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## I.

No other state in the Union is more interesting and important anthropologically than California. This extensive region, extending over nearly ten degrees of latitude and longitude, offered by its configuration and favorable natural conditions available routes for migration and good opportunities for settlement. Of the aboriginal migrations there are no records; no movement of any great consequence took place since the advent of whites into the region in 1769; the settlement of the country by the Indian, however, was extensive and varied. The number of groups and dialects encountered here by the Spaniards reached into hundreds, and even at the present time the remnants of the tribes and languages, most of the latter peculiar to the region, are numerous and perplexing. ${ }^{1}$ Under these circumstances it becomes desirable to survey this territory as far as possible from the standpoint of physical anthropology.

Physical features of man are less mutable than his functional and more or less artificial acquisitions, such as language, or habits and customs. Organic features do undergo frequent modifications fortuitously, and through the influence of environment, but

[^0]the development of definite, important, and hereditary characters in whole groups of men, such as tribes or races, must require the time of many generations, and a change of the whole physical type of such a group would take immeasurably lionger. These facts constitute an advantage to physical anthropology in determining the racial affinities and past family relations of peoples; it is therefore this branch of investigation that can be expected to throw light on the intraneous and extraneous blood relations of the California Indians.

Physical anthropology, in the widest sense, comprises the knowledge and comparison, in groups of mankind, of every anatomical feature of the body. Usually, however, the study is restricted to a number of the racially more important characteristics, which are observed partly on the living, and partly on the organs of the body, especially the skull and skeleton. The best results are possible only where the living as well as the different parts of the lifeless body can be examined; but this is not always appreciated and in numerous instances, as with extinct tribes, is impossible. In such cases the student must content himself with whatever remnants have been gathered of the skeleton of the people to be studied. It is thus in California. No opportunity has ever been afforded to study physically, on a large scale, the still living tribes of the State. ${ }^{1}$ Meanwhile numerous groups are rapidly nearing extinction and of not a few, as for instance of the Islanders in the South, there are no longer any living representatives. Even of the skeletal remains of the Californians there is, except from a few localities, a great deficiency; and the majority of known collections consists of skulls only.

[^1]The most important collections of California crania are those from the southern islands with the proximate Santa Barbara County, preserved mainly in the National Museum and the Peabody Museum of Harvard University. Lesser gatherings from these localities exist in Prague, Berlin, and Cambridge, in Europe. This material has already received scientific attention. A series of skulls was described by Jennie Smith and L. Carr in 1871, ${ }^{1}$ Carr in 1897, ${ }^{23}$ Otis in 1880, ${ }^{4}$ Virchow ${ }^{5}$ in 1889, Harrison Allen $^{6}$ in 1896, Matiegka ${ }^{7}$ in 1904, and Pocock ${ }^{8}$ in 1905.

The data thus accumulated are not as homogeneous as desirable; the American collections require a restudy by modern methods and instruments; nevertheless we are comparatively rich in the craniological knowledge of the region covered, which is an additional stimulus for extending the investigation over the remainder of the State.

Within the last five years the Department of Anthropology of the University of California, under the leadership of Professor F. W. Putnam, has given the subject attention and is forming an osteological collection. In 1902 twenty good crania from various parts of the mainland, which had been gradually acquired by the University, were sent by Professor Putnam to the writer for examination.

[^2]The series was found to be a very interesting one, but not as large as desirable. Since then, however, it has become possible for the writer to examine and incorporate with the report the data upon twenty-seven California mainland crania in the U. S. National Museum. The conjoint report is herewith presented. The combined material is still far from ample; nevertheless the data obtained contain many rather surprising and valuable indications.

## II.

The material to be described consists of forty-seven skulls, the distribution of which is marked on the accompanying map. ${ }^{1}$ The best represented regions are the central counties, especially the territory about the bay of San Francisco; there is almost nothing from the most northern and southern counties.

The most unexpected and important result of the examinations is the close agreement in many respects of a large majority of the crania. This makes possible a grouping together of the various specimens, and will simplify tabulation.

It was found particularly difficult in this series to separate all the female from the male crania. This was due, on one hand, to the small size of many of the skulls which on account of other features had to be classed as male, and on the other hand to the frequent approach of the crania of the two sexes in such sex differentiating characteristics as the supraorbital ridges, mastoids, thickness of the vault, and angle of the lower jaw. It was in this connection that the want of other parts of the skeleton, especially the pelvis, was felt badly. In one instance (178.148) the presence of the pelvis of the same skeleton alone permitted a determination of the cranium as that of a male. The rule adhered to in the records was, to class as masculine, skulls in which the sum of distinguishing features pointed to the male sex, and vice versa. The frequent approach in several characteristics of

[^3]female skulls to those of males indicates probably a related amount of muscular activities in numerous members of the two sexes.

A number of the specimens were more or less damaged, two showed what were apparently signs of fire, and one, from a cave in Calaveras County, was incrusted with a layer of stalagmite 2 to 4 mm . thick, but not one showed any gross pathological condition. Nothing at all was met with that would indicate syphilis, rachitis, hydrocephalus, or such a premature closure of any suture as would affect the cranial form.

Artificial deformation of the skull existed in four cases only. It consisted in each one of these of a slight occipital compression, such as is produced by the weight alone of the child's head in the cradle.

No skulls that were not of adults are included in the series. The age of the individuals represented by the specimens was judged by the advance of synostosis in the sutures and the degree of wear of the teeth; the estimates are of course only approximative. Many of the crania were undoubtedly those of persons above 50 , but no one showed a really high senility.

The instruments and methods used in the examination were, with a few exceptions, those of the French school. The capacity was determined by the method described by the writer, in 1903, in Science; ${ }^{1}$ which with repeated tests remains satisfactory, giving, with proper care, data that are very near the absolute capacities. In facial measurements the heights to nasion instead of those to the uncertain Broca's ophryon were preferred. The two orbits differ more or less in every individual, therefore both were measured, and the records and index given are the mean of the two. The mean cranial diameter, or cranial module (Schmidt), is at least as good an expression of the size of the skull as the circumference and is therefore also given. Flower's gnathic index is used to indicate the grades of prognathism. Measurements of the palate, or rather of the superior dental arch, have also been taken according to the method of Flower, with the little exception that the breadth taken is the maximum one, while that of

[^4]Flower, above the middle of the second molars, is not always the greatest dimension (though the difference is never considerable). The region about the second molars is not free from variation, hence it seems more correct to take always the maximum dimension wherever it occurs on the normal parts of the alveolar border. The cranial circumference is the maximum one above the supraorbital arches.

An effort was made, with resulting advantages, to arrange the descriptive terms also in columns, like the figures. The tables thus made permit an easier survey of various characteristics of the crania and facilitate analysis. A serious difficulty of every detailed description of the skull is the employment of proper, universally intelligible terms. I have endeavored to use, wherever possible, ordinary terms that need no explanation; and where the element of comparison to some standard is a necessity, the standard held in mind was a cranium of a white of the same sex, with average features.

## III.

The California mainland crania are characterized mostly by small size, which in the Indian is a fairly safe suggestion of small stature. About Centerville, and on the islands and in the vicinity of the bay of San Francisco, larger crania indicate a better developed, probably better nourished, people. The accompanying tables give an abstract of the data on cranial capacity, the mean cranial diameter, and the circumference of the skull. The value of the measurements is such that the averages in the males just about reach the averages of white females, while the female crania approach microcephaly. Nowhere on this continent is the mean size of the Indian skull lower, though there are localities in several parts of the United States, Mexico and Peru, where it is equaled. Naturally, the data do not speak well for either the physical or mental development of the Californians.

Men.

| Cranial | Per cent. | No. of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity. | of Skulls. | Skulls |
| 1001.-1100. |  |  |
| 1101.-1200. | 9.00 | (2) |
| 1201.-1300. | 13.50 | (3) |
| 1301.-1400. | 41.00 | (9) |
| 1401.-1500. | 27.50 | (6) |
| 1501.-1600. | 9.00 | (2) |
| Average .... | 1357.00 c.c. |  |
| Total number of specimens | (22) |  |


|  | Men. |  | Women. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cranial Module. | Per cent. of Skulls. | No. of Skulls. | Per cent. of Skulls. | No. of Skulls. |
| 14.01-14.50................... | - - | - | 69.00 | (9) |
| 14.51-15.00...................... | 21.50 | (6) | 31.00 | (4) |
| 15.01-15.50.................... | 43.00 | (12) | - |  |
| 15.51-16.00................... | 36.00 | (10) |  |  |
| Average | 15.23 |  | 14.41(13) |  |
| Total number of specimens | (28) |  |  |  |

Men.

| Circumference Maximum. | Per cent. of Skulls. | No. of Skulls. | Per cent. of Skulls. | No. of Skulls. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46.1-47.00....................... |  | - | 28.50 | (4) |
| 47.1-48.00..................... |  | - | 21.50 | (3) |
| 48.1-49.00......................... | .. 12.50 | (4) | 36.00 | (5) |
| 49.1-50.00...................... | 15.50 | (5) | 14.00 | (2) |
| 50.1-51.00......................... | .. 34.00 | (11) |  |  |
| 51.1-52.00.......................... | . 28.00 | (9) |  |  |
| 52.1-53.00......................... | . 9.00 | (3) |  |  |
| Average ......................... | 50.70 |  |  | 48.10 |
| Total number of specimens | (32) |  | (14) |  |

In shape, when viewed from the front or back, a large majority of the crania shows a marked sagittal elevation, so that the anterior and posterior planes terminate above in a well defined summit. This sagittal elevation begins sometimes as far anteriorly as the middle of the frontal squama and follows the median line to the vertex, or even to the obelion. To some of the specimens this elevation imparts almost a scaphoid appearance. The cause of this elevation lies in a peculiarity, perhaps an excess, of growth of the parietal and occasionally also of the upper
part of the frontal bones along the sagittal and metopic juncture. The temporal ridges bear but little if any relation to this ridging, and it is not of pathological character. The feature in lesser degrees is common in American and other crania, those of whites included. It is possible that a small cerebral growth favors its development, which would well account for its prominence among the Californians. The norma lateralis of the crania is, in the terms of Sergi, ellipsoid, the norma superior more or less ovoid (see illustrations).

As in shape, so in relative proportions the crania approximate one single type. As seen from the subjoined tables, 72 per cent. of the male and 92 per cent. of the female skulls are mesocephalic, with the remaining ones closely related. In the lengthheight index, 75 per cent. of the male and 82 per cent. of the female crania are, using Turner's term, metriocephalic.

| L. B. Index. | Men. |  | Women. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent. of Skulls. | No. of Skulls. | Per cent. of Skulls. | No. of Skulls. |
| 71 (70.10-71.00).............. |  |  |  |  |
|  | ... 3.00 | (1) | - | - |
| 3. | 3.00 | (1) |  |  |
| 4. | 3.00 | (1) | 8.00 | (1) |
| 5............................. | ... 12.50 | (4) |  |  |
| 76. | 9.00 | (3) | - |  |
| 7. | 22.00 | (7) | 25.00 | (3) |
| 8. | . 28.00 | (9) | 25.00 | (3) |
| 9. | 9.00 | (3) | 17.00 | (2) |
| 80. | 3.00 | (1) | 25.00 | (3) |
| 81. |  |  |  | - |
| 81................................. 3.00 - |  |  |  |  |
| 3...................................... - - - - |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | ... 3.00 | (1) | - |  |
| Average | 77.34 |  | 77.58 |  |
| Number of skulls ..... | (32) |  | (12) |  |
| Dolichocephalic |  |  |  |  |
| Mesocephalic ............. | ... 72 | (23) | 92 | (11) |
| Brachycephalic ...... | 6 | (2) | - |  |


| L. H. Index. | Men. |  | Women. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent. of Skulls. | No. of Skulls. | Per cent. of Skulls. | No. of Skulls |
| 69 (68.1-69.00)............. | - | - | 9.00 | (1) |
| 70...................................... | . 3.50 | (1) |  |  |
| 71.................................... | .. 3.50 | (1) | - | - |
| 2. | 7.00 | (2) |  |  |
| 3. | 18.00 | (5) | 18.00 | (2) |
| 4. | 3.50 | (1) | 18.00 | (2) |
| 5........................................ | .. 25.00 | (7) | 9.00 | (1) |
| 76. | .. 14.00 | (4) | 36.50 | (4) |
| 7...................................... | .. 14.00 | (4) | - | - |
| 8..................................... | . - |  | - | - |
| 9...................................... | .. 11.00 | (3) |  |  |
| 80. | .. |  | 9.00 | (1) |
| Average .......................... |  |  |  | . 22 |
| Number of skulls ............. |  |  |  | 11) |
| Tapeinocephalic <br> (Turner's classif.) | . 14 | (4) | 9 | (1) |
| Metriocephalic ................ | - 75 | (21) | 82 | (9) |
| Akrocephalic .................... | . 11 | (3) | 9 | (1) |

Higher proportions of one type of the cranial vault are not often present even in one and the same tribe of people.

The average breadth-height index in the males is 97.6 , in the females 95.8 , the distribution being less regular.

The face is of moderate absolute dimensions, and there are ne instances of either a very short or a very long face.

|  | Men. |  | Women. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facial Total Index. <br> (Kollman's.) | Per cent. of Skulls. | No. of Skulls. | Per cent. of Skulls. | No. of Skulls. |
| 80.1-85.00............. | ... 38.50 | (5) | 20.00 | (1) |
| 85.1-90.00.............. | ... 46.00 | (6) | 80.00 | (4) |
| 90.1-95.00............. | . 15.50 | (2) |  |  |
| Average | 86.22 |  | 86.93 |  |
| Number of skulls | (13) |  | (5) |  |
|  | Men. |  | Women. |  |
| Facial Upper Index. <br> (Kollman's.) | Per cent. of Skulls. | No. of Skulls. | Per cent. of Skulls. | No. of Skulls. |
| 45.1-50.00............... | . 11.00 | (2) | 33.5 | (3) |
| 50.1-55.00............. | ... 50.00 | (9) | 44.5 | (4) |
| 55.1-60.00............. | ... 39.00 | (7) | 22.00 | (2) |
| Average | 53.41 |  | 52.07 |  |
| Number of skulls ... | (18) |  | (9) |  |

Facial prognathism, as with Indians in general, is also in the Californians mostly but moderate. That in the female exceeds somewhat that in the male crania.


The palatal proportions, being related to prognathism, should be considered in this connection. They were found for the most part to be moderate. The palatal or uranic (Turner) index in almost half of the specimens shows a relative shortness of the structure. The shape of the palate, ventrally, was in the larger number elliptical, in a few instances parabolical and in a few other cases (particularly in 12-76) of the U-shape variety.

|  | Men. |  | Women. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Palatal Index. <br> (Turner's.) | Per cent. of Skulls. | No. of Skulls. | Per cent. of Skulls. | No. of Skulls. |
| 98.21-100.00..................... |  | - | 10.00 | (1) |
| 100.1-110.00...................... | . 27.00 | (6) | 20.00 | (2) |
| 110.1-120.00. | 50.00 | (11) | 50.00 | (5) |
| 120.1-125.44. | 23.00 | (5) | 20.00 | (2) |
| Average ........................... | 114.73 |  | 113.03 |  |
| Number of skulls ............. | (22) |  | (10) |  |
| Dolichouranic | 27 | (6) | 20 | (2) |
| Mesuranic | 27 | (6) | 20 | (2) |
| Brachyuranic .................... | . 46 | (10) | 50 | (5) |

The nasal index is remarkable in that it shows 52 per cent. of the males and $411 / 2$ per cent. of the females as leptorhynian, there being almost the same proportion of mesorhynians and but a few mild platyrhynians. The large proportion of relatively
narrow apertures is exceptional among the Indians in general, who are prevalently mesorhynic. The tracing of this feature, even though not as yet well understood, along the western coast of the continent, will alone prove of much interest.

|  | Men. |  | Women. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nasal Index. <br> (Broca's.) | Per cent. of Skulls. | No. of Skulls. | Per cent. of Skulls. | No. of Skulls. |
| 38.89-40.00.................... | 3.5 | (1) |  |  |
| 40.1-45.00...................... | 26.00 | (7) | 8.50 | (1) |
| 45.1-50.00......................... | 37.00 | (10) | 41.50 | (5) |
| 50.1-55.00.. | 29.50 | (8) | 50.00 | (6) |
| 55.1-59.35...................... | 3.50 | (1) | - |  |
| Average | 47.87 |  | 49.41 |  |
| Number of skulls .......... | (27) |  | (12) |  |
| Leptorhynic | 52 | (14) | 41.5 | (5) |
| Mesorhynic | 40.5 | (11) | 41.5 | (5) |
| Platyrhynic ...................... | 7 | (2) | 17 | (2) |

The orbital index, though ranging mostly between 85 and 95 , was found quite variable, even in the same localities (e.g., Centerville). On the whole the males are more megaseme than the females. No plausible cause of the irregularity in regard to this point has suggested itself; it accentuates the need of more material.

|  | Men. |  | Women. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orbital Index. (Broca's.) | Per cent. of Skulls. | No. of Skulls. | Per cent. of Skulls. | No. of Skulls |
| 78.75-80.00.................... | 3.50 | (1) |  |  |
| 80.1-85.00. | 14.00 | (4) | 28.50 | (4) |
| 85.1-90.00. | 31.00 | (9) | 36.00 | (6) |
| 90.1-95.00......................... | . 41.50 | (12) | 28.50 | (4) |
| 95.1-100.00. | . 10.50 | (3) |  |  |
| Average | 90.38 |  | 87.19 |  |
| Number of skulls .......... | (29) |  | (14) |  |
| Microseme | 14 | (4) | 28.5 | (4) |
| Mesoseme | 21 | (6) | 36 | (6) |
| Megaseme ......................... | 65.5 | (19) | 28.5 | (4) |

The thickness of the parietal is taken easily with a compass (one of the branches of which is introduced through the foramen magnum), 1 to 2 cm . above the squamous suture and along its extent; it serves as a qualificative to the circumference and other
measurements of the vault. In crania of whites this thickness ranges for the most part from 4 to 6 mm . in the males and 3 to 5 mm . in the females. In the California skulls here considered the measurements averaged about 5.5 mm . ( 4.5 to 8 mm .) in the males and 5.4 mm . ( 4.5 to 7 mm .) in the females.

The mean diameter of the foramen magnum

$$
\frac{\text { max. length }+ \text { max. breadth }}{2}
$$

is given because it probably bears some relation to stature. It is small in both sexes in the skulls that form the subject of this paper, ranging from 29 to 38 mm . (average 31.6 mm .) in the males and from 27.5 to 33.5 mm . (average 30.4 mm .) in the females.

## IV.

The detailed descriptive characters of the California mainland crania can be in brief resumed as follows: The frontal, sagittal, and lambdoid sutures, in all the skulls, show serration more or less inferior to that in average whites. Some of the sutures, particularly the frontal ones, are hardly serrated at all. This character is usually taken as an indication of an inferior development of the vault.

The pterions, though often quite narrow, were found in every case to be of the ordinary H variety. The breadth of the parietosphenoidal suture ranged, in men, from 2.5 to 19 mm ., in women from 7.5 to 20 mm . Evidently the size of the skull alone is not the determining agent of the form of the pteric articulation.

Sutural bones are decidedly scarce in the Californians, except in some of the male crania from near Centerville. The small number of intercalated ossicles is also in general an indication of small development of the cranial vault.

Examination of the teeth showed that the dentition has, in a majority of the cases, been normal; but in five of the males and two of the females there were some anomalies. In one of the male skulls (225.178) the lower lateral incisors have never appeared; in the male skull 225.194 both lower and the right upper last molars have never appeared; in the male skull 12-79 none of
the last molars has ever appeared; in the female skull 12-82 both lower last molars, and in the female 225.184 all the last molars, have never erupted. On the other hand, in three of the male crania a supernumerary tooth had been present (lost postmortem). In number 225.169 the supernumerary element existed between the left upper incisors; in number 225.178 a similar tooth had been present externally to the left upper incisors, and in number 12-84 one supernumerary tooth was situated ventrally to the left upper bicuspids, while another similar tooth was present on the right, immediately in front of the anterior bicuspid. The writer has encountered such supernumerary teeth, situated in the anterior portion of the upper alveolar process, between, in front, or back of the incisors, in Indian crania from other localities, and also in living Indians. Generally there is but one such supernumerary tooth and it has certain well defined and constant features. The tooth seems to appear during or soon after the eruption of the incisors of the second dentition; its root is usually less voluminous than that of the median upper incisor; and the free portion, well covered with enamel, is generally conical in shape. This curious dental element (on which the writer reports more extensively in his Physiological and Medical Observations, etc., 31st Bull. Bur. Am. Ethn., 1906) both as to its shape and location is too constant to admit of viewing it as a meaningless, entirely fortuitous appearance.

The teeth were almost in every case worn off to such a degree that the dentine was exposed on the top. In some instances the wearing was so excessive as to leave only irregularly planed bases. This wearing off of the teeth is general among Indians, and is due to the rather crude nature of their food, though it is not impossible that it is aided by the quality of the enamel. The upper incisors, where sufficiently preserved, all showed a pronounced concavity of the ventral surface, not unlike that of a shovel. This characteristic, rare in whites, is generally present in Indians. It is confined to the upper incisors.

In four instances where the cuspids of the molars could be seen, there was found nothing unusual.

In two female skulls, 12-78 and 12-70, all the teeth were submedium in size.

The characteristics of the base of the skull agree with those of Indian crania in general. ${ }^{1}$ The depression of the petrous portions is generally less than in whites, and sometimes nearly absent. The middle lacerated formina are mostly small. Both of these features are common to crania of smaller cerebral development and are directly due to the same. The styloids were found in many instances to be small; in some cases there was present only the base, scarcely 2 or 3 mm . in height. The measurements of the length in the tables of detail are from the base, as far as it could be seen, to the apex.

The jugular foramina were generally smaller than in whites. Perhaps that, also, coincides with small stature. In the majority of cases, particularly in the female crania, the right foramen was the larger.

In four of the male and three of the female skulls ( 14 per cent. and 23 per cent.) there was present a moderate sized, mediobasial ("pharyngeal") fossa. This characteristic depression is situated at or near the middle of the basilar process and is regularly oval in shape, 7 to 12 mm . long and usually about half of the length, or a little more, in breath; its depth varies from 1.5 to 4 mm .

In a large majority of the skulls, both male and female, there was noticed, mostly on both sides, a tendency towards the formation, or an actual completion, of a distal, or proximal, or distal as well as proximal, pterygo-spinous foramen. The formation takes place by a process extending from the distal or more proximal portion of the large pterigoid wing towards the apex of the spinous process. In whites the condition has been studied by W. Gruber, and especially by Roth; ${ }^{2}$ it is also referred to by Turner. ${ }^{3}$ It is due to ossification of ligamentous bands, and is rather common in Indians. Besides these foramina there were also observed two others in this neighborhood which are in general very rare. In a number of specimens from near Centerville (localization of the feature points to its heredity)

[^5]there was a foramen at the base of one, mostly the left, spinous process. And in one case a complete foramen was formed between the base of the pterigoid and the great wing of the sphenoid. The causes of tendency to, and the anthropological significance of, all these structures are not yet clear. They seem to develop accidentally and to propagate in limited areas through inheritance of the tendency towards their production.

One feature which occurs quite frequently in Indian crania from some localities, and which was also present in rather numerous instances in the California mainland skulls, is what Hyrtl termed dehiscence in the bony floor of the auditory canal. The floor of the canal is generally not completed until after birth. In some instances a thorough completion is not effected and an irregular aperture, or several minor defects, remain throughout life. This is the condition known as dehiscence. It was present in a slight to moderate degree, on one or both sides, in eight of the male ( 27.5 per cent.) and six ( 46 per cent.) of the female California crania.

A number of additional abnormalities appearing in one or in but a few skulls will be found referred to under the heading of miscellaneous in the detailed records.

Special attention was paid to synostosis in the cranial sutures. The examinations show that in these Californians the process generally began (externally) about contemporaneously in the middle portion of the sagittal and the inferior or pteric portions of the coronal suture. The nasal suture was in some cases affected about the same time, in others later. Subsequently synostosis appeared in the temporo-occipital and the lambdoid, and then in the malar articulation. The temporo-parietal suture remained potent in all the crania. On the whole, it is plain, the sequence of obliteration was much like that in the whites; it is probable, however, that in some of the California skulls the synostosis of the coronal suture was more rapidly advanced, or sooner completed.

The general results of the examination are as interesting as unexpected. The California mainland crania from all the regions represented in the collection, show numerous and important relations in absolute and relative proportions, in shape, and in
many other features. All this points to the conclusion that the skulls are those of one single physical type of people. There are, as can be seen in the detailed data, local differences in some particulars, but these differences are in no case great enough to allow a separation of distinct types. An almost necessary conclusion from the above is that many, if not all, of the California tribes as we see them to-day, with their different languages and perhaps other ethnological differences, sprung from one original people, their ethnological differentiation taking place later.

As to its relations, the California mainland physical type is practically identical with that of the Santa Barbara mainland, and with that of at least a large part of the adjoining archipelago. Beyond the boundaries of the state no indication of this type has yet been found in the immediate north or in the northwest. Along the eastern border of California are the Pa-Utes. Of the physical type of these people but little is as yet known, but the few crania that have been described or are in our collections are very close indeed to the Californians. A single Pa-Ute skull described by Virchow in his Crania Ethnica Americana (Pl. XVI) had the cephalic index 79.1, orbital index 85, and nasal index 50 ; while its shape was such that it could not be picked out, if mixed, from the skulls under consideration.

In the immediate south are the Mission Indians, who represent perhaps a comparatively recent immigration into that country and are of the physical type of the Mohave. Ancient crania from the California Peninsula are also of a different type. Arizona and Sonora show no population, recent or ancient, allied physically to the Californians. In Mexico, however, are several great Indian peoples who in many features approach the Californians to such a degree that an original identity must be held as probable. One of these is the Otomi, of the States of Hidalgo and Mexico. A large group of peoples in the States of Puebla, Michoacan, and farther south, even including the Aztecs, and finally the Tarahumare, in Chihuahua, are all physically related to the Otomi as well as to the Californians.

All of which makes very desirable further and if possible more ample collections.



1. No. 12/67; U. C.; Sausalito: 2. No. 12/73; U. C.; Millbrae.


ANTERIOR VIEW.

1. No. 12/83; U. C.; West Berkeley: 2. No. 12/80; U. C.; Humboldt Bay.
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SUPERIOR VIEW.

1. No. 12/83; U. C.; West Berkeley: 2. No. 12/80; U. C.; Humboldt Bay.


LATERAL VIEW.

1. No. $12 / 67$; U. C.; Sausalito: 2. No. 12/73; U. C.; Millbrae.


LATERAL VIEW.

1. No. 12/83; U. C.; West Berkeley: 2. No. 12/80; U. C.; Humboldt Bay.


POSTERIOR VIEW.

1. No. $12 / 67$; U. C.; Sausalito: 2. No. 12/73; U. C.; Millbrae.


POSTERIOR VIEW.

1. No. 12/83; U. C.; West Berkeley: 2. No. 12/80; U. C.; Humboldt Bay.
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BASAL VIEW.

1. No. 12/83; U. C.; West Berkeley: 2. No. 12/80; U. C.; Humboldt Bay.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 紕 } \\ & \text { b } \end{aligned}$ | 壹 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 225.168 | n．m． | Hoopa Valley |  |
| 12／80 | U．c． | Humboldt Bay ${ }^{1}$ | 6 |
| 12／81 | do | Humboldt Bay ${ }^{2}$ | 5 |
| 225.169 | N．M． | Sutter Co．${ }^{28}$ | 50 |
| 225.170 | do | do | 55 |
| 225.172 | do | Cave in Calaveras Co． | ． 60 |
| 225.173 | do | Calaveras $\mathrm{Co}^{3}{ }^{3}$ | 65 |
| 12／71 | U．c． | near Vallejo | 55 |
| 225.176 | n．m． | near Centerville | 55 |
| 225.177 | do | do | 65 |
| 225.178 | do | do | 23 |
| 225.179 | do | do | 40 |
| 225.180 | do | do | 50 |
| 225.181 | do | do | 55 |
| 225.183 | do | do | 65 |
| 12／85 | U．c． | Inverness | 50 |
| 225.191 | n．m． | near Petaluma | 60 |
| 12／67 | U．c． | near Sausalito | 60 |
| 12／68 | do | do | 60 |
| 12／75 | do | San Francisoo ${ }^{4}$ |  |
| 225.192 | N．M． | Yerba Buena Is． | 65 |
| 225.193 | do | Angel Island |  |
| 225.194 | do | do | 30 |
| 12／84 | U．c． | West Berkeley | 55 |
| 12／72 | do | near Millbrae | 60 |
| 12／73 | do | do | 50 |
| 12／74 | do | do | 55 |
| 178.148 | N．m． | Palo Alto | 35 |
| 12／79 | U．c． | Felton | 55 |
| 12／86 | do | Santa Cruz | 50 |
| 225.197 | N．m． | near Monterey | 50 |
| 225.198 | do | San Jose Mission | 50 |
| 225.199 | do | San Felipe | 60 |
| 12／82 | U．c． | Sather ${ }^{5}$ | 35 |
| 12／78 | do | Redding ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 40 |
| 12／69 | do | near Valllejo ${ }^{7}$ | ＇ |
| 12／70 | do | do ${ }^{8}$ | ， |
| 225.184 | N．M． | near Centerville ${ }^{9}$ | 40 |
| 225.185 | do | do | 35 |
| 225.186 | do | do | 40 |
| 225.187 | do | do | 55 |
| 225.188 | do | do | 40 |
| 225.195 | do | Angel Island | 50 |
| 225.196 | do | do | 55 |
| 12／77 | U．c． | West Berkeley | 50 |
| 12／83 | do | do | 55 |
| 12／76 | do | do | 50 |
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-
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## Lower Jaws <br> Males

| Catalogue No. | Locality | Height in Middle Line Anteriorly | Height of Vertical Ramus | Breadth minim. of Vertical Ramus | Angle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/80 | Humboldt Bay | 4.- | r. $6.9,1.6 .85$ | r. $3.9,1.3 .95$ | $124^{\circ}$ |
| 225.173 | Calaveras Co. | 3.8 | r. $7.1,1.6 .8$ | r. $3.4,1.3 .25$ | $116^{\circ}$ |
| 225.177 | Centerville | - | r. 5.75 , l. 5.75 | r. 3.35, l. 3.- | $129^{\circ}$ |
| 225.178 | do | 3.3 | r. $6.6,1.6 .5$ | r. 3.8 , l. 3.8 | $121^{\circ}$ |
| 225.179 | do | 3.8 | r. $6.2, \mathrm{l} .6 .6$ | r. 3.2 , l. 3.5 |  |
| 225.180 | do | 3.75 | r. $6.2,1.6 .2$ | r. 3.3 , l. 3.4 | $119^{\circ}$ |
| 225.181 | do | 3.8 | r. $7.2,1.6 .9$ | r. 3.1 , l. 3.3 | $118^{\circ}$ |
| 12/85 | Inverness | 3.45 | r. $6.2,1.6 .2$ | r. 3.7 , l. 3.6 | $119^{\circ}$ |
| 12/67 | Sausalito | 3.7 | r. - , 1 . | r.,- l . | $117^{\circ}$ |
| 225.192 | Yerba Buena Is. | 4.- | r. 6.8, l. 6.5 | r. $3.4,1.3 .45$ | $123^{\circ}$ |
| 225.193 | Angel Island | 3.55 | r. $6.9,1.7 .-$ | r. 3.1 , l. 3.1 | $115^{\circ}$ |
| 225.194 | do | 3.4 | r. - , l. 5.6 | r. - , 1. 3.75 | $117{ }^{\circ}$ |
| 12/84 | W. Berkeley | 3.7 | r. $7.2,1 .-$ | r. 4.- , 1. - | $109^{\circ}$ |
| 225.199 | San Felipe | 3.5 | r. $6.85,1.6 .7$ | r. 3.3 , l. 3.35 | $116^{\circ}$ |

## Females

| $12 / 82$ | Sather | 3.55 | r.,- l. 5.5 | r.,- 1.2 .95 | $122^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $12 / 78$ | Redding | 3.3 | r. $5.3,1 .-$ | r. $3.3,1 .-$ | $122^{\circ}$ |
| 225.184 | Centerville | 3.3 | r. 5.4, l. 5.5 | r. $3.2,1.3 .45$ | $125^{\circ}$ |
| 225.188 | do | 3.7 | r. $5.8,1 .-$ | r. $3.05,1 .-$ | $122^{\circ}$ |
| 225.195 | Angel Island | 3.7 | r. $5.6,1.5 .4$ | r. $3.1,1.3 .1$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
| $12 / 77$ | W. Berkeley | 3.7 | r.,- l. 5.93 | r. -1.3 .15 | $123^{\circ}$ |
| $12 / 76$ | do | 3.4 | r. $5.7,1.5 .5$ | r. $3.4,1.3 .35$ | $118^{\circ}$ |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Catalogue
No. \& Locality. \& Serration. \& Suturrs. Pterions. \& Sutural Bones. \& Dentition. \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { TeEth. } \\
\text { Quality. }
\end{gathered}
\] \& Cuspids. \& Anomalies. \& Petrous Garts,
Depression. \& Midale Lacerated
Foramina. \& Styloids. \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Base. \\
Jugular Foramina.
\end{tabular} \& Medio-basial
Fossas. \& Pterygospinous Foramina. of \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Dehiscence in Floor \\
of Auditory Canal.
\end{tabular} \& Miscerlaneous. \\
\hline 225.168 \& Hoopa Valleg \& simple \& H: right 14, left
13 mm. \& \begin{tabular}{l}
1 small in lambdoid
1 small in squamo-mastoid \\
1 angle
\end{tabular} \& upper 16, lower \& slightly worn off \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { upper: } \\
\& \text { i. } .4 .4,4,2^{2} ; \\
\& \text { lower9 }
\end{aligned}
\] \& - \& nearly level \& small \& only small bases \& left much larger \& - \& tendency to proximal, on
left \& - \& \(\underset{\text { canal absent }}{\text { high vaginal processes; ; left post. condyloid }}\) \\
\hline 12/80 \& Humboldt Bay \& submedium \& H: r. 12.5, 1. 12.5 \& 2 small in lambdoid \& 32 \& ch morn off \& ¢ \& - \& moderate \& quite small \& r. \(10,1 . \mathrm{n} .12 \mathrm{~mm}\). \& right mueh larger \& - \& tendency to proximal as well as distal, each side \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { moderate, each } \\ \text { side }}}{\substack{\text { and }}}\) \& surface of skull shows signs of smoking or fire \\
\hline 225.169 \& Sutter Co. \& quite simple \& H: r. 15, 1.16 .5 \& \(\underset{2}{1}\) small in lambe pipteric on left \& u. 17, 1. \({ }^{\text {? }}\) \& much worn off \& \(\cdots\) \& a. supernumerary the left upper incisors \& submedium \& \(+\) \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { were small } \\ \text { (damaged) }}}{ }\) \& right larger \& - \& \& \& spinous proesses small \\
\hline 225.170 \& Sutter Co. \& simple \& H: r. 6 , 1.6 \& - \& u. 16, 1.9 \& much worn off \& 9 \& - \& noderate \& submediu \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { were small } \\
(\mathrm{sm} . \text { damaged) })
\end{gathered}
\] \& left larger \& - \& slight tendency on each side to proximal \& slight, right side \& - \\
\hline 225.172 \& Calaveras Co . \& submedium \& H: \(\begin{gathered}\text { (broad } \\ \text { damaged) }\end{gathered}\) \& 1 moderate in right temp.occip. \& + \& much worn off \& , \& , \& , \& ' \& , \& \({ }^{\prime}\) \& , \& \({ }^{\prime}{ }^{\text {a }}\) \& P b \& base incrusted with stalagmitic mass \\
\hline 225.173 \& Calaveras Co . \& submedium \& H: r. 19, 1. 19 \& - \& 32 \& much worn off \& ; \& - \& + \& slightly submedium \& r. 18, 1. 10 \& equal \& - \& some tendeney to proximal
each side \& - \& exostosis about right vaginal process \\
\hline 12/7 \& near Vallejo \& \({ }_{\text {slightly }}^{\text {submedium }}\) \&  \& - \& ' \({ }^{\text {a }}\) \& ' \& ? \& 9 \& - \& \& - \& - \& - \& \& - \& damaged \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
12 / 81 \\
225.176
\end{gathered}
\] \& Humboldt Bay Centerville \& quite simple quite simple \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Ham. r. 11, .1. } 15 \\
\& \text { H: r. 12, 1. } 12
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1 \text { moderate in lambda } \\
\& 1 \text { small in left asterion } \\
\& 1 \text { small in right temp.- } \\
\& \text { pariet. }
\end{aligned}
\] \& u. 16, 1.9 \& somewhat worn off \&  \& 9 \& slight submedium \& small \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { r. } 13,1.1 .16 \\
\text { were small } \\
\text { (broken) }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { right larger } \\
\& \text { equal }
\end{aligned}
\] \& - \& tendency to proximal, each side \& slight, ameh side \& - \\
\hline 225.177 \& Centerville \& quite simple \& h. r. 4 , 1.7 \& 1 mmall in right asterion \& , \& ' \& 9 \& ' \({ }^{\text {¢ }}\) \& nearly level \& nall \& r. only base, lè̀t
il \& right larger \& moderate \& \(\underset{\text { right proximal }}{\text { proximal } 2 / 3}\), left \& - \({ }^{1}\) \& left post. condyloid canal absent; a nearly complete anomalous foramen at base of left spinous process \\
\hline 225.178 \& Centerville \& submedium \& H: r. 12, 1. 12 \&  \& \({ }^{31}\) \& slightly worn off \&  \& a supernumerary tooth external
to left upper in cisors; lower have not appeared \& submedium \& quite small \& r. 8, 1. only base \& left larger \& - \& proximal nearly complete on left \& - \({ }^{-}\) \& a nearly complete anomalous foramen at base of left spinous process; vaginal processes high \\
\hline 225.179 \& Centerville \& submedium \& H: r. 14, 1. 10 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
9 moderate in lambdoid \\
1 in left squamo-mastoi angle \\
1 in left temporo-occipital
\end{tabular} \& 32 \& quite worn off \& 9 \& - \& slight \& quite small \& r. 8, 1. 11 \& equal \& - \& (proximal nearly complete \& - \({ }^{2}\) \& a small precondylar tubercle on left side on the oasilar process; an anomalous foramen at base of left spinous process \\
\hline 225.180 \& Centerville \& quite simple \& H: r. 18, 1. 18 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
2 at lambda ( \(3.5 \times 2.7\) and \\
3 small in lambdoid \\
3 small in lamba-mast. angle
\end{tabular} \& 32 \& much worn off \& ; \& - \& slight \& submedium \& r. 9, 1. 13 \& right larger \& - \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { tendeney } \\ \text { side }}}{\text { to proximal, each }}\) \& - \({ }^{2}\) \& a 3 mm . spine ventrally from basilar process into foramen magnum; an anomalous foramen at base of right spinous process \\
\hline 225.1 \& Centervill \& subme \& H: r. 11, 1. 14 \& 1 small in right squamomastoid angle \& \({ }^{32}\) \& much worn off \& ? \& - \& nearly leeel \& mall \& \({ }_{\text {r. }}^{12}\) (broken), 1. \& left larger \& - \& tendeney to distal on right \& - a \& an anomalous foramen at base of left spinous process \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
225.183 \\
12 / 85
\end{gathered}
\] \& Centerville Inverness \& \[
\stackrel{9}{\text { submedium }}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { H: r. 15, } 1.15 \\
\& \text { H: (narrow) }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
1 moderate epipteric on right \\
1 moderate in right \\
1 moderate in right
\end{tabular} \& ? \({ }^{2}\) \& \[
\stackrel{?}{\text { much worn off }}
\] \& ? \& \(\because\) \& slight moderate \& submedium submedium \& \begin{tabular}{l}
rudimentary \\
r. very short, 1 . \\
roke
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { equal } \\
\& \text { right larger }
\end{aligned}
\] \& moderate \& tendency to proximal, both sides \& - \& \({ }_{\text {left post. condyloid canal absent }}^{-}\) \\
\hline 225.191 \& Petaluma \& quite simple \& H: r. 12.5, 1. 12.5 \& 3 small in lambdoid \& , \& ' \& : \& ' \& nearly level \& moderate \&  \& larger \& - \& - \& - s \& spinous processes nearly deffieient \\
\hline 12/67 \& Sausalito \& submedium \& H: (narrow) \& - \& 32 \& much worn off \& , \& - \& moderate \& moderate \& r. 13, 1. 9 \& equal \& - \& \(\underbrace{\text { to proximal, both }}_{\substack{\text { tendenes } \\ \text { sides }}}\) \& oderate, on right \& pper alveolar process gquare in front \\
\hline 12/68 \& Sausalito \& moderate \& H: r. 12.5 , 1. 2.5 \& - \& 32 \& much worn off \& ? \& - \& moderate \& quite small \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { both etrong, } \\ \text { broken }}}{ }\) \& right larger \& - \& Q \& - \& - \\
\hline 12/75 \& San Francisco \& ' \& ? \& , \& , \& ¢ \& 9 \& ; \& very slight \& very small \& broken \& left larger \& ; \& ' \({ }^{1}\) \& 1 \% \&  \\
\hline 225.192 \& Yerba Buena Isl \& quite simple \& \[
\underset{\text { H: }}{\text { widath })}
\] \& - \& 32 \& very minch worn \& ; \& , \& moderate \& very small \& r. broken, 1. only
base \& right larger \& - \& on right tendency to distal; on left distal \(2 / 3\), proxi mal nearly complete \& - \& - \\
\hline 225.193 \& Angel Is. \& submedium \& H: (r. 8.5, 1. 13 \& 1 in left gquamo-mastoid \& 32 \& moderately worn
off \& \({ }^{\prime}\) \& - \& submedium \& small \& r. 11, 1. 11 \& equal \& . \({ }^{-}\) \& a complete foramen on left between base of pteryslight tendency on each side to distal \& - \& absence of left post. condyloid canal \\
\hline 225.194 \& Angel Isl. \& submedium \& H: r. 11.5, 1.12 \& 1 small in left temporo- \& 29 \& slightly worn off \&  \& both lower and right upper 3rd molars have not appeared \& moderate \& moderate \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { r. } \begin{array}{l}
\text { 10, slender; } \\
\text { only base }
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
\] \& right larger \& moderate \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { distal } \\ \text { left } \\ \text { \% }}}{\text { on right, }}\) \%/4 on \& - \& basispinous foramen on left \\
\hline 12/84 \& West Berkeley \& submedium \& H: r. 2.5, 1.11 \& 1 small in lambdoid \& \({ }^{34}\) \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { moderately } \\ \text { off }}}{ }\) \& ' \& a supernumerary side in the up\({ }_{\text {Miscell }}^{\text {per jaw }}\) (see \& slight \& very small \& rudimentary \& left larger \& - \& \(\underset{\text { right }}{\text { proximal } 4 / 5 \text { on left, } 9 \text { on }}\) \& \[
-
\] \& one of the supernumerary teeth is situated ventrany to the left upper bicuspid; it has a
conical free extremity 15 mm . high; the seeond supernumerary was on the right, in front of the anterior bienspid; bo
placed outward and backmard \\
\hline 12/72 \& мillb \& subme \& H: (narrow) \& - \& , \& uch worn off \& ; \& ? \& slight \& very small \& r. 13.5, 1. 9 \& equal \& - \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { proximal complete, each } \\ \text { side }}}{ }\) \& moderate, each \& massive and large spinous processes \\
\hline 12/73 \& мillb \& quite simple \& H: r. 13, 1. 15.5 \& - \& ' \& moderately worn \& , \& ? \& mod \& very small \& , \& equal \& - \& - \& slight, on left \& - \\
\hline 12/74 \& millbrae \& quite simple \& H: r. 14, 1. 12 \& 1 moderate epipteric on left \& ' \& , \& , \& , \& nearly level \& small \& rudimentary \& ' \& - \& \% \(\quad \mathrm{n}\) \& - \(\begin{gathered}\text { oderate, each } \\ \text { gide }\end{gathered}\) \& no vaginal process on right, on left small \\
\hline 178.148 \& Palo Alto \& submedium \& H: r. 8, 1. 11.5 \& 1 small in lambdoid \& ' \& ' \& ' \& ' \& mod \& mall \& r. broken, li. 13.5 , \& right larger \& - \&  \& \& ace burnt away \\
\hline 12/79 \& Felton \& submedium \& H: r. 6.5, 1.7 \& - \& 28 \& much worn off \& 9 \& \(\pm\) third molars have \& moderate \& small \& r. \(\begin{gathered}\text { r } \\ \text { rudimenentary }\end{gathered}\) \& . equal \& - \& - \& - \& - \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
12/86 \\
\(\begin{array}{l}225.197 \\
225.198\end{array}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Santa Cruz \\
Monterey \\
San José Mis'n.
\end{tabular} \& submedium submedium \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { H: (moderate) } \\
\& \text { H: r. } 10,1.12
\end{aligned}
\] \& \({ }_{6}{ }^{\text {small }}\) in lambdoid \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { u. } 16 \\
\& \text { u. } 16 \\
\& \text { u. } 16
\end{aligned}
\] \& much worn off much worn off much worn off \& i \& \[
\bar{?}
\] \& moderate nearly level \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { small } \\
\text { small }
\end{gathered}
\] \&  \& equal \& \(\stackrel{\square}{-}\) \& ? \& slight, each side \& skull damaged \\
\hline 225.199 \& San Felipe \& quite simple \& H: r. 11.5, i. 12.5 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
2 in left temporo-occipital \\
3 small in right squamomastoid angle
\end{tabular} \& ? \& off \& , \& , \& nearly lerel \& \({ }_{\text {small }}\) \&  \& left larger \& moder \& tendency to proximal on
left; slight tendency to distal both sides \& - \& a 2 mm . process projecting into for. magnum
from the middle of its posterior border \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& Females. \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& \& \& Sutures. \& \& \& тввтII. \& \& \& \& \& \& \({ }_{\text {Bass. }}\) \& \& \& Dehiscence in inloor \& Miscrilaneoo \\
\hline Catalogue
No. \& ocality. \& Serration. \& \({ }^{\text {Pterions. }}\) \& Sutural Bones. \& Dentition. \& Quality. \& Cuspids. \& \({ }^{\text {Anomalies. }}\) \& Depression. \& Foramina. \& Styloids. \& Jugular Foramina. \& Fosa. \& Pterygo-spinous Foramina. \& of Auditory Canal. \& \\
\hline 12/82 \& Sather \& quite simple \& H: right 9, left
12
mm. \& - \& 30 \& much worn off \& \(?\) \& both lower 3rd molars have not \& slight \& very small \& submedium \& right much large \& - \& \(\underset{\text { side }}{\text { proximal } 2 / 3}\) formed, each \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { moderate, each } \\ \text { side }}}{ }\) \& Posterior condyloid canals both wanting; foramen magnum asymmetrical \\
\hline 12/78 \& Redding \& quite simple \& H: r. 12, l . 12 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
1 in lambdoid
1 very small epipteric on \\
each side
\end{tabular} \& 1 \& mueh worn off \& ? \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { tetetrabnormally } \\
\text { smant1 } \\
\text { dithath }
\end{gathered}
\] \& moderate \& very small \& small \& right larger \& moderate \& \(\underset{\text { proximal }}{\text { left }}\) 1/2 on the right, \& - \& - \\
\hline 12/69 \& Vallejo \& moderate \& H: (medium) \& \& upper 16, lower 9 \& ' \({ }^{\text {a }}\) \& ? \& , \& dera \& small \& only bases \& equal \& - \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { some tendeney to distal on } \\ \text { right }}}{\text { den }}\) \& - \& - \\
\hline 12/70 \& Vallejo \& moderate \& H: r.f.t. 17 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
1 small in left parietotemporal \\
1 small in left temporooccipital
\end{tabular} \& \({ }^{\prime}\) \& \({ }^{\prime}\) \& \(\cdots{ }^{\prime}\) \& of submedium size \& e slight \& small \& medium \& right larger \& - \& distal \%, each side \& - \& - \\
\hline 225.184 \& Centerville \& simple \& H: r. 7.5 , 1.7 .5 \&  \& 28 \& moderately morn \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { upper: r. 4, 3; } 1 . \\
\& \text { lower: r. 9; 1. }
\end{aligned}
\] \& last molars never
appeared \& nearly level \& submedium \&  \& right larger \& moderate \& \(-\) \& - \& - \\
\hline 225.185 \& Car \& simple \& H: r. ¢, 1. 11 \& \& u. 16 \& ; \& 1 \& (all lost) \& very slight \& small \& only bases \& right larger \& large, stallow \& - \(\quad\) n \& moderate, each \& - \\
\hline 225.186 \& Cen \& simple \& H: r. 13, L 15 \& small in lambdoid \& u. 16 \& very much worn \& , \& , \& level \& bmea \& r. 8, 1. 12 \& right larger \& - \& - \& \& - \\
\hline 225.187 \& Centercille \& submedium \& H: r. 8.5, 1.11 \& \({ }_{1}^{\text {quite }}\) lemarge in each teorococipital \& 16 \& much worn off \& , \& - \& moderate \& small \& , 13, 1.9 \& right larger \& - \& 1/2 of distal on left \& moderate, on left \& 3rd articular facet (inter-condyloid) \\
\hline 225.188 \& Centerille \& quite simple \& H: r. 11.5, 1. 11.5 \& 1 in right squamo-mastoid \(1 \begin{aligned} \& \text { angle } \\ \& 1 \text { small epipteric on right }\end{aligned}\) 1 small epipteric on righ
1 in right temporo-occip. \& 32 \& much worn off \& , \& - \& level \& small \&  \& right larger \& - \& \begin{tabular}{l}
slight tendency to distal each side \\
proximal 3 on left
\end{tabular} \& slight, on right

dr \& a foramen on basilar process in front of right condyle; a a small articular eminence anteriorly
to this foramen; fusion on right of spinous process with petrous part <br>
\hline 225.195 \& Angel Isl. \& quite simple \& H: r. 20, 1.19 \& - - - \& ${ }^{32}$ \& worn off \& ! \& - \& submedium \& very small \& + (broken) \& right larger \& - \&  \& en. \& <br>
\hline 225.196 \& Angel Is . \& submedium \& H: (medium) \& - \& u. 16 \& much worn off \& ' \& - \& slight \& submedium \& $\underset{\substack{\text { r. } \\ \text { silender }}}{\text { 4, } 1.4 \text {, very }}$ \& right larger \& - \& tendency to proximal on left, sides \& \& $\cdots$ <br>
\hline 12/77 \& West Berkeley \& submedium \& h: (medium) \& 2 small in lambdoid \& 32 \& very much morn \& ' \& - \& , \& , \& ? \& ; \& , \& ' \& ' \& <br>
\hline 12/83 \& West Berreley \& submedium \& H: r. 12.5, i. 12.5 \& 5 - \& , \& ${ }^{14}$, \& , \& ' \& moderate \& um \& ${ }^{\prime}$ \& left larger \& - \& tendeney to distal as well \& $\underset{\substack{\text { quite large, each } \\ \text { side }}}{ }$ \&  <br>
\hline 12/76 \& West Berkeley \& submedium \& H: r. 8, 1. 9.5 \& - \& ' \& much worn off \& , \& of anterior righ are left 2 cyli drical, entirel reot of left a u. bicuspid co parts, but fuse \&  \& small \& r. 18, 19 \& equal \& - \& proximal \%/ each side \& small, on right \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}



|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 荡 } \\ & \text { On } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 225.168 | N.M. | Hoopa Valley |
| 12/80 | U. C. | Humboldt Bay |
| 12/81 | do | Humboldt Bay |
| 225.169 | N.M. | Sutter Co. |
| 225.170 | do | do |
| 225.172 | do | Cave in Calaveras Co. |
| 225.173 | do | Calaveras Co. |
| 12/71 | U. C. | near Vallejo |
| 225.176 | N.M. | near Centerville |
| 225.177 | do | do |
| 225.178 | do | do |
| 225.179 | do | do |
| 225.180 | do | do |
| 225.181 | do | do |
| 225.183 | do | do |
| 12/85 | U.C. | Inverness |
| 225.191 | N.M. | near Petaluma |
| 12/67 | U.C. | near Sausalito |
| 12/68 | do | do |
| 12/75 | do | San Francisco |
| 225.192 | N.M. | Yerba Buena Is. |
| 225.193 | do | Angel Island |
| 225.194 | do | do |
| 12/84 | U. C. | West Berkeley |
| 12/72 | do | near Millbrae |
| 12/73 | do | do |
| 12/74 | do | do |
| 178.148 | N.M. | Palo Alto |
| 12/79 | U.C. | Felton |
| 12/86 | do | Santa Cruz |
| 225.197 | N.M. | near Monterey |
| 225.198 | do | San José Mission |
| 225.199 | do | San Felipe |


| 12/82 | U. C. | Sather |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12 / 78$ | do | Redding |
| $12 / 69$ | do | near Vallejo |
| $12 / 70$ | do | do |
| 225.184 | N.M. | near Centerville |
| 225.185 | do | do |
| 225.186 | do | do |
| 225.187 | do | do |
| 225.188 | do | do |
| 225.195 | do | Angel Island |
| 225.196 | do | do |
| $12 / 77$ | U. C. | West Berkeley |
| $12 / 83$ | do | do |
| $12 / 76$ | do | do |

## OsSIFICATION IN SUTURES

## Males.

none;
whole coronal, sagittal and temporo-occipitals, advanced in lambdoid, slight in nasal;

## none;

traces in coronal, middle $\frac{1}{5}$ of sagittal, nearly whole nasal; all remaining free;
coronal above pterions and in upper $\frac{1}{3}$, whole sagittal, middle $\frac{1}{3}$ of lambdoid; nasal and others free;
nearly whole coronal sagittal and lambdoid; some in temporo-occipitals, whole nasal; others free;
nearly whole coronal, whole sagittal, median $\frac{8}{4}$ of lambdoid, most of temporo-occipitals, most of nasal, left malo-zygomatic;
lower and upper $\frac{1}{8}$ of coronal, much of sagittal, some in lambdoid, whole temporo-occipitals, some in nasal;
most of coronal, whole sagittal, medium $\frac{8}{4}$ of lambdoid, slight in temporo-occipitals, slight (end) in nasal;
most of coronal, whole sagittal, median $\frac{2}{8}$ of lambdoid, most of temporo-occipitals, whole nasal;
none;
some in posterior $\frac{2}{8}$ of sagittal, traces in nasal;
most of posterior $\frac{4}{5}$ of sagittal, none in coronal or lambdoid, $\frac{1}{8}$ of each temporo-occipital, slight if any in nasal;
slight in median $\frac{1}{8}$ of coronal, most of sagittal, all except inferior extremities of lambdoid, very little in temporo-occipitals, whole nasal;
whole coronal, sagittal and lambdoid, most of temporo-occipitals, none in internasal;
most of coronal, advanced in sagittal, some in lambdoid; temporo-occipitals and nasal free;
whole coronal except about ridges, whole sagittal and lambdoid, $\frac{1}{8}$ of each temporo-occipital, whole nasal;
whole coronal and sagittal, some in lambdoid, most of temporo-occipitals and nasal;
nearly whole coronal and sagittal, advanced in lambdoid and temporo-occipitals, whole nasal;
$\%$
all occluded except temporo-parietals;
coronal below ridges and at bregma, most of sagittal, traces in lambdoid, none in temporo-occipitals, all nasal; none;
much in coronal, post $\frac{2}{8}$ of sagittal, some in lambdoid, whole left temporo-occipital; right temporo-occipital and nasal free; advanced in coronal, much of sagittal, some in lambdoid, whole temporo-occipitals, nearly whole nasal; cranial all free, nasal obliterated;
traces in coronal, much of sagittal, slight in lambdoid; nasal free;
none;
advanced in lower and median $\frac{1}{8}$ of coronal, most of sagittal, slight in lambdoid, whole nasal; others free; most of coronal, most of sagittal, whole nasal; all others free; $\%$
middle $\frac{2}{8}$ of sagittal, slight in lambdoid, $\frac{1}{2}$ of each temporo-occipital; others free; whole coronal, sagittal and nasal, most of lambdoid and temporo-occipitals.

Females
none;
none;
?
traces in coronal above the temporal ridges; all others free;
none;
none;
most of coronal, all sagittal, middle $\frac{3}{5}$ of lambdoid; all others free;
traces in sagittal, $\frac{\frac{2}{8}}{}$ of right $\frac{1}{8}$ of left temporo-occipital;
advancing in coronal, traces in sagittal, $\frac{1}{2}$ of each temporo-occipital, $\frac{1}{8}$ of nasal, none in lambdoid whole coronal and sagittal, traces in lambdoid, little in temporo-occipitals, whole nasal;
traces in median $\frac{1}{8}$ of coronal, advanced in middle $\frac{3}{5}$ of sagittal; all others free;
much in coronal, especially on left, much in sagittal, traces in lambdoid; nasal and temporo-occipitals free; in coronal below ridges, only traces elsewhere (in nasal and sagittal).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See J. W. Powell, 7th Ann. Rept. Bur. Amer. Ethnology; and R. B. Dixon and A. L. Kroeber, The Native Languages of California, Amer. Anthrop., Vol. 5, January-March, 1903, pp. 1-26.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Several of the tribes (Southern Californians, Hupas, Round Valley people) have been measured under the auspices of Professor Putnam, Chief of the Department of Ethnology of the World's Fair in Chicago; the results are included in F. Boas' Zur Anthropologie der Nordamerikanishen Indianer, Verhandl. d. Berlin. Anthropolog. Gesellsch., 1895, p. 367 et seq. Further anthropometric work has been done by Boas and Streeter among the southern Mission Indians; see F. Boas, Anthropometrical Observations on the Mission Indians of Southern California, Proc. A. A. A. S., XLIV, Salem, 1896, 261-269. See also Boas, Anthropometry of Central California, Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist., Vol. XVII, pp. 347-380, 1905, based on measurements among the Round Valley Indians and the Maidu by Chestnut and Dr. R. B. Dixon.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Measurements of the Crania received during the year (taken by Miss Jennie Smith and Mr. L. Carr), 11th Ann. Rep. Peabody Mus., Cambridge, Mass., 1878; (Vol. II of the Reports, pp. 221-223).
    ${ }^{2}$ L. Carr, Measurements of Crania from California, 12th Ann. Rep. Peabody Mus., Cambridge, Mass., 1879; (Vol. II of the Reports, pp. 497-505).
    ${ }^{3}$ L. Carr, Observations on the Crania from the Santa Barbara Islands, Cal., U. S. Geol. Surveys W. of the 100th meridian (Wheeler's), Vol. VII, Archaeology, Washington, 1879, pp. 276-292. Includes Otis' data.
    ${ }^{4}$ G. A. Otis, List of the Specimens in the Anatomical Section of the U. S. Army Med. Mus., Washington, D. C. (This collection is now in the U. S. Nat. Mus. and will ultimately be reëxamined.)
    ${ }^{5}$ R. Virchow, Beitr. z. Craniologie d. Insulaner v. d. Westküste Nordamerikas, Verhandl. d. Berlin. Gesell. f. Anthrop., Ethnol. and Urgesch., 1889, 382 et seq.
    ${ }^{8}$ Harrison Allen, Crania from the mounds of the St. Johns River in Fla.; J. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., N. S., X. 4, pp. 391 et seq. Includes description of several California skulls from the mainland.
    ${ }^{7}$ J. Matiegka, U. Schaedel und Skelette von Santa Rosa (Sta Barbara Archipel bei California) ; Sitzber. d. K. böhm. Gesell. d. Wiss., II Classe, Prague, 1904, pp. 1-121. The only one to describe other skeletal parts, besides the skulls, from the region.
    ${ }^{8}$ W. I. Pocock, Crania from Shell-bearing Sand-hills near San Francisco, now in the Cambridge Museum, Man, Oct., 1905, pp. 148-152. (This paper was received too late for its contents to be incorporated. It deals with four imperfect specimens.)

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ When the University of California skulls were sent to Dr. Hrdlicka in 1902, systematic cataloguing of all the collections of the Department of Anthropology had not been undertaken, and the skulls in question, accumulated during a series of years, were accompanied only by loose labels and were for the most part unmarked for identification. In consequence a confusion appears possibly to have taken place between two of the skulls. Number $12-81$ is perhaps from Sather, Alameda County, and 12-82 from Sandspit, Humboldt Bay, instead of as given.-[Editor.]

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ A Modification in Measuring Cranial Capacity; Science, N. S. Vol. XVII, June 26, 1903, 1011-1014.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ See writer's Certain Racial Characteristics of the Base of the Skull; Science, February 22, 1901, p. 309.
    ${ }^{2}$ Roth, E., Ein Beitrag zu den Merkmalen niederer Menschenrassen am Schädel; Arch. f. Anthrop., XIV, 1882, pp. 75-77.
    ${ }^{8}$ Turner, Sir Wm., Report on the Human Crania, Challenger Reporter, Zoology, Part XXIX, 1884, 119.

