$)</td>
<td>8500</td>
<td>500*</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hole mobility ($cm^2 V^{-1} s^{-1}$)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[http://www.semiconductors.co.uk/propiiiv5653.htm](http://www.semiconductors.co.uk/propiiiv5653.htm)
[http://www.iue.tuwien.ac.at/phd/palankovski/node40.html](http://www.iue.tuwien.ac.at/phd/palankovski/node40.html)
Figure A.1: Calculated energy positions of the N level and the \( \Gamma \), X, and L conduction bands of the GaAs\(_{1-y}\)Py alloy system. At a P content \( y \) of > 0.3 the local N level \( E_N \) drops below the conduction band \( E_G \), creating the conditions required to make a multiband semiconductor. An upper limit to the P content is set by the appearance of an indirect band gap (\( E_X < E_G \)) at \( y > 0.5 \). Figure from [8].

\textbf{A.2} \quad \text{GaN}_x\text{P}_{1-x-y}\text{As}_y
Figure A.2: GaNxAsyP1-x-y: Target Composition
A.3 k.p calculation of GaNPAs bandstructure

We start with the solution of Schrödinger equation for periodic lattice:
\[
\psi(k, r) = \exp(ik \cdot r) u(k, r)
\]
If \( V = 0 \), solutions are of the form:
\[
\psi(k, r) = 1/\sqrt{\Omega} \exp(ik \cdot r), \quad \psi(k, r) = \exp(ik \cdot r) / \sqrt{\Omega} \exp(iG \cdot r),
\]
and \( G \ast R = 2\pi m \).

In addition to the band anticrossing model, the band structure of III-V-N alloys can be calculated within 10-band kp approximation. The 10-band kp Hamiltonian for unstrained GaNPAs is given by [112, 113]

\[
\hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix}
E_N & V_{NC} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
E_{CB} & -\sqrt{3}T_+ & \sqrt{2}U & -U & 0 & 0 & 0 & -T_- & -\sqrt{2}T_- & 0 \\
E_{HH} & \sqrt{2}S & -S & 0 & 0 & 0 & -R & -\sqrt{2}R & 0 & 0 \\
E_{LH} & Q & T_+ & R & 0 & -\sqrt{3}S & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
E_{SO} & 0 & \sqrt{2}T_+ & \sqrt{2}R & -\sqrt{3}S & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
E_N & V_{NC} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
E_{CB} & -\sqrt{3}T_- & -\sqrt{2}U & -U & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
E_{HH} & \sqrt{2}S^- & -S^- & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
E_{LH} & Q & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
E_{SO} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The matrix elements are the same as in the conventional 8-band kp Hamiltonian, where the subscripts CB, HH, LH, and SO mean the conduction, heavy-hole, light-hole, and spin-off bands, respectively. The energy scale is assumed such that at the \( \Gamma \) point \( E_{HH}(k = 0) = E_{LH}(k = 0) = 0 \), \( E_{SO}(k = 0) = \Delta_{SO} \), and \( E_{CB}(k = 0) = E_g = E_{GaPAs} \), where \( \Delta_{SO} \) and \( E_{GaPAs} \) is, respectively, the spin-orbit splitting and the energy gap for GaPAs. \( m_0 \) is the electron mass, \( \hbar \) is the plank constant divided by 2\( \pi \), and \( k_0 = k_x^2 + k_y^2 \). \( P \) is the Kane matrix element defined as \( P = -i\hbar/m_0 s_i \langle p_v | v \rangle \), where \( |s_i|^2 |v\rangle \) indicates a CB Bloch state of s-like symmetry and \( |v\rangle \) is a valence band p state with character \( |x\rangle \), \( |y\rangle \), or \( |z\rangle \). Since the conduction band is treated exactly in this Hamiltonian, the Luttinger parameters are modified, i.e. the parameters \( \gamma_1, 2, 3 \) in the matrix elements are replaced by \( \gamma_1 \rightarrow \gamma_1 - E_p/(3E_g) \) and \( \gamma_2, 3 \rightarrow \gamma_2, 3 - E_p/(6E_g) \), where \( E_p = 2m_0 P/h^2 \) is the Kane matrix element expressed in energy units and \( E_g \) is the bandgap of the host material. The term \( s_C = 1/(m_c^*) - (E_p/3)[2/E_g + 1/(E_g + \Delta SO)] \) replaces \( 1/m_c^* \) and is associated with the conduction band nonparabolicity of the host material. According to the BAC model it is assumed that the matrix elements describing interaction between the nitrogen level and the valence band (heavy-hole, light-hole and spin-orbit band) are zero. Material parameters for these calculations were taken from Ref. [28].
Appendix B

Growth of GaAs nanowires on patterned silicon substrates
B.1 Background

The application of reduced temperature, laser–based processing of semiconductor materials may eliminate the need for lithographic processes and opens the way to the fabrication of high–resolution, all–printed electronic devices on a range of substrates. This laser processing technique could be used for selective-area growth and deposition of III-V materials and nanostructures on silicon, which is of considerable interest within both the research and industry communities. Related works have even demonstrated the suitability of this technique to integrate single vertical Ge nanowires on silicon, with on-demand shape selectivity[134]. The goal of the ensuing research is to study the growth of III-V nanostructures, namely GaAs self-catalyzed nanowires, on a laser-patterned silicon (111) substrate.

B.2 Femto-second laser patterning of silicon (111)

Details of the experimental setup can be found in Ref. [135]. For this work, a lower bound on dot size of 273nm at 1.5nJ of pulse energy was selected. In total, a parameter space encompassing 40 different conditions through a combination of dot pitch (5 cases) and laser pulse energy (8 cases) was studied, requiring a total of 6 hours of patterning time. Initially, dot pitch and size was verified by AFM, though for smaller dot pitches, on the order of 0.5\(\mu\)m or less, SEM images were used to confirm the dot pitch. Figure B.1 shows an overview of the patterning conditions and dot-pitch used. During the MBE growth, the standard GaAs nanowire deposition recipe was followed, as detailed in Chapter 4. A gallium BEP of 3.3x10\(^{-7}\) and an arsenic BEP of 1.0x10\(^{-5}\) were used, along with a substrate temperature of 580\(^\circ\)C and a growth time of 10 minutes.

B.3 Results

Figure B.3 shows an SEM image of a region with dot diameter of approximately 1.1\(\mu\)m both before and after the GaAs deposition. GaAs nanowires can be seen protruding from the dots. Based on the SEM images, the nanowires are approximately 5-6\(\mu\)m in length, and 50-80 nm diameter, on the same order as the wires grown during the self-catalyzed GaAs NW growth on clean pristine Si (111) substrates, detailed in Chapter 3.

Figure B.6 shows an EDX linescan of the region after growth, confirming the deposited material is gallium arsenide. Though not shown, an EDX mapping procedure was used to perform an area scan and verify that GaAs was deposited nearly exclusively over the laser-etched dots.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laser pulse energy (nJ)</th>
<th>Dot pitch (um)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

100*100 dots (per condition)  
200*200 dots (per condition)

Figure B.1: Patterning conditions used on silicon (111) substrate.
Figure B.2: Resulting dot size with respect to laser pulse energy used.
(a) Before GaAs deposition 

(b) After GaAs deposition.

Figure B.3: Detail of dot with 1.1 μm diameter.
Figure B.4: Detail view of the region with 1μm diameter dots. Nanowires can be seen protruding from the laser-patterned dots.
Figure B.5: Detail of both a corner (left) and edge (right) showing the GaAs growth is primarily over the patterned region. The spots that form on the outside could be due to dust deposits on the surface.

Figure B.6: EDX linescan of the post-growth sample confirming the gallium arsenide content is selectively deposited. Red, green, and blue denote the concentration of Ga,As, and Si along the line, respectively.
Appendix C

Transmission Electron Microscopy of GaAs Nanowires
Figure C.1 shows a representative low magnification plan-view transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of a self-catalyzed MBE grown GaAs NW with a diameter of 53 nm. Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) measurements show a 1:1 Ga:As composition ratio within the experimental error of the measurement, consistent with stoichiometric GaAs composition reported in other works on self-catalyzed MBE grown nanowires. Due to the appearance of stripes and inclusions in the image, the contrast is not uniform within the nanowire, and the wire is unlikely to be either single-crystal, or without defects, such as planar defects [136, 137]. This image also exhibits a very thin amorphous coating on the outer layer of the nanowire. The amorphous coating is likely due to an oxide layer which forms when the nanowire is exposed to air[137].

In semiconductor nanowires, twins, stacking faults, and polytypes arising from the zinc blende - wurtzite phase instability are well known the II-VI alloys, but have also been reported in the III-V alloys, and specifically in GaAs[138]. The dark lines perpendicular to the growth directions are stacking faults, as previously reported for GaAs NW growth[96, 83]. In fact, twins, which are stacking faults that produce changes over many atomic spacings, are common GaAs crystal defects[139], and can degrade the optical properties of the resulting semiconductor[136]. As indicated in the figure, the central and tip regions of the NW show a striped pattern. This striped pattern is typical, as III-V compound semiconductor NWs such as GaAs and InAs usually include both the hexagonal wurtzite (WZ) and cubic zinc-blende (ZB) structures within the wire. However, similar striped patterns observed in TEM can be due to presence of twin boundaries and stacking faults within the wire [140]. The region closest to the tip appears to have the highest concentration of stacking faults, possibly due to an abrupt change in growth conditions, namely a decrease in gallium flux[138].

The TEM studies also reveal that most of the GaAs nanowires terminate with a nanoparticle at one end as shown in Figure C.2. Figure C.3 shows the corresponding selective area diffraction pattern (SADP) of the wire. The electron diffraction is recorded perpendicular to the axis of the wire, showing that the growth occurs along the \([111]\) direction.

Figure C.4 shows a high-resolution dark-field TEM image of the GaAs NW. There is \(a-b-c\) stacking in the crystal structure and the wire grows in the direction of the \(c\) axis. Stacking faults can clearly be seen, and the distance between domains is approximately 6.4 nm. The \(d_{111}\) spacing for the (111) lattice planes was measured to be 0.32 \(\pm\)0.01 nm and thus confirms the \([111]\) growth direction of the nanowires[137].

Figure C.5 shows the corresponding overview bright field (BF) TEM image of the GaAs NW. Figure C.6 shows the FFT of the previous BF and DF images, indicating both ZB and WZ symmetry.
Figure C.1: Low magnification TEM image of a ~53nm diameter GaAs NW.
Figure C.2: TEM image of GaAs nanowire showing detail of tip and stacking faults near the tip.
Figure C.3: Selective area diffraction pattern (SADP) of GaAs NW showing ZB type diffraction pattern.
Figure C.4: High-magnification TEM image of GaAs nanowire showing stacking faults.
Figure C.5: Bright field (BF) TEM image of GaAs NW.
Figure C.6: FFT images of BF and DF TEM images.
Appendix D

*Mathematica* code
The following sections include the code for *Mathematica* calculations used in this dissertation.
Due to the length of time required when generating the data points for the contour plot, this code is optimized for a parallel computing architecture.

Can be used to calculate the efficiency, and generate IV curves, for any arbitrary 3 bandgap IB system, tandem system, or single junction cell using the SQ Detailed Balance method. Reference paper is the 1997 PRL from Luque and Marti.

The efficiency of an ideal solar cell with an intermediate energy level (IB) is analyzed. The model is based on the following 7 ideal conditions:
1. No non-radiative recombination
2. Infinite carrier mobility
3. Perfect ohmic contacts for electrons and holes, IB is electrically isolated.
4. Solar cell is a perfect absorber.
5. Back of cell is a perfect mirror, photons only escape from the front.
6. Absorption coefficient is a step function, single BE function describes population of photons escaping from cell in every mode.
7. Cell illumination is isotropic, i.e. as ideal concentrator with no optical loss and concentration ratio of 46,000X

## Initial declarations and equations

Declaration of fundamental constants

```math
ClearAll["Global`*"]
ClearAll[NT, em, eM, T, \(\mu\)];
kB = 8.617\times10^{-5}; (*Boltzmann constant eV/K*)
q = 1.6\times10^{-19}; (*electric charge C*)
h = 6.63\times10^{-34}; (*Planck constant Js*)
c = 3\times10^8; "m/s";
```

The photon flux leaving the semiconductor as required by detailed balance. Note that there is a factor of \(\pi\) in the numerator (which is erroneously absent from the PRL paper). This is equation 5 from the 1997 PRL paper.
\[
\text{Nt}[\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_\text{M}, T, \mu] = \frac{2 \times q^4 \times 10^{-7} \times \pi}{h^3 \times c^2} \text{NIntegrate} \left[ \frac{e^2}{\exp \left( \frac{\mu}{k_B T} \right) - 1} \right], \{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_\text{M}\};
\]

"photon flux in \(6.25 \times 10^{18} \times 10^7\) *m^-2*s^-1";

\text{NIntegrate} :: lim : \varepsilon = \varepsilon_m \text{ is not a valid limit of integration .} >$
\text{NIntegrate} :: lim : \varepsilon = \varepsilon_m \text{ is not a valid limit of integration .} >$
\text{ClearAll}[\varepsilon_c, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_g, T_s, T_a, qV, \mu c_i, \mu iv, x, y, \mu iv2];

The temperature of the two blackbodies.
\text{T}a = 300; "cell temperature";
\text{T}s = 6000; "sun temperature";
\text{Inf} = 10^{-4};
(*
\varepsilon_i = \text{Ei}; "smaller bandgap";
\varepsilon_g = \text{Eg}; "total bandgap";
\varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_g - \varepsilon_i; "larger bandgap";
*)
{\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_c, \varepsilon_g}

Setting the NUMsteps as the number of steps, bounded by the lower bound and upper bound so as to avoid a discontinuity in the integral.
\text{NUMsteps} = 15;
\text{LowerBound}[\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_g] := \varepsilon_i + 5 (\varepsilon_g - \varepsilon_i) / 6 + .01;
\text{UpperBound}[\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_g] := \varepsilon_g - .01;
\text{StepSize}[\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_g] := (\varepsilon_g - (\varepsilon_i + 7 (\varepsilon_g - \varepsilon_i) / 8)) / \text{NUMsteps};
{\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_c, \varepsilon_g}

\mu iv is the chemical potential, determined by solving the system of equations given by eq. (7) and (8) in the PRL paper
\mu iv2[qV_] := \mu iv /. \text{FindRoot}[\text{Nt}[\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_c, T_s, 0] - \text{Nt}[\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_c, T_a, \mu iv] =
\text{Nt}[\varepsilon_c, \varepsilon_g, T_s, 0] - \text{Nt}[\varepsilon_c, \varepsilon_g, T_a, qV - \mu iv], \{\mu iv, (2 * \varepsilon_i + (qV - \varepsilon_c)) / 3, (\varepsilon_i + 2 * (qV - \varepsilon_c)) / 3, qV - \varepsilon_c, \varepsilon_i\}, \text{MaxIterations} -> 1000];
\mu iv5[qV_, \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_c, \varepsilon_g_] := \mu iv /. \text{FindRoot}[\text{Nt}[\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_c, T_s, 0] - \text{Nt}[\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_c, T_a, \mu iv] =
\text{Nt}[\varepsilon_c, \varepsilon_g, T_s, 0] - \text{Nt}[\varepsilon_c, \varepsilon_g, T_a, qV - \mu iv], \{\mu iv, (99 * (qV - \varepsilon_c) + \varepsilon_i) / 100, ((qV - \varepsilon_c) + 99 * \varepsilon_i) / 100, \text{Min}[qV - \varepsilon_c, \varepsilon_i], \text{Max}[qV - \varepsilon_c, \varepsilon_i]\};
\text{ClearAll}[qV, \text{II}, \text{Pa}, \text{Ps}, \eta];
\text{II}[qV_] := (\text{Nt}[\varepsilon_c, \text{Inf}, T_s, 0] - \text{Nt}[\varepsilon_c, \text{Inf}, T_a, qV - \mu iv5[qV]]) * (6.25 * 10^{18} * 10^7) * q;
\text{Ps} := (5.67 * 10^{-8}) * T_s^4; "solar radiation power concentrated in W/m^2";

Below, \text{II} is the current for both the IB and tandem cells, respectively. It is found by the balance of
electrons delivered to an external load, equations 6 and 9 from the 1997 PRL paper.

IIib is the current of an intermediate band cell as a function of voltage (qV), and bandgap values.

\[
IIib[qV_, ei_, ec_, eg_] :=
((Nt[eg, 10^4, Ts, 0] - Nt[eg, 10^4, Ta, qV]) + (Nt[ec, eg, Ts, 0] -
Nt[ec, eg, Ta, qV - \mu iv5[qV, ei, ec, eg]]) * (6.25 * 10^{18} * 10^7) * q;
\]

\[
IItandem[qV_, ei_, ec_, eg_] := (Nt[ec, Inf, Ts, 0] -
Nt[ec, Inf, Ta, qV - \mu iv5[qV, ei, ec, eg]]) * (6.25 * 10^{18} * 10^7) * q;
\]

This calculates the efficiency by effectively making of a table of the current times the voltage, and determining the maximum power point.

\[
(*Variance=Table[qV,{qV,LowerBound[Ei,Eg],UpperBound[Ei,Eg],StepSize[Ei,Eg]}]*)
Efficiencyib[Ei_, Eg_] :=
Max[Table[qV, {qV, LowerBound[Ei, Eg], UpperBound[Ei, Eg], StepSize[Ei, Eg]}] *
Table[IIib[qV, Ei, Eg - Ei, Eq],
{qV, LowerBound[Ei, Eg], UpperBound[Ei, Eg], StepSize[Ei, Eg]}] / Ps]
Efficiencytandem[Ei_, Eg_] := Max[Table[qV, {qV, LowerBound[Ei, Eg],
UpperBound[Ei, Eg], StepSize[Ei, Eg]}] * Table[IItandem[qV, Ei, Eg - Ei, Eg],
{qV, LowerBound[Ei, Eg], UpperBound[Ei, Eg], StepSize[Ei, Eg]}] / Ps]

Calculation of efficiency based on bandgap values

Below is where you can plug in any arbitrary bandgap values to calculate the IB and tandem efficiencies.
Arguments Efficiency[Ei,Eg], where Ei is the smaller gap, and Eg is the total gap. Using a value of 0 for the lower gap will give the single junction case.

IBEfficiency = Efficiencyib[0.7, 1.93]
TandemEfficiency = Efficiencytandem[0, 1.4]

0.625893

This will calculate the efficiency by varying one parameter and keeping the other constant for a series of data points

Data = Table[Efficiencyib[gap, 2.4], {gap, 0, 1.2, 0.1}] // Timing
{320.563503, {0.20885, 0.235656, 0.267379, 0.302654, 0.341778, 0.385199,
0.432841, 0.484804, 0.541528, 0.600715, 0.508897, 0.359069, 0.20885}}

DataTandem = Table[Efficiencytandem[gap, 2.4], {gap, .1, 2.3, 0.1}]
{0.0975444, 0.246091, 0.342453, 0.392103, 0.403448, 0.3858,
0.349813, 0.304101, 0.255558, 0.20885, 0.166725, 0.130466, 0.100353,
0.0760458, 0.0568759, 0.042049, 0.0307691, 0.0223088, 0.0160414,
0.0114488, 0.00811573, 0.00571749, 0.00400687, 0.00279363}
ListLinePlot[Data, DataRange \rightarrow \{0, 2.4\}]

ListLinePlot[{308.721, 
\{0.235656, 0.267379, 0.302654, 0.341778, 0.385199, 0.432841, 0.484804, 0.541528, 
0.600715, 0.508897, 0.359069, 0.20885, 1.75477, 1.35536, 4.48772, 6.17557, 
5.23383, 4.34794, 3.05306, 6.32723, 1.43363, 1.74855, -\infty\}}, DataRange \rightarrow \{0, 2.4\}]

Data2 = Table[Efficiencyib[0, gap], \{gap, .15, 3.5, 0.25\}]
\{0.0975444, 0.246091, 0.342453, 0.392103, 0.403448, 0.3858, 0.349813, 
0.304101, 0.255558, 0.20885, 0.166725, 0.130466, 0.100353, 0.0760458\}

myplot[data_, min_, max_] := ListLinePlot[data, 
  DataRange \rightarrow \{min, max\}, AxesOrigin \rightarrow \{0, 0\}, AxesLabel \rightarrow \{"Ei [eV]", "\eta \"\}, 
  BaseStyle \rightarrow \{FontFamily \rightarrow "Helvetica", FontSize \rightarrow 16\}, PlotStyle \rightarrow \{Red, Thick\}]

myplot[Data, 0, 1.2]
Table[Which[Ei < 1/2 Eg, {Ei, Eg}, Ei ≥ 1/2 Eg, 0],
     {Ei, .15, 1.5, .1}, {Eg, .5, 3, .1}] // MatrixForm

Efficiencyib[.15, 0.6] // Timing

Monitor[Data4 = Table[Which[Ei < 1/2 Eg, Efficiencyib[Ei, Eg], Ei ≥ 1/2 Eg, 0],
     {Ei, .5, 1.3, .1}, {Eg, 1.4, 3.5, .1}], Eg]

Data3[[2]] // MatrixForm

calculates a matrix of efficiencies for Ei (lower gap) on one axis, and Eg (upper gap) on the other. 0 is returned if Ei>1/2Eg

EiLowerLimit = .5;
EiUpperLimit = .6;
EiStepSize = .1;

EgLowerLimit = 1.5;
EgUpperLimit = 1.6;
EgStepSize = .1;

Table[Ei, {Ei, EiLowerLimit, EiUpperLimit, EiStepSize}]
Table[Eg, {Eg, EgLowerLimit, EgUpperLimit, EgStepSize}]

{0.5, 0.6}
{1.5, 1.6}

Table[Which[Ei < 1/2 Eg, {Ei, Eg}, Ei ≥ 1/2 Eg, 0],
{Ei, EiLowerLimit, EiUpperLimit, EiStepSize},
{Eg, EgLowerLimit, EgUpperLimit, EgStepSize}] // MatrixForm

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0.5 & 0.5 \\
1.5 & 1.6 \\
0.6 & 0.6 \\
1.5 & 1.6
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Monitor[ContourData = Table[Which[Ei < 1/2 Eg, Efficiencyib[Ei, Eg], Ei \[GreaterEqual] 1/2 Eg, 0], {Ei, EiLowerLimit, EiUpperLimit, EiStepSize}, {Eg, EgLowerLimit, EgUpperLimit, EgStepSize}], Eg] // Timing // AbsoluteTiming
{33.042273, {30.648395, \{0.598502, 0.586738\}, \{0.526889, 0.576651\}}}]

ContourData \[\rightarrow\] MatrixForm;
MatrixPlot[ContourData];
ListDensityPlot[ContourData];
ListContourPlot[ContourData, 
DataRange \[\rightarrow\] \{(Ei\_LowerLimit, Ei\_UpperLimit), \{Eg\_LowerLimit, Eg\_UpperLimit\}\}]

data = Table[\{x = RandomReal[\{-2, 2\}], y = RandomReal[\{-2, 2\}], Sin[x y]\}, \{1000\}] //
TableForm;

Build table using parallel computation

This is probably the quickest way to generate the data, you can set the values and step size below
EiLowerLimit = .5;
EiUpperLimit = .6;
EiStepSize = .1;

EgLowerLimit = 1.5;
EgUpperLimit = 1.6;
EgStepSize = .1;

Monitor[ContourData = ParallelTable[Which[Ei < 1/2 Eg, Efficiencyib[Ei, Eg],
   Ei >= 1/2 Eg, 0], {Ei, EiLowerLimit, EiUpperLimit, EiStepSize},
   {Eg, EgLowerLimit, EgUpperLimit, EgStepSize}], Eg] // Timing // AbsoluteTiming
{24.189849, {2.007156, {0.598502, 0.586738}, {0.526889, 0.576651}}}

ListContourPlot[ContourData,
   DataRange -> {{EiLowerLimit, EiUpperLimit}, {EgLowerLimit, EgUpperLimit}}]
ClearAll[R0, V, x, Gm, ImG, G, EE, Ek, k, R, P1, 
P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, Rhh, Rso, Rtotal, Eplus, Eminus];

GaAsPN

\(h = 1.05 \times 10^{-34};\)
\(b = 5.55 \times 10^{-10};\)
\(Me1 = 0.067 \times 9.11 \times 10^{-31};\)
\(Eb1 = h^2 (2 \pi / b)^2 / (2 Me1) / (1.6 \times 10^{-19})\)
72.3451

\(Ed = 186 / 100;\)
\(Eb := 240.4; (*conduction band width calculated above*)\)
\(V = 284 / 100;\)
\(Eg0 = 196 / 100; (*CBE WRT to VBE at x=54% As content*)\)
\(Me = 0.1; Mhh = 0.54;\)
\(Mlh = 0.082; Mso = 0.154; Delta = 0.19;\)
\(x = 0.02;\)
\(Eplus[xx_] := 0.5 (Eg0 + Ed + Sqrt[(Eg0 - Ed)^2 + 4 V^2 xx]);\)
\(Eminus[xx_] := 0.5 (Eg0 - Ed - Sqrt[(Eg0 - Ed)^2 + 4 V^2 xx]);\)
\(R0[EE_] := 4 Pi Sqrt[EE - Eg0] / Eb^3 / 2;\)
\(RV[EE_] := 4 Pi Sqrt[0 - EE] / Eb^3 / 2;\)
\(Gm = -I Pi V^2 R0[Ed];\)
\((*There should be a pre-factor BETA here,\)
\(\text{which is determined experimentally as BETA}=0.22*)\)
\(G[EE_, Ek_] := (EE - (Ed + I Gm)) / ((EE - Ek + I 10^(-6)) (EE - (Ed + I Gm)) - V^2 x);\)
\(R[EE_] :=\)
\((1 / Pi) \text{Abs[NIntegrate[R0[Ek] Im[G[EE, Ek]], \{Ek, Eg0, Eb\}, Method \to Automatic, MinRecursion \to 0, MaxRecursion \to 15, WorkingPrecision \to 24]]};\)
\(Rhh[EE_] := (1 / Pi) \text{Abs[NIntegrate[R0[Ek] Im[G[EE - (Me / Mhh) Ek, Ek]], \{Ek, Eg0, Eb\}, MinRecursion \to 0, MaxRecursion \to 15, WorkingPrecision \to 24]]};\)
\(PO = \text{Plot[R0[Ek], \{Ek, 1, 3\}, AxesLabel \to \{"Energy (eV)\", "DOS (1/eV unit-cell)\",}\)
\(\text{PlotStyle} \to \{\text{Directive[Thick, Black]}\},\)
\(\text{LabelStyle} \to \text{Directive[FontFamily \to "Helvetica", 16]}, \text{Frame} \to \text{True}\}
\(PVB = \text{Plot}[2*RV[Ek], \{Ek, -.5, 3\}, \text{PlotRange},\)
\(\text{AxesLabel} \to \{"Energy (eV)\", "DOS (1/eV unit-cell)\",}\)
\(\text{PlotStyle} \to \{\text{Directive[Thick, Black]}\},\)
\(\text{LabelStyle} \to \text{Directive[FontFamily \to "Helvetica", 16]}, \text{Frame} \to \text{True},\)
\(\text{Filling} \to \text{Axis}, \text{FrameStyle} \to \text{Thick, FillingStyle} \to \text{Directive[Opacity[0.2], Blue]}\]
Plot[2 RV[Ek], {Ek, -0.5, 3}, PlotRange,
AxesLabel -> (Energy (eV), DOS (1/eV unit-cell)),
PlotStyle -> Directive[Thick, Black],
LabelStyle -> Directive[FontFamily -> Helvetica, 16], Frame -> True, Filling -> Axis,
FrameStyle -> Thick, FillingStyle -> Directive[Opacity[0.2], Blue]]

Gm // N
0.0270144 + 0. i

R[EE_] :=
 (1/Pi) Abs[NIntegrate[R0[Ek] Im[G[EE, Ek]], {Ek, Eg0, Eb}, Method -> Automatic, 
 MinRecursion -> 0, MaxRecursion -> 15, WorkingPrecision -> 24]];
DiscretePlot[R[y], {y, 1, 3, .05}] // Timing
\[ x = .001; \]
P001 = Plot[R[EE], {EE, 1, 3}, Filling -> None, PlotStyle -> {Directive[Red]}]

\[ x = .005; \]
P005 = Plot[R[EE], {EE, 1, 3}, Filling -> None, PlotStyle -> {Directive[Green]}]

\[ x = .01; \]
P01 = Plot[R[EE], {EE, 1, 3}, PlotPoints -> NUMpts, Frame -> True, Filling -> None, PlotStyle -> {Directive[Blue]}]
\[
\begin{align*}
x &= 0.02; \\
\text{P02} &= \text{Plot}[R[\{\text{EE}, 1, 3\}], \text{PlotPoints} \to \text{NUMpts}, \\
&\quad \quad \text{AxesLabel} \to \{"\text{Energy (eV)}", "\text{DOS (1/eV unit cell)}"\}, \\
&\quad \quad \text{PlotStyle} \to \{\text{Directive[Pink]}\}, \text{LabelStyle} \to \\
&\quad \quad \quad \text{Directive[FontFamily} \to "\text{Helvetica}"\), \text{Frame} \to \text{True}, \text{Filling} \to \text{None}\}; \\
\text{Show}[\text{P02}, \text{P0}, \text{P001}, \text{P005}, \text{P01}]
\end{align*}
\]

\[\h = 1.05 \times 10^{-34};\]
\[b = 5.55 \times 10^{-10};\]
\[\text{M01} = 0.1 \times 9.11 \times 10^{-31};\]
\[\text{E01} = \h^2 \left(2 \pi / b\right)^2 / (2 \text{M01}) / (1.6 \times 10^{-19})\]
\[48.4712\]
Ed = 0.23 + Eg0;  
Eb = 220.4; (*but Chiao had 70.4 here in his thesis- why? all other values work! factor of 4.7*)

V = 2.7; Eg0 = 1.42; Me = 0.067; Mhh = 0.51;  
Mlh = 0.082; Mso = 0.154; Delta = 0.34;  
x = 0.01;

Eplus = 0.5 (Eg0 + Ed + Sqrt[(Eg0 - Ed)^2 + 4 V^2 x]);  
Eminus = 0.5 (Eg0 + Ed - Sqrt[(Eg0 - Ed)^2 + 4 V^2 x]);  
R0[EE_] := 4 Pi Sqrt[EE - Eg0] / Eb^3/2;  
Gm = Pi V^2 R0[Ed];

G[EE_, Ek_] := (EE - (Ed + I Gm)) / ((EE - Ek + I 10^-6) (EE - (Ed + I Gm)) - V^2 x);

R[EE_] := (1 / Pi) Abs[NIntegrate[R0[Ek] Im[G[EE, Ek]], {Ek, Eg0, Eb},  
MinRecursion -> 3, MaxRecursion -> 10, WorkingPrecision -> 16]];  
Rhh[EE_] := (1 / Pi) Abs[NIntegrate[R0[Ek] Im[G[EE - (Me / Mhh) Ek, Ek]],  
{Ek, Eg0, Eb}, MinRecursion -> 3, MaxRecursion -> 10, WorkingPrecision -> 16]];  
P0GaaS =  
Plot[R0[Ek], {Ek, 1, 2.2}, AxesLabel -> {"Energy (eV)", "DOS (1/eV unit-cell)"},  
PlotStyle -> {Directive[Thick, Black]},  
LabelStyle -> Directive[FontFamily -> "Helvetica", 16], Frame -> True]

R[EE_] := (1 / Pi) Abs[NIntegrate[R0[Ek] Im[G[EE, Ek]], {Ek, Eg0, Eb},  
Method -> Automatic, MinRecursion -> 0, MaxRecursion -> 15, WorkingPrecision -> 24]];  
(*DiscretePlot[R[y], {y, 13, .05}]*)
\[ x = .001; \]
\[ \text{P001GaAsN} = \text{Plot[R[EE], \{EE, 1, 2.2\}, Filling -> None, PlotStyle -> \{Directive[Red]\}]} \]

\[ x = .005; \]
\[ \text{P005GaAsN} = \text{Plot[R[EE], \{EE, 1, 2.2\}, Filling -> None, PlotStyle -> \{Directive[Green]\}]} \]

\[ x = .01; \]
\[ \text{P01GaAsN} = \text{Plot[R[EE], \{EE, 1, 2.2\}, Filling -> None, PlotStyle -> \{Directive[Blue]\}]} \]
\[ x = .02; \]
\[ P02GaAsN = \text{Plot}[R[EE], \{EE, 1, 2.2\}, \text{Filling} \rightarrow \text{None}, \text{PlotStyle} \rightarrow \{\text{Directive[Pink]}\}] \]
Fermi Level in GaNAsP

We consider here GaN(x)As(y)P(1-x-y)

Definitions


Effective mass

from http://www.iue.tuwien.ac.at/phd/palankovski/node40.html

GaAs(y)P(1-y) for Y>50% is direct band gap

**For electrons**

me=0.067 (GaAs)
me=0.5 (GaP)

**For holes**

mh=0.49 (GaAs)
mh=0.6 (GaP)

ClearAll[mestar, mestary, mhstar, mhstary]

meA = 0.067;
meB = 0.5;

mhA = 0.49;
mhB = 0.6;

mestar[y_] := meA (y) + meB (1 - y)
mhstary[y_] := mhA (y) + mhB (1 - y)

p1 = Plot[mestar[x], {x, .5, 1}];
p2 = Plot[mhstary[x], {x, .5, 1}];
GraphicsRow[{p1, p2}]
Assume here that $y=54\%$ As

\[
y := .54;
\]
\[
mestar := mestary[y]
\]
\[
mhstar := mhstary[y]
\]
\[
mestar
\]
\[
mhstar
\]
\[
0.26618
\]
\[
0.5406
\]

**Band Edge concentration parameters**

\[
Nc=2^* (\frac{m_e kBT}{2 \pi h^2})^{3/2}
\]
\[
Nv=2^* (\frac{m_h kBT}{2 \pi h^2})^{3/2}
\]
\[
eq. (2.2.39)
\]
\[
T = 300;
\]
\[
Nc = 2.51 \times 10^{19} (mestar \times T / 300)^{(3 / 2)}
\]
\[
Nv = 2.51 \times 10^{19} (mhstar \times T / 300)^{(3 / 2)}
\]
\[
2.95483 \times 10^{18}
\]
\[
9.79458 \times 10^{18}
\]

**Determination of Fermi Level (in eV)**

let $\eta=(E_f-E_c)/kBT$

maximum error is 0.006

assume $kBT=25.8\text{meV at 300K}$

\[
kBT = 0.0258;
\]
\[
u[n_] := n / Nc
\]
\[
\nu[n_] := \left(3 \sqrt{\pi} u[n] / 4\right)^{(2 / 3)}
\]
\[
\eta[n_] := \log[u[n]] / (1 - u[n]^2) + \nu[n] / (1 + (0.24 + 1.08 \times \nu[n])^(-2))
\]
\[
\eta[10^17] \times kBT;
\]
\[ p2 = \text{Plot}[\eta[x] * kBT, \{x, 1 \times 10^{17}, 1 \times 10^{19}\}] \]
Program to fit lineshape for analysis of PR data. Written by AVL 4.2013.

data := Import[f];
data[[All, 1]] // TableForm;
data[[All, 1]]; (*this is just a check to make sure the data was imported correctly*)

Be careful how column 1 data is needed. If it is in nm you need to convert to eV (for raw data)

energy := 1240/data[[All, 1]]; 
PRdata := data[[All, 3]]; 
Transpose[{energy, PRdata}]; 
xy = Thread[{energy, PRdata}]; 
Length[PRdata]

ListLinePlot[xy, PlotRange -> Full]
ListLinePlot[xy[[250 ;; 461]], PlotRange -> Full]
ListLinePlot[xy[[80 ;; 180]], PlotRange -> Full]

ClearAll["Global`*"]

Eg = 1.4; 
Γ = 1; 
Const = .1; 
θ = 1; 
ClearAll[PR, Eg, Γ, Const, θ]

We can attempt to model the transition to extract values for the fitting parameters (ballpark)

For a 3D system, m=5/2, for a 2D m=3

Below is the standard PR model from Aspenes
\[ m = \frac{5}{2}; \]

\[
\text{PR}[E_0, \text{Eg}, \text{Const}_1, \theta, \Gamma] := \text{Re}[(\text{Const}_1 E^i \theta) (E_0 - \text{Eg} + i \Gamma)^{-m}] 
\]

\[
\text{PRmodel} = \text{Re}[(\text{Const}_1 E^i \theta)(E_0 - \text{Eg} + i \Gamma)^{(-m)}] 
\]

\[
\text{Plot}[\text{PR}[y, 1.72, .10, -2\pi/4, .05], \{y, 1, 2.7\}, \text{PlotRange} \to \text{Full}] 
\]

\[
\text{Re} \left[ \frac{\text{Const}_1 e^{i \theta}}{(E_0 - \text{Eg} + i \Gamma)^{5/2}} \right] 
\]

\[
E_0 = 1.2; 
\]

\[
\text{Manipulate}[\text{Plot}[\text{PR}[y, E_01, .00000001, \theta_1, \gamma], +
\text{PR}[y, E_01 + \delta E, .00000001, \theta_2, \gamma_2], \{y, 1.1, 1.9\}, \text{PlotRange} \to \text{Full}],
\{\theta_1, 0, 2\pi\}, \{\theta_2, 0, 2\pi\}, \{\gamma, .005, .1\}, \{\gamma_2, .005, .1\},
\{\delta E, 0, 0.4\}, \text{UnsavedVariables} \to \{\theta_1, \theta_2, \gamma, \gamma_2, \delta E\},
\text{LocalizeVariables} \to \text{False}] 
\]

\[
\text{ListPlot}[\text{xy}[1; 270]], \text{PlotRange} \to \text{All}] 
\]

\[
\text{Show}[\text{ListPlot}[\text{xy}[120; 460]], \text{PlotRange} \to \text{All}],
\text{Plot}[(\text{PR}[y, E_01, .00000001, \theta_1, \gamma] +
\text{PR}[y, E_01 + \delta E, .00000002, \theta_2, \gamma_2]),
\{y, 1.1, 2.0\}, \text{PlotRange} \to \text{Full}, \text{PlotStyle} \to \text{Red}] 
\]
Double peak fitting

(*double peak fit*)

\[ m = 3; \]

\[ \text{fitdata} = \text{xy}[[120 ;; 460]]; \]

\[ \text{ClearAll}[E0, E01] \]

\[ \text{fit2} = \text{FindFit}[	ext{fitdata}, \{\text{PR}[E0, E01, \text{Const1}, \text{\theta1}, \Gamma1] + \text{PR}[E0, E02, \text{Const2}, \text{\theta2}, \Gamma2]\}, \{\{E01, 1.2\}, \{\text{Const1}, .0000001\}, \{\text{\theta1}, \text{theta1}\}, \{\Gamma1, \text{gamma}\}, \{E02, 1.42\}, \{\text{Const2}, .00000002\}, \{\text{\theta2}, \text{theta2}\}, \{\Gamma2, \text{gamma2}\}\}, E0, \text{AccuracyGoal} \rightarrow 10, \text{PrecisionGoal} \rightarrow 10, \text{MaxIterations} \rightarrow \text{Automatic}] \]

\[ \text{Show}[\text{ListPlot}[\text{fitdata}, \text{PlotRange} \rightarrow \text{All}], \]

\[ \text{Plot}[\text{Evaluate}[\{\text{PR}[y, E01, \text{Const1}, \text{\theta1}, \Gamma1] + \text{PR}[y, E02, \text{Const2}, \text{\theta2}, \Gamma2]\} / \text{.} \text{fit2}], \]

\[ \{y, 1.1, 1.8\}, \text{PlotRange} \rightarrow \text{Full}, \text{PlotStyle} \rightarrow \text{Red}] \]

\[ \text{fit2} \]

(*calculate RMS Error for Fit*)

\[ \text{fitFunc}[y_] := \]

\[ \text{Evaluate}[\{\text{PR}[y, E01, \text{Const1}, \text{\theta1}, \Gamma1] + \text{PR}[y, E02, \text{Const2}, \text{\theta2}, \Gamma2]\} / \text{.} \text{fit2}] \]

\[ \text{RMSerror1} = \text{RootMeanSquare}[\text{fitdata}[[\text{All}, 2]] - \text{Map}[\text{fitFunc}, \text{fitdata}[[\text{All}, 1]]]] \]

\[ \text{Plot}[\text{Evaluate}[\{\rho[y, E01, \text{Const1}, \Gamma1]\} / \text{.} \text{fit2}], \]

\[ \{y, 1.1, 1.9\}, \text{PlotRange} \rightarrow \text{Full}, \text{PlotStyle} \rightarrow \text{Green}]; \]

\[ \text{Plot}[\{\rho[y, E01, \text{Const1}, \Gamma1]\} / \text{.} \text{fit2}, \]

\[ \{y, 1.1, 2.0\}, \text{PlotRange} \rightarrow \text{Full}, \text{PlotStyle} \rightarrow \text{Green}]; \]

\[ \{E01 \rightarrow 1.27203, \text{Const1} \rightarrow -2.47425 \times 10^{-8}, \text{\theta1} \rightarrow 4.04241, \Gamma1 \rightarrow 0.121044, \]

\[ E02 \rightarrow 1.42711, \text{Const2} \rightarrow 1.55486 \times 10^{-9}, \text{\theta2} \rightarrow -0.536708, \Gamma2 \rightarrow 0.0337091\} \]

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{Show}[
\text{ListPlot}[\text{fitdata}, \text{PlotRange} \rightarrow \text{All}],
\text{Plot}[\text{Evaluate}[\{\text{PR}[y, E01, \text{Const1}, \text{\theta1}, \Gamma1] + \text{PR}[y, E02, \text{Const2}, \text{\theta2}, \Gamma2]\} / \text{.} \text{fit2}],
\{y, 1.1, 1.8\}, \text{PlotRange} \rightarrow \text{Full}, \text{PlotStyle} \rightarrow \text{Red}]
\end{array} \]

\[ \{E01 \rightarrow 1.27203, \text{Const1} \rightarrow -2.47425 \times 10^{-8}, \text{\theta1} \rightarrow 4.04241, \Gamma1 \rightarrow 0.121044, \]

\[ E02 \rightarrow 1.42711, \text{Const2} \rightarrow 1.55486 \times 10^{-9}, \text{\theta2} \rightarrow -0.536708, \Gamma2 \rightarrow 0.0337091\} \]

\[ 1.23205 \times 10^{-6} \]
\[ \text{E01 + deltaEl} \]
\[ \text{deltaEl + E01} \]

### 3 peak fitting

(*Triple peak fit*)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{m} & = 3; \\
\text{fitdata} & = \text{xy}[[1 ;; 460]]; \\
\text{ClearAll}[\text{E01}] \\
\text{deltaE} & = 0.15
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{fit3 = FindFit}[\text{fitdata}, \{A \times \text{E0}^2 + B \times \text{E0} + C + \text{PR}[\text{E0}, \text{E01}, \Theta_1, \Gamma_1] + \\
\text{PR}[\text{E0}, \text{E02}, \Theta_2, \Gamma_2] + \text{PR}[\text{E0}, \text{E03}, \Theta_3, \Gamma_3]\}, \{\{A\}, \{B\}, \{C\}, \{\text{E01}, 1.27\}, \{\text{Const1}, 2.47424651245195^*^-8\}, \{\Theta_1, \text{theta1}\}, \{\Gamma_1, \text{gamma1}\}, \{\text{E02}, 1.42\}, \{\text{Const2}, 1.554862672687124^*^-9\}, \{\Theta_2, \text{theta2}\}, \{\Gamma_2, \text{gamma2}\}, \{\text{E03}, 1.8\}, \{\text{Const3}, 1 \times 10^-8\}, \{\Theta_3, \text{.4}\}, \{\Gamma_3, \text{.1}\}\}, \{\text{E0}, \text{AccuracyGoal} \rightarrow 10, \text{PrecisionGoal} \rightarrow 10, \text{MaxIterations} \rightarrow \text{Automatic}\}]
\]

\[
\text{Show}[\text{ListPlot}[\text{fitdata}, \text{PlotRange} \rightarrow \text{All}], \\
\text{Plot}[\text{Evaluate}[\{A \times \text{y}^2 + B \times \text{y} + C + \text{PR}[\text{y}, \text{E01}, \Theta_1, \Gamma_1] + \\
\text{PR}[\text{y}, \text{E02}, \Theta_2, \Gamma_2] + \text{PR}[\text{y}, \text{E03}, \Theta_3, \Gamma_3]\} \/. \text{fit3}], \{\text{y}, 1.1, 2.0\}, \text{PlotRange} \rightarrow \text{Full}, \text{PlotStyle} \rightarrow \text{Red}]]
\]

\[
\text{Plot}[\text{Evaluate}[\{\rho[\text{y}, \text{E01}, \Theta_1, \Gamma_1]\} \/. \text{fit2}], \{\text{y}, 1.1, 2.0\}, \text{PlotRange} \rightarrow \text{Full}, \text{PlotStyle} \rightarrow \text{Green}];
\]

\[
\text{Plot}[\{\rho[\text{y}, \text{E01}, \Theta_1, \Gamma_1]\} \/. \text{fit2}, \{\text{y}, 1.1, 2.0\}, \text{PlotRange} \rightarrow \text{Full}, \text{PlotStyle} \rightarrow \text{Green}];
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
0.15
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\{A \rightarrow -5.03259 \times 10^-6, B \rightarrow 0.0000176475, C \rightarrow -0.0000156206, \\
\text{E01} \rightarrow 1.25346, \text{Const1} \rightarrow 2.05642 \times 10^-8, \Theta_1 \rightarrow 0.396297, \Gamma_1 \rightarrow 0.114444, \\
\text{E02} \rightarrow 1.46113, \text{Const2} \rightarrow 1.98402 \times 10^-9, \Theta_2 \rightarrow 7.95636, \Gamma_2 \rightarrow -0.0648032, \\
\text{E03} \rightarrow 1.42961, \text{Const3} \rightarrow 2.23165 \times 10^-9, \Theta_3 \rightarrow -6.6072, \Gamma_3 \rightarrow 0.0367403\}
\]

![Graph of the fit data with labeled peaks](image-url)
4 peak fitting

(*Four peak fit*)
m = 3;
fitdata = xy[[1 ;; 460]];
ClearAll[E01, A, B, c]
deltaE = 0.15

fit4 = FindFit[fitdata,
{A*E0^2 + B*E0 + c + PR[E0, E01, Const1, \[Theta]1, \[Gamma]1] + PR[E0, E02, Const2, \[Theta]2, \[Gamma]2] +
PR[E0, E03, Const3, \[Theta]3, \[Gamma]3] + PR[E0, E04, Const4, \[Theta]4, \[Gamma]4]}
{A}, {B}, {c}, {E01, 1.2}, {Const1, .0000001}, {\[Theta]1, \[Theta]1}, {\[Gamma]1, \[Gamma]1},
{E02, 1.42}, {Const2, .0000001}, {\[Theta]2, \[Theta]2}, {\[Gamma]2, \[Gamma]2},
{E03, 1.78}, {Const3, .00000005}, {\[Theta]3, .227}, {\[Gamma]3, \[Gamma]3},
{E04, 1.5}, {Const4, .0000001}, {\[Theta]4, \[Theta]1}, {\[Gamma]4, .15}
E0, AccuracyGoal -> 8, PrecisionGoal -> 8, MaxIterations -> 200]

Show[ListPlot[fitdata, PlotRange -> All], Plot[
Evaluate[{A*E0^2 + B*E0 + c + PR[y, E01, Const1, \[Theta]1, \[Gamma]1] + PR[y, E02, Const2, \[Theta]2, \[Gamma]2] +
{y, 1.1, 2.0}, PlotRange -> Full, PlotStyle -> Red]]

Plot[Evaluate[\[rho][y, E01, Const1, \[Gamma]1]] /. fit2],
{y, 1.1, 2.0}, PlotRange -> Full, PlotStyle -> Green];

Plot[\[rho][y, E01, Const1, \[Gamma]1] /. fit2,
{y, 1.1, 2.0}, PlotRange -> Full, PlotStyle -> Green];

0.15

\{A \rightarrow -3.33471 \times 10^{-6}, B \rightarrow 0.0000123033, c \rightarrow -0.0000115027,\nE01 \rightarrow 1.2551, Const1 \rightarrow 2.00155 \times 10^{-8}, \[Theta]1 \rightarrow 0.446281, \[Gamma]1 \rightarrow 0.113518,\nE02 \rightarrow 1.42944, Const2 \rightarrow 2.36083 \times 10^{-9}, \[Theta]2 \rightarrow -0.359819, \[Gamma]2 \rightarrow 0.0372287,\nE03 \rightarrow 1.75693, Const3 \rightarrow 4.85926 \times 10^{-10}, \[Theta]3 \rightarrow -0.800407, \[Gamma]3 \rightarrow 0.0583703,\nE04 \rightarrow 1.45924, Const4 \rightarrow -1.7745 \times 10^{-9}, \[Theta]4 \rightarrow -5.02666, \[Gamma]4 \rightarrow 0.0601303\}
Fitting

Fitting and Plotting the PR modulus

\[ \rho[E_0, E_g, \text{Const}_1, \Gamma_1] = \text{Abs[Const}_1] / ((E_0 - E_g)^2 + \Gamma_1^2)^{(m/2)} \]

\[
\text{Plot}[\rho[y, E_g, \text{Const}_1, \Gamma_1] /. \text{fit}, 
\{y, 1.6, 2.1\}, \text{PlotRange} \to \text{Full}, \text{PlotStyle} \to \text{Green}]
\]

Abs[Const1]  
\[
\left( (E_0 - E_g)^2 + \Gamma_1^2 \right)^{5/4}
\]

Overlay the fit and the PR modulus

\[
\text{Show[ ListPlot[fitdata, PlotRange} \to \text{All}],}
\text{Plot[Evaluate[PR[y, E_g, \text{Const}_1, \Theta_1, \Gamma_1] /. \text{fit}, 
\{y, 1.6, 1.9\}, \text{PlotRange} \to \text{Full}, \text{PlotStyle} \to \text{Red}],}
\text{Plot[\rho[y, E_g, \text{Const}_1, \Gamma_1] /. \text{fit}, 
\{y, 1.6, 1.9\}, \text{PlotRange} \to \text{Full}, \text{PlotStyle} \to \text{Green}]]}
\]