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This report will examine in some detail three skeletal series of adult males from the northern part of the Central Valley of California．Compari－ sons will be made between the three series and certain other published cra－ nial series for the purpose of elucidating，in as far as the material permits， the nature of the physical characteristics of the prehistoric populations of this area and examining their relationships with those of other areas．The shortcomings and limitations of the material will become obvious to the reader as various aspects of the problem are treated，and I have attempted to restrict interpretation and speculation to what can reasonably be inferred in view of these limitations．

The Central Valley series reported here were selected from，and form part of，the skeletal collection of the University of California Museum of Anthrom pology．The grouping is on the basis of chronological periods shown by arch－ aeological evidence．The series from the Early Central Californian Horizon， Interior Valley Zone will for brevity be hereafter referred to as the Early Series．The series from the Middle Central Californian Horizon and Late Cen－ tral Californian Horizon，both of the Interior Valley Zone，will be called the Middle Series and Late Series respectively（Cook and Heizer，1947，p．216）．

Each series was selected separately from archaeologically assignable material on the basis of two criteria．First，the specimen had to be an adult male，over twenty years of age based on epiphyseal union in the long bones， dental eruption，and ectocranial suture closure．Second，the specimen had to be fairly complete so that a number of measurements and observations could be obtained．These requirements exerted a certain selectivity on the resulting series of undetermined extent．Since female specimens were excluded，there is no doubt that border－line cases where sex was doubtful were also excluded． This tended to accentuate the masculinity of the series as against the type of investigation where all burials from one site are sexed and all probable and possible males are combined into a male series．The selection of well－ preserved specimens may also have biased the series towards masculinity by a selective process of preservation，but this is purely speculation．There is no evidence at the present time that the remains of males have been appreci－ ably better preserved than those of females．An attempt was made to keep the three series roughly comparable in size．This was not possible in all meas－ urements and observations due to marked differences in preservation in the different periods and sites．

The detailed analysis of the composition of the series by site and hori－ zon is presented in Table l。Certain general comments can be made on this table．Site Sac。107 did not contribute appreciably to the Early Series be－ cause of extremely poor preservation．Sites Sac． 66 and Sac． 99 were deficient in post cranial material since it was not saved by the excavators．With the exception of these two sites the Middle Series is quite evenly distributed． In the Late Series site CCo。 138 shows a disproportionately high percentage

In this paper，site designations having county symbols punctuated with dots are equivalent to UCAS designations，which employ dashes，e．g．，Sac． 107 is the same as UCAS site Sac－107．Ed．


Middle Horizon Sites:

| Sac. 43 | (S.43) | 11 | 20.75 | 11 | 28.95 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sac. 60 | (S.60) | 10 | 18.87 | 10 | 26.32 |  |
| Sac. | 66 | (C.66) | 11 | 20.75 | 3 | 7.89 |
| Sac. 99 | (S.99) | 9 | 16.98 | 5 | 5.26 |  |
| Sac. 151 | (C.151) | $\frac{12}{53}$ | 22.64 |  | 12 | 31.58 |

## Late Horizon Sites:

| Col. | 1 | (S.1) | 8 | 19.51 | 8 | 20.51 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CCo. | 138 | (C.138) | 16 | 39.02 | 15 | 38.46 |
| Sol. | 3 | (Pete.3) | 2 | 4.88 | 5 | 5.13 |
| Sac. | 21 | (S.66) | 5 | 12.20 | 5 | 12.82 |
| Sac. | 56 | (S.56) | 6 | 14.63 | 6 | 15.38 |
| Sac. | 60 | (S.60) | 1 | 2.44 | 0 |  |
| Sac. | 85 | (S.85) | 1 | 2.44 | 0 | 0.56 |
| Sac. 86 | (S.86) | 2 | 4.88 | 1 | 2.5 |  |
|  |  |  | $\underline{4 I}$ |  | $\frac{2}{39}$ | 4.88 |

( ) = previous designation of site numbers

Table 1. Composition of Horizon Series by Sites


MAP. 1. LOCATION OF SITES UTILIZED IN HORIZON SERIES.

|  |  | Interior Valley Zone |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delta Province |  | Colusa Province |  |
| Late <br> Central | Phase II |  |  | Miller facies <br> Settlements: <br> Col. 1 |  |
| Horizon | Phase I | Hollister facies <br> Settlements: <br> Sac. 21 <br> Sac. 60 <br> CCo. 138 |  | Sandhill facies Settlements: Col. 1 |  |
| Middle |  | Interior Province |  |  |  |
| Central <br> California <br> Horizon |  | Morse <br> facies <br> Settlements: <br> Sac. 60 <br> Sac. 66 | Deterding facies <br> Settlements: <br> Sac. 99 | Brazil <br> facies <br> Settlements: <br> Sac. 43 | Need <br> - facies <br> Settlements: <br> Sac. 151 |
| Early |  | Unnamed Province |  |  |  |
| Central |  | Windmiller faciesSettlements: |  |  |  |
| CaliforniaHorizon |  | SJo. 56 <br> SJo. 68 <br> SJo. 142 <br> Sac. 107 |  |  |  |

Table 2. Cultural and Temporal Classification of Sites Utilized
in the Horizon Series.
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of the total cases studied, but the absence of other material made its use imperative. The sizes of the samples from the individual sites are too small to make intra-horizon and inter-site comparisons feasible. On the other hand, the combined series for each horizon are of respectable size when compared with other published series.


## Archaeological Background

The chronological stratification which was the basis for the selection and comparison of the skeletal remains treated here was first proposed in 1939 (Lillard, Heizer and Fenenga, 1939). The majority of specimens in the series were excavated in the 1930's when this sequence was being discovered. Map l. illustrates the sites from which skeletal material was obtained and also the general archaeological area of the Interior Valley Zone. This covers an area approximately sixty miles from north to south by thirty miles from east to west.

Table 2 shows the sites from which the human material was utilized in this study in a cultural and temporal classification recently proposed for the area (Heizer, 1949, p. 3). All facies in the Zone are represented in the skeletal series with the exception of Orwood facies in the Middle Horizon, which is not shown. No attempt was made to segregate the Late Horizon into Phase I and Phase II specimens and all have been pooled as the Late Series.

The archaeological evidence behind this classification cannot be treated here, but some mention of the time interval involved is germane to a discussion of the physical types found in the area. The most recent estimate of the beginning dates of the various horizons by Heizer (op. cit., p. 39) is given below:

Time Chart of Central California Culture Horizons

```
1700 A.D. . . . Late Horizon, Phase II
    500 A.D. . . . Late Horizon, Phase I
1500 B.C. . . . Middle Horizon
2500 B.C. . . . Early Horizon (Windmiller facies)
```

A shorter time-scale proposed by Martin, Quimby, and Collier (1947, Chart XV) is as follows: Early Horizon, 1 A.D.; Middle Horizon, 750 A.D.; and Late Horizon, 1000 A.D. Both of these are only estimates at the present time although there was some evidence bearing on the length of these periods from the chemical analysis of human bone from the three horizons (Cook and Heizer, op. cit., pp. 212-214). In any event, present archaeological dating for the Early Horizon would place its beginnings at not less than two thousand years and possibly over four thousand years ago. This is an exceedingly long time span to be encompassed by less than one hundred and fifty specimens, and it presents difficulties in interpretation that will be discussed later. Because of the long time span involved, no statistics have been calculated for the area as a whole. It is doubtful whether
such statistics would have any validity when compared with other published data, as, for example, the Pecos site with an estimated four hundred and fifty year span (Hooton, 1930, p. 343), or the Indian Knoll site with an esti.ated five hundred year span (Snow, 1948, p. 387).

An extensive resumé of the cultural similarities and differences between the three horizons is not necessary here. Such a summary has been published recently (Beardsley, 1948, pp. 5, 20). Archaeological evidence indicates somewhat different orientations and interests between horizons, while at the same time numerous traits are carried over with little change. There is no cultural evidence of complete replacement by foreign populations, but Beardsley believes that there is evidence of cultural changes of sufficient magnitude to make some intrusion of new populations a possibility, if not a probability。

## Metrical and Morphological Comparisons Between the Series

This section will consist of items extracted from the metrical and morphological tabulations which will be found in Appendix $A_{2}$ and the reader should refer to these tables for statistical details. No attempt has been made to discuss all measurements and observations, since there is a lack of common agreement as to the significance and validity of some of them at the present time. Most of the summaries presented here will treat more with the differences than with the similarities, but a perusal of the complete tabulations will show that these constitute a minority only.

A few words of explanation about the metrical tabulations may be necessary. The statistical measures are largely selfeexplanatory and conventional. The S.D. column indicates the Standard Deviation or square root of the variance of the distribution. The formula employed,

$$
\sigma=\sqrt{\frac{\Sigma\left(f d^{2}\right)}{N}}
$$

is the most commonly accepted form (Simpson and Roe, 1939, p. 114). The V. column indicates the Coefficient of Variability,

$$
V_{0}=\frac{100 \sigma}{M}
$$

which is a rough method of comparing the $S_{0} D_{0}{ }^{1} s$ of different measurements (Ibido, $p_{0}$ l22). The $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s} \mathrm{d}$ column is a test for significant difference between the means of two series. The formula

differs somewhat from the usual "x $p_{\circ} e_{0}$ " in being more sensitive to differences in the number of specimens in the two series but gives approxio
mately similar results (Ibid., p. 193). The theoretical values may range from zero to infinity with 3.00 usually taken as the critical level of significance. This is obviously an arbitrary procedure and is only justified here by precedent. The initials preceding each measure in Tables 4 and 5 indicate the series between which the statistic was calculated.

## Cranial Measurements and Observations

Before enumerating the individual measurements and indices in which the series show significant differences, a summary of the numerical occurrence of these measurements and indices will be useful. Out of fifty-five measurements and indices, the numbers of differences of a magnitude of 3.00 or larger are as follows:

| No。 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 11 | 20.00 |
| 10 | 18.18 |
| 16 | 29.09 |

It is difficult to find similar material with which to compare these figures. A chronologically divided series from the Central Coast of Peru has the following percentages of significant differencels for thirty-one measurements and indices (Newman, M. T., 1947, p. 25):

| Period | Percent |
| :--- | :---: |
| Early - Middle | 30 |
| Middle - Late | 38 |
| Early - Late | 23 |

These are not only generally higher percentages but show a curious reversal of trend in the Early - Late comparisons. These differences were calculated by a slightly different formula which may account for the higher occurrence of statistically significant differences.

Due to the arbitrary nature of the dividing line between significant and nonsignificant levels, a more accurate picture may be obtained from a finer subdivision:

| $d / \sigma_{d}$ | Early-Middle |  | Middle-Late |  | Early-Late |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Percent | No. | Percent | No. | Percent |
| 0-1 | 19 | 34.55 | 21 | 38.18 | 18 | 32.73 |
| 1-2 | 16 | 29.09 | 15 | 27.27 | 17 | 30.91 |
| 2-3 | 9 | 16.36 | 9 | 16.36 | 4 | 7.27 |
| 3-x | 11 | 20.00 | 10 | 18.18 | 16 | 29.09 |
|  | 55 |  | 55 |  | 55 |  |

The distribution in the Early-Middle and Middle-Late columns shows a steady decrease in the percentage of significant differences as the level cf significance rises, with apparent reversals probably due to chance. The relatively low number of differences in the 2-3 category in the Early-Late column is inexplicable, but probably not too important.

The individual measurements and indices that show statistically significant differences between horizons are listed below. It must be emphasized that some of these differences may be due to sampling errors, but there is no statistical means of determining which are actually valid and which are spurious.

Early - Middle
Difference

| Measurement or Index | Difference Middle from Early | d/sd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Glabello-Occipital Length | -4.89 | 3.81 |
| Basion-Bregma Height | -4.34 | 3.48 |
| Basion-Nasion Length | -3.13 | 3.54 |
| Transverse Arc | -8.31 | 3.66 |
| Height of Symphysis | -2.12 | 4.52 |
| Bigonial Width | -4.75 | 3.60 |
| Nasion-Prosthion Angle | -2.30 | 4.20 |
| Nasion-Nasospinale Angle | +3.25 | , 4.37 |
| Cranial Module | -4.01 | 4.04 |
| Nasalia-Transverse Index | +8.70 | 3.13 |
| Fronto-Gonial Index | -4.66 | 3.45 |

The differences may be summarized briefly. Seven measurements show. a decrease in cranial and facial size in the Middle Series, although they refer to different dimensions, some to length, some to height, and some to breadth. There is a slight increase in alveolar prognathism in the Middle Horizon. The Nasion-Nasospinale Angle is exceedingly difficult to measure consistently, and the Nasalia-Transverse Index shows such great variability in Standard Deviation that its worth is doubtful. The difference in Fronto-Gonial Index lies in different combinations of Minimum Frontal Diameter and Bigonial Width, since the means of the former are almost identical between the series.

The morphological observations are more difficult to deal with than are the measurements. Differences in percentages in the various categories have not been tested statistically, but fourteen of the more obvious differences are listed below.

## Tendencies in Morphological Cranial Differences

## From Early to Middle

1. Decrease in muscularity.
2. Increase in ovoid skull form and decrease in sphenoid form.
3. Slight decrease in brow-ridge size.
4. Increase in frontal slope from perpendicular.
5. Greater post-orbital constriction.
6. Less sagittal elevation.
7. Smaller supramastoid crests.
8. Smaller nasion depression.
9. Smaller post-glenoid processes.
10. Lower palate height.
11. Smaller post-nasal spine.
12. More median chin form.
13. Greater ante-mortem loss of teeth.
14. Greater tendency toward overbite.

On the whole, these tendencies corroborate the metrical differences in showing a decrease in size and muscularity from Early to Middle.

Middle - Late

| Measurement or Index | Difference <br> Late from Middle | d/od |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Glabello-Occipital Length | -3.96 | 3.16 |
| Maximum Width | +4.09 | 3.98 |
| Nasalia, Upper Breadth | -1.76 | 3.01 |
| Nasalia, Lower Breadth | -1.68 | 3.60 |
| Foramen Magnum Length | -1.24 | 4.02 |
| Transverse Arc | +8.16 | 4.29 |
| Nasion-Nasospinale Angle | -3.01 | 4.69 |
| Cranial Index | +4.48 | 7.03 |
| Height-Length Index | +2.82 | 4.60 |
| Auricular Height-Length Index | +3.51 | 5.90 |

Upon analysis, this is a much less impressive list than is the EarlyMiddle list. The Nasalia Breadth measurements are probably correlated with a decrease in Nasal Breadth which is close to the level of significance. The Nasion-Nasospinale Angle, as stated before, is of doubtful validity. Glabello-Occipital Length shows a decrease in Late, but all other measurements and indices are probably correlated with an increase in breadth measurements.

## From Middle to Late

1. Increase in slight occipital deformation.
2. Greater predominace of sphenoid skull form.
3. Increase in median brow-ridges.
4. Slight decrease in brow-ridge size.
5. Smaller glabellar prominence.
6. Lower frontal height.
7. More pronounced frontal slope from perpendicular.
8. Smaller frontal bosses.
9. Lower sagittal elevation.
10. Larger parietal bosses.
11. Larger temporal fullness.
12. Lower temporal crests.
13. Smaller occipital torus.
14. More frequent "Inca" bone.
15. Slightly smaller malars.
16. Thinner zygomatic processes.
17. Shallower glenoid fossae.
18. Thicker tympanic plates.
19. More concave nasal profile.
20. Less gonial eversion.
21. Increase in edge-to-edge bite.
22. Increase in tooth crowding.

The morphological differences are more striking between Middle and Late than are the metrical differences. In general, most of the differences seem to correlate with the increase in transverse diameters. What seem to be reversals of this are probably attributable to a slight decrease in muscularity.

## Early - Late

| Measurement or Index | Difference <br> Late from Early |  | $\mathrm{d} / \sigma_{\mathrm{d}-}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Glabello-Occipital Length |  |  |  |
| Basion-Nasion Length | -8.85 | 6.73 |  |
| Nasalia, Lower Breadth | -3.23 | 3.77 |  |
| Foramen Magnum Length | -2.05 | 3.62 |  |
| Nasion-Opisthion Arc | -1.88 | 3.22 |  |
| Maximum Circumference | -9.73 | 3.23 |  |
| Height of Symphysis |  | -10.59 | 3.26 |
| Bigonial Width | -2.50 | 3.54 |  |
| Nasion-Prosthion Angle | -5.43 | 3.76 |  |
| Cranial Index | -3.48 | 5.29 |  |
| Height-Length Index | +4.90 | 6.70 |  |
| Height-Breadth Index | +2.02 | 3.00 |  |
| Auricular Height-Length Index | -3.06 | 3.84 |  |
|  |  | +4.13 | 5.88 |


| Early－Late（cont＇d．） |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Measurement or Index | Difference Late from Early | $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{/d}$ |
| Cranial Module | －2．92 | 3.02 |
| Zygo－Gonial Index | －4．09 | 4.16 |
| Fronto－Gonial Index | －7．46 | 4.25 |

These show a consistent decrease in most dimensions，with the positive differences in indices also attributable to the decreased Glabello－0ccipital Length with correspondingly less decrease in the breadth dimensions．

## Tendencies in Morphological Cranial Differences From Early to Late

1．Decrease in muscularity．
2．Increase in slight occipital deformation．
3．Greater predominance of sphenoid skull form．
4．Increase in median brow－ridges．
5．Decrease in brow－ridge size．
6．Smaller glabellar prominence．
7．Lower frontal height．
8．More pronounced frontal slope from perpendicular．
9．Smaller frontal bosses．
10．Larger parietal bosses．
11．Slightly greater temporal fullness．
12．Lower temporal crests．
13．Smaller occipital torus．
14．More frequent＂Incal bone。
15．Smaller malars．
16．Thinner zygomatic processes．
17．Shallower nasion depression．
18．Smaller post－glenoid processes．
19．Thicker tympanic plates．
20．Slightly more alveolar prognathism。
21．Lower palatal height．
22．Smaller mandible．
23．Less gonial eversion。
24．Greater ante－mortem tooth loss．
25．Smaller mandibular torus．
26．Greater tooth crowding．
Most of these changes were also noted in the comparison of Middle with Late，and the predominance of changes indicating decreasing size and muscularity is striking．It would be a mistake to assume that the dimin－ ution emphasized in the tabulations above has led to a small and gracile population in the Late Horizon．Actually，the Late people were character－ ized by large cranial dimensions when compared to series from other areas．

In terms of means of measurements some of these may exceed both the Early and Middle Horizon means.

The relative variability of the series may be measured in several ways. Since identical measurements and indices were calculated for each series it would be possible to compare the average Standard Deviations directly. The obvious draw-back is that the size of the Standard Deviation is proportional to the magnitude of the measurement, as well as to variability, and large measurements are over-weighted. The average Standard Deviation for fifty-five cranial measurements and indices is as follows: Early 6.23, Middle 5.77, and Late 5.89. This is of doubtful value since the Early measurements consistently run larger. The average Coefficient of Variability, which attempts to equalize large and small measurements, gives a somewhat different picture. For the same measurements and indices the average V. is: Early 5.39, Middle 5.32, and Late 5.70, reversing the variability shown by the Standard Deviation. By either method the variability seems amazingly similar.

It is not feasible to compare directly average Standard Deviations unless the series are approximately equal in size, and unless identical measurements and indices are used. For these reasons, the variability can be compared only with the average of North American Indian male crania for a limited number of measurements and indices in terms of the Mean Sigma Ratio (Howells, 1936, p. 594). The Mean Sigmas for a large group of cranial series have been calculated by Stewart (Stewart, 1943, p. 265) and a Mean Sigma Ratio of 94.0 for the measurements and indices used was established for North American males. The Mean Sigma Ratios of the Valley series for the same measurements and indices are: Early 111.2, Middle 101.8, and Late 103.3, indicating a variability somewhat higher than average. The Mean Sigma Ratio of male crania from Indian Knoll (Snow, op. cit., p. 450) is 98.3, and since Snow considers this a highly homogeneous population, the relatively high ratios of the Valley series may be due to the small number of individuals pepresented.

Post-Cranial Measurements and Observations
The post-cranial measurements and indices show very few significant differences. Differences at a significant level from seventy-eight measurements and indices are as follows:

| No. |  | Percent |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 |  | 5.13 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 14.10 |  |

A more detailed listing illustrates the same general trends shown in the crania:

| $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{~d}$ | Early-Middle |  | Middle-Late |  | Early-Late |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Percent | No. | Percent | No. | Percent |
| 0-1 | 34 | 43.59 | 4 | 56.47 | 23 | 29.49 |
| 1-2 | 23 | 29.49 | 23 | 29.49 | 25 | 32.05 |
| 2-3 | 17 | 21.79 | 11 | 14.10 | 19 | 24.36 |
| 3-x | 4 | 5.13 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 14.10 |
|  | 78 |  | 78 |  | 78 |  |

The measurements that show significance in Early-Middle and Early-Late do not seem to follow any apparent pattern.

> Early - Middle

Difference

| Measurement or Index | Middle from Early | d/od |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Right Tibia, Least Circumference | -4.02 | 3.95 |
| Left Tibia, Least Circumference | -3.28 | 3.57 |
| Right Humerus, Maximum Length | -4.24 | 3.47 |
| Sagittal Diameter of Pelvic Inlet | -6.76 | 3.01 |

Except for a constant decrease in size, there seems to be no reason for any such special grouping of these measurements.

The statistically significant differences between Early and Late are equally random.

> Early - Late

| Measurement or Index L | Difference Late from Early | d/ $/$ d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left Femur, Maximum Length | -10.33 | 3.17 |
| Right Femur, Head Diameter | -1.87 | 3.56 |
| Left Femur, Head Diameter | -2.13 | 4.25 |
| Left Femur, Sub-Troch. Diamo, Lateral | $1-1.51$ | 3.32 |
| Left Femur, Mid-Shaft Diam., Lateral | -1.10 | 3.49 |
| Right Tibia, Least Circumference | -3.97 | 3.94 |
| Left Tibia, Least Circumference | -3.12 | 3.15 |
| Left Innominate, Height | -9.47 | 3.45 |
| Right Femur, Middle Index | -3.82 | 3.17 |
| Left Humerus, Humero-Femoral Index | +2.03 | 4.45 |
| Sacral Index | +9.64 | 4.08 |

The differences show a decrease in size in Late, but it must be emphasized that unless paired measurements and indices show approximately equivalent differences, any conclusions drawn from the right or left side only would be highly tentative.

Post-cranial observations are also more difficult to interpret than cranial observations. Because of this uncertainty only the general trends from Early to Late will be summarized below:

## Tendencies in Morphological Post-Cranial Differences From Early to Late

1. Reduction in size of many muscle attachments.
2. Femur mid-shaft shape becomes generally prismatic.
3. Torsion of femoral head becomes slightly more pronounced.
4. Pelvis becomes more feminine in some characteristics.

The over-all post-cranial impression is one of remarkable similarity when contrasted with the cranial differences.

There are no published data with which to calculate the Mean Sigma Ratios of post-cranial material, so estimates of the relative variability of the series can be indicated only by the average Standard Deviation and Coefficient of Variability. The average Standard Deviation for seventyeight measurements and indices is: Early 6.55, Middle 7.45, and Late 7.29. The average Coefficient of Variability for the same measurements and indices is: Early 5.26, Middle 5.84, and Late 6.27

## Morphological Types

After the statistical compilation on a chronological basis was completed and it became apparent that assessment of inter-horizon differences would be difficult from that approach, the better preserved crania were segregated on a morphological basis without reference to cultural period. This sorting was largely based on facial characteristics and the skull vault as viewed from the front. The categories decided upon are rough and subjective and the results should, therefore, be taken as tentative and suggestive. No statistical validation of these typings was attempted, since this would not be feasible in view of the small size of the series. A deliberate attempt was made to fit all complete crania into one or another of the types wherever possible.

A brief and general description and illustration of each of the types follows:

The Long-Faced Type
This morphological variant is characterized by an absolutely long and relatively narrow facial structure. A considerable proportion of the facial length is contributed by a mandible high at the symphysis and often with a pointed chin. The nasal aperture is variable, but appears slightly longer and narrower than in the other types. Orbits are high and show slightly more inclination. Malars are medium to large with the latter predominating. Brow-ridges are quite variable, ranging from a
trace to a well－defined eminence．The skull vault appears somewhat nar－ rower from the front than is characteristic of the other types．For illustration of this type see Plate 1.

## The Large Broad－Faced Type

This group shows a massiveness in all dimensions．Facial height is great，but the extremely massive mandibular and malar breadths give an appearance of a square facial cast not found in the previous type．The orbits appear more rectangular due to great width．Brow－ridges are uni－ formly large and foreheads retreating，although vault height is high． Malars are very massive with both anterior and lateral projection．Skull breadth from the front is more apparent than in the Long－Faced Type．For illustration see Plate 1 。

## The Small Short－Faced Type

This type may be only a variant of the last group，but it presents a certain amount of difference in facial appearance．Facial height is not excessive and when coupled with broad facial breadth，it makes a distinctively short and broad face．Malars seem very large for the rest of the skull and this type often shows considerable ruggedness of facial countenance．Orbits are somewhat more square than for the Large Broad－ Faced Type。 For illustration see Plate 1.

## The Round－Vaulted Type

This group differs from the previously described types in several particulars．Most conspicuous is the prominence of the brain case over the face when viewed from the front．The large bulbous parietal swell－ ings overshadow the lateral projection of the malars and mandible．On the whole there is a lack of ruggedness to the facial region which is prominent in the preceding types．Orbits are very high and often have considerable inclination．For illustration see Plate 2。

The Narrow－Jawed Type
This group exhibits the distinctive characteristic of a lack of gonial flare which is common to all the other types．It gives the face a very different over－all appearance and since it occurs with relatively narrow skulls，it contrasts sharply with the Round－Vaulted Type．Orbits are somewhat variable but usually appear to be relatively low．The lateral projection of the malars is emphasized by the narrow gonial and parietal dimensions．For illustration see Plate 2。

The Facially Intermediate Type
A small group of crania，mainly from one site，appear to be inter－ mediate between the Long－Faced and Small Short－Faced Types but could not be placed in either．The resemblance within the group was sufficiently strong to warrant giving them a separate category of undetermined affin－ ities．For illustration see Plate 2.

After the crania were sorted morphologically, a tabulation by horizon was made to determine what groupings were present. Only 56.5 percent of the Early Horizon crania were in sufficiently good condition to be sorted by morphological type. The two later periods were in better condition with 90.5 percent of the Middle Horizon crania and 85.5 percent of the Late Horizon crania represented in the types. The results are shown below:

Morphological Groupings by Horizon

| Early |  | Middle |  | Late |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Percent | No. | Percent | No. | Percent |
| 5 | 19.23 | 20 | 41.67 | 7 | 20.00 |
| 10 | 38.48 | 6 | 12.50 | 2 | 5.71 |
| 4 | 15.38 | 16 | 33.33 | 3 | 8.57 |
| 1 | 3.85 | 2 | 4.17 | 14 | 40.00 |
| 2 | 7.69 | 2 | 4.17 | 9 | 25.71 |
| 4 | 15.38 | 2 | 4.17 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 |  | 48 |  | 35 |  |

The differences in the frequency of the various types, although based on subjective groupings and small series, are partially reinforced by the independent metrical and morphological investigations by horizons. The general decrease in absolute measurements in Middle as contrasted with Early may be due to the relative decrease of the Large Broad-Faced Type and the increase in the Long-Faced and Small Short-Faced varieties. The changes noted from Middle to Late, and those manifest between Early and Late, are possibly due to the decrease in the Large Broad-Faced Type and the appearance in strength of the Round-Vaulted group. Although it is completely unverifiable, there may be a possibility that the Facially Intermediate Type is the result of crossing between the Long-Faced and Small Short-Faced Types, and that the Narrow-Jawed variant similarly represents crossing of the Long-Faced and Round-Vaulted Types.

## Pathology

The most common pathological changes found in the series are arthritic lipping at the articular surfaces of the long bones and the glenoid fossa, vertebral lipping and erosion of the vertebral centra, and exostoses of the auditory meatus. The occurrence of these and some less frequent pathologies are given below:

|  | Early |  | Middle |  | Late |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Percent | No. | Percent | No. | Percent |
| Arthritic changes | 8 | 17.4 | 10 | 18.9 | 15 | 36.6 |
| Marked vertebral lipping | 9 | 19.6 | 3 | 7.9 | 10 | 25.7 |
| Ear exostoses | 9 | 19.6 | 8 | 15.1 | 5 | 12.2 |
| Periosteal inflammation | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.6 | 3 | 7.7 |
| Traumatic cranial fracture | 1 | 2.2 | 1 | 1.9 | 1 | 2.4 |
| Imbedded projectile point | 2 | 4.4 | 1 | 2.6 | 3 | 7.7 |
|  | 29 |  | 24 |  | 37 |  |

In general, there seems to be a somewhat higher incidence of pathology in the Late Horizon, although a more detailed analysis might indicate that the differences recorded here are due to sampling errors and poor preservation in the Early and Middle series.

## Comparisons With Other Cranial Series

Some measurements and observations on the Early Series were published recently (Newman, R. Wo, 1949, pp. 49-50), but the Middle and Late Series have not been reported on previously except for cranial and nasal indices. Fenenga (Lillard, Heizer, and Fenenga, op. cit., p. 73) compiled cranial and nasal indices for a large series of over two hundred individuals from eight sites treated in this study, but these included both males and females and his figures are therefore not strictly comparable to the male means given here. Inclusion of the females resulted in slightly larger differences between horizons than were provided by only the male crania.

Gifford (1926, pp. 241-248) presented data on a series of about fortythree males from the San Joaquin Valley and Delta Region. None of these crania were included in the series employed here, and all specimens utilized here were excavated since his publication. Gifford's series will be compared metrically with the Early, Middle, and Late Series below. No post-cranial measurements were given.

It is difficult to find series for comparison which are segregated on a chronological level in Western North America。 Stewart's material from the deep levels of Buena Vista Lake (Stewart, 1941, pp. 176-177) is too scanty and fragmentary to make comparisons valid, and Roger's Oak Grove and Hunting People series from Santa Barbara are not only small but have practically no published measurements (Rogers, 1929, pp. 422-431). It was necessary to rely almost entirely on the same data employed by von Bonin and Morant (1938, pp. 94-128). This material was not segregated rigorously as to chronological level and was measured by different investigators but it remains the principal source of data for this area.

Since von Bonin and Morant had previously examined the available series statistically by the Coefficient of Racial Likeness, the same test was employed here in the hope of gaining comparability. The Coefficient of Racial Likeness has been severely criticized by Seltzer (1937, pp.

101-109) for its obvious short-comings and the results presented here are far from satisfactory. The Coefficient theoretically varies from zero upward, with low values indicating greater likeness than higher values.

The Reduced Coefficient was calculated between the Central Valley Horizon Series with the following results:

| Early - Middle | $9.48 \pm 0.54(21$ measurements and indices) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Middlem- Late | $16.04 \mp 0.48(21$ measurements and indices) |
| Early - Late | $27.64 \pm 0.60$ (21 measurements and indices) |

The trend of these figures is compatible with the previous statistical analysis. Von Bonin and Morant (op. cit., p. 126) suggest omitting all coefficients greater than 13 in classification of series, but this would leave only the Early - Middle connection as showing any genetic relationship. This is contrary to all the evidence presented here and no single level of significance appears justified.

Reduced Coefficients with other comparable series are shown in Table 3. The coefficients are extremely large in every instance and it hardly seems possible to draw more than very tentative conclusions from them.

The Central California series in Table 3 is from Gifford (op. cit., p. 242) and consists almost entirely of Late Horizon crania with a few Middle Horizon specimens. The almost equal coefficients between his series and Middle and Late are difficult to understand. The San Francisco Bay series (ibid., p. 242) contains an unknown but probably large number of Middle Horizon crania from that area which may explain the relatively low coefficient found with Middle.

One of the most puzzling portions of the table is the comparison with Hrdlicka's Pre-Koniag and Koniag material. The low coefficients between the Valley series and Pre-Koniag would be very suggestive if the Late Horizon series did not show almost as much resemblance to Koniag. The Koniag series differs from Pre-Koniag in almost the same manner in which the Late Horizon crania differ from the Middle Horizon crania, namely, in a general decrease in length and height dimensions accompanied by an increase in breadth dimensions. The resulting combination resembles the Late Series in indices but is markedly different from the Early and Middle Series indices.

The very large coefficients found in comparing the Valley series with other groups were due to the general excess in over-all dimensions present in the former. This is probably due to the bias toward masculinity previously referred to. Consequently, the results are not closely comparable in magnitude to the coefficients calculated by von Bonin and Morant and the compilation in Table 3 has only internal consistency.

One of the conspicuous traits of the California tri-horizon series is the absolute as well as relative high-headedness. This is reflected

$\bar{n}=$ Average number of individuals available for characters used. ( ) = Number of cranial measurements and indices compared.

1. Calculated from data in von Bonin and Morant, 1939, pp. 98, 109.
2. Calculated from data in Snow, 1948, pp. $440-44$.
3. Calculated from data in Hrdlicka, 19 44 , p. 410.
in the calculated cranial capacities and cranial modules and also in comparisons of relative height with other groups. The Mean Height Index, calculated from the means of length, breadth, and height, is: Early 86.2 (39), Middle 86.4 (52), and Late 87.5 (41), each of which is very high for undeformed Indian crania. When compared with a Mean Height Index list of twenty-nine locations or tribes compiled by Stewart (1940, p. 27), the Late Series is exceeded by only 10.3 percent of the groups, the Middle Series by only 13.8 percent, and the Early Series by only 20.7 percent.

The stature of the three series is quite similar. Stature was calculated by the Lee-Pearson formula (Hooton, 1946, pp. 728-729) and its comparability to stature measured on the living is not certain in all groups. All three series can be generally said to be in the upper range of medium stature. There are taller living groups in the Southwest, such as the Mohave, Pima, Yuma, and Maricopa, but also a large number of shorter groups, including all the Pueblo peoples and most of the northern Mexican groups (Gabel, 1949, pol7)。 There are few sizable series of living California Indian groups with which to compare the Valley series. Generally, the Central Valley people appear to have been taller than living groups in Northwest California, and closer to the coastal groups of Southern California (Gifford, op. cit., po 232).

Summary and Conclusions
A collection of skeletal remains of one hundred and forty adult male individuals from the Central Valley has been compared and analyzed. The collection was divided into three series, Early, Middle, and Late, corresponding to three sequential cultural horizons established on archaeological evidence. Time estimates for the combined duration of the three horizons range from approximately two thousand to over four thousand years. The series were analyzed statistically for significant metrical differences which were found to be in a minority, approximately twenty percent between Early and Middle and between Middle and Late, and thirty percent between Early and Late. Observed changes in morphological observations largely paralleled the metrical differences. Variability showed no great contrast between the series. Post-cranial measurements and observations were remarkably uniform. The crania were segregated into six rough morphological types mainly on facial characteristicso These types were distributed in differing proporions by horizon, and suggested tentative explanations for the metrical and morphological changes between horizons. A brief and coarse grouping of skeletal pathology indicated a slightly greater incidence of pathological occurrences in the later periods.

Each of the three horizons was compared statistically with certain other cranial series by means of the Reduced Coefficient of Racial Likeness. The results were not entirely satisfactory. The lowest coefficient to the Early Series was with the PreaKoniag crania from Kodiak Island. The Middle Series showed the greatest number of low coefficients,
resembling most closely series from the San Joaquin Valley, San Francisco Bay, Pecos Pueblo, and Pre-Koniag. The Late Series was closest to those of the San Joaquin Valley, Pecos Pueblo, and Pre-Koniag.

It is possible to approach the comparison of such skeletal series from two extremes: one, to emphasize the differences, and ascribe the similarities to the sharing of a common generalized stock; two, to emphasize the resemblances, and pass off the differences as possible sampling errors from inadequate data. This analysis has attempted to steer a middle course neither emphasizing nor depreciating any of the observed differences between horizons. Some are undoubtediy due to sampling errors and the inherent plasticity of the traits. These were pointed out in passing but a number of differences remain to be explained. There is no justification for assuming that all the cranial differences are due to chance variation, and the question remains as to how these are to be interpreted. Two possibilities present themselves in this regard. First, the differences are of a kind such as might appear through changes in a localized population over a long period of time without appreciable outside influence. Second, the differences are of a nature possibly attributable to the influx of a new group which intermingled with the indigenous population.

The first explanation is intimately connected with the time factor,
It would make a considerable difference in estimating what purely local changes may have taken place if the duration of the period covered were accurately known. A denial of appreciable genetic drift over a period of two thousand years could not apply with the same force to four thousand years. This explanation cannot be disproved but other interpretations seem more useful.

The second explanation of the partial replacement of populations from horizon to horizon can at least be supported by some positive evidence. It seems inconceivable that a complete replacement would still show such a small percentage of significant differences between periods, and the continuity of many of the cultural items from Early through Late would argue against such major population replacement. The evidence from the morphological types, subjective as they may be, favors the hypothesis that each horizon may have seen a new group enter and mix with the preceding people. In no case does this new group form as much as fifty percent of the population in the next horizon. The observed grouping of morphological types by horizon would seem to indicate that the new group entering with the Middle period was mainly of the Long-Faced Type and the new group in Late times was of the Round-Vaulted variety.

The results of this investigation have not always been clear-cut in all details. This limitation is shared with similar investigations known to the author and is attributablep not only to the sparsity of good material but also the enormous complexity of the largely unknown genetic processes involved. A study of many of the measurements and indices produced negligible results, especially in regard to the post-cranial data. Future
studies on the area may profit from this analysis, however, and achieve better results by concentrating on more limited traits and aspects of the problem.
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| $\stackrel{-\underset{\infty}{\infty}}{\underset{\sim}{\circ}}$ | Bicondylar Width: | No. | Range | Mean | S.E. | $d / \sigma_{d}$ | S.D. | S.E. | V。 | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\circ}$ | Early | 27 | 119-145 | 130.80 | 1.30 | E-M 0.32 | 6.61 | 0.92 | 5.06 | 0.69 |
| + | Middle | 37 | 120-151. | 130.18 | 1.15 | M-L 0.20 | 6.90 | 0.81 | 5.30 | 0.62 |
| $\bigcirc$ | Late | 35 | 118-141 | 129.88 | 0.90 | E-L 0.62 | 5.25 | 0.64 | 4.04 | 0.48 |
| $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | Height of Symphysis: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 40 | 33-48 | 40.00 | 0.56 | E-M 4.52 | 3.48 | 0.39 | 8.70 | 0.97 |
|  | Middle | 52 | 32-44 | 37.88 | 0.37 | M-L 0.67 | 2.64 | 0.26 | 6.96 | 0.68 |
|  | Late | 40 | 33-43 | 37.50 | 0.43 | E-L 3.54 | 2.71 | 0.31 | 7.22 | 0.81 |
| Diagonal Width: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 36 | 96-124 | 110.56 | 1.06 | E-M 3.60 | 6.26 | 0.75 | 5.66 | 0.67 |
|  | Middle | 49 | 95-123 | 105.81 | 0.82 | M-L 0.54 | 5.65 | 0.58 | 5.34 | 0.54 |
|  | Late | 38 | 93-121 | 105.13. | 0.98 | E-L 3.76 | 5.96 | 0.69 | 5.67 | 0.65 |
| N Height of Ascending Ramus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Early | 38 | 52-74 | 61.82 | 0.83 | E-M 1.35 | 5.04 | 0.59 | 8.15 | 0.94 |
|  | Middle | 52 | 49-70 | 60.31 | 0.74 | M-L 2.08 | 5.27 | 0.52 | 8.74 | 0.86 |
|  | Late | 39 | 52-75 | 62.45 | 0.66 | E-L 0.63 | 4.10 | 0.47 | 6.58 | 0.74 |
| Minimum Breadth of Ascending Ramus: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 45 | 30-40 | 36.18 | 0.35 | E-M 2.02 | 2.29 | 0.24 | 6.51 | 0.69 |
|  | Middle | 52 | 29-41 | 35.19 | 0.34 | M-L 2.35 | 2.46 | 0.24 | 6.98 | 0.68 |
|  | Late | 40 | 32-42 | 36.35 | 0.35 | E-L 0.34 | 2.19 | 0.25 | 6.02 | 0.67 |
| Mean Angle Lower Jaw: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 38 | 103-132 | 121.08 | 0.93 | E-M 1.36 | 5.65 | 0.66 | 4.67 | 0.54 |
|  | Middle | 52 | 108-132 | 119.46 | 0.76 | M-L 0.63 | 5.40 | 0.53 | 4.52 | 0.44 |
|  | Late | 38 | 106-128 | 118.76 | 0.78 | E-L 1.91 | 4.76 | 0.55 | 4.01 | 0.46 |
|  | Masion-Prosthion Angle: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 28 | 83-93 | 88.11 | 0.48 | E-M 4.20 | 2.46 | 0.34 | 2.79 | 0.37 |
|  | Middle | 48 | 82-90 | 85.81 | 0.31 | M-L 2.25 | 2.11 | 0.22 | 2.46 | 0.25 |
|  | Late | 38 | 77-90 | 84.63 | 0.44 | E-L 5.29 | 2.66 | 0.31 | 3.14 | 0.36 |


|  | Nasion-Nasospinale Angle: | No. | Range | Mean | S.E. | $d / \sigma_{d}$ | S.D. | S.E. | V。 | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Early | 28 | 78-91 | 85.43 | 0.61 | E-M 4.37 | 3.18 | 0.43 | 3.72 | 0.50 |
| $\bigcirc$ | Middle | 50 | 83-96 | 88.68 | 0.44 | M-L 4.69 | 3.09 | 0.31 | 3.49 | 0.35 |
| + | Late | 38 | 79-92 | 85.58 | 0.49 | E-L 0.20 | 3.01 | 0.35 | 3.52 | 0.40 |
| $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | Cranial Capacity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 34 | 1374-1793 | 1588.74 | 17.90 | E-M 2.27 | 102.90 | 12.66 | 6.48 | 0.78 |
|  | Middle | 49 | 1404-1794 | 1541.00 | 12.39 | M-L 1.90 | 85.80 | 8.75 | 5.57 | 0.56 |
|  | Late | 39 | 1405-1847 | 1576.50 | 14.05 | E-L 0.54 | 86.70 | 9.94 | 5.50 | 0.62 |
|  | Cranial Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 41 | 66.5-85.6 | 75.78 | 0.55 | E-M 0.62 | 3.48 | 0.39 | 4.59 | 0.51 |
|  | Middle | 52 | 70.4-85.6 | 76. 20 | 0.42 | M-L 7.03 | 3.01 | 0.30 | 3.95 | 0.39 |
|  | Late | 40 | 74.9-87.3 | 80.68 | 0.48 | E-L 6.70 | 3.01 | 0.34 | 3.73 | 0.42 |
| $\underset{\text { N Height-Length Index: }}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | Early | 30 | 71.4-83.9 | 76.83 | 0.53 | E-M 1.16 | 2.88 | 0.38 | 3.75 | 0.48 |
|  | Middle | 48 | 69.2-83.3 | 76.03 | 0.43 | M-L 4.60 | 2.92 | 0.30 | 3.84 | 0.39 |
|  | Late | 40 | 73.0-84.2 | 78.85 | 0.43 | E-L 3.00 | 2.71 | 0.31 | 3.44 | 0.38 |
|  | Height-Breadth Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 30 | 95.4-108.1 | 101.20 | 0.59 | E-M 1.66 | 3.19 | 0.42 | 3.15 | 0.41 |
|  | Middle | 48 | 92.5-109.0 | 99.76 | 0.58 | M-L 2.02 | 3.95 | 0.41 | 3.98 | 0.40 |
|  | Late | 39 | 89.5-105.9 | 98.14 | 0.53 | E-L 3.84 | 3.27 | 0.37 | 3.33 | 0.38 |
|  | Fronto-Parietal Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 41 | 62.8-72.6 | 67.52 | 0.34 | E-M 0.74 | 2.16 | 0.24 | 3.20 | 0.35 |
|  | middle | 52 | 63.8-73.5 | 68.20 | 0.77 | M-L 0.80 | 5.46 | 0.54 | 8.02 | 0.79 |
|  | Late | 40 | 62.2-73.0 | 67.42 | 0.47 | E-L 0.17 | 2.96 | 0.33 | 4.39 | 0.49 |
|  | Auricular Height-Length Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 31 | 61.8-70.7 | 65.53 | 0.39 | E-M 1.24 | 2.12 | 0.27 | 3.23 | 0.41 |
|  | kiddle | 51 | $62.3=70.7$ | 66.15 | 0.31 | M-L 5.90 | 2.20 | 0.22 | 3.33 | 0.33 |
|  | Late | 38 | 64.9-80.1 | 69.66 | 0.55 | E-L 5.88 | 3.36 | 0.39 | 4.82 | 0.55 |
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| $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | Left Humerus，Minimum Shaft | No． Circ | Range ference： | Mean | S．E。 | $d / \sigma^{\text {d }}$ | S．D． | SoE。 | V 。 | S．E． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Early | 35 | 56－71 | 63.20 | 0.62 | E－M 0.03 | 3.61 | 0.44 | 5.71 | 0.68 |
| O | Middle | 30 | 57－71 | 63.17 | 0.68 | MoL 1.30 | 3.64 | 0.48 | 5.76 | 0.74 |
| $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | Late | 35 | 57－69 | 62.09 | 0.50 | E－L 1.39 | 2.91 | 0.35 | 4.69 | 0.56 |
|  | Right Radius，Maximum Length： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 20 | 238－285 | 259．25 | 2.89 | E－M 1.84 | 12.60 | 2.04 | 4.86 | 0.77 |
|  | Middle | 20 | 233－284 | 252.00 | 2.66 | M－L 1.00 | 11.60 | 1.88 | 4.60 | 0.73 |
|  | Late | 27 | 229－278 | 255.56 | 2.38 | E－L 1.10 | 12.11 | 1.68 | 4.74 | 0.65 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 27 | 240－283 | 260.33 | 2.17 | E－M 2.25 | 11.07 | 1.54 | 4.25 | 0.58 |
|  | middle | 18 | 230－283 | 252． 55 | 2.97 | M－L 1.09 | 12.25 | 2.10 | 4.84 | 0.81 |
|  | Late | 29 | 233－278 | 256．62 | 2.20 | E－L 1.24 | 11.63 | 1.55 | 4.53 | 0.60 |
| $\underbrace{6}_{6}$ | Right Ulna，Maximum Length： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 22 | 269－308 | 280.54 | 2.37 | E－M 1.29 | 10.88 | 1.68 | 3.88 | 0.58 |
|  | Middle | 20 | 254－303 | 276．00 | 2.60 | M mL 0.10 | 11.35 | 1.84 | 4.11 | 0.65 |
|  | Late | 24 | 252－298 | 276．38 | 2.65 | E－L 1.16 | 12.71 | 1.87 | 4.60 | 0.66 |
|  | Left Ulna，Maximum Length： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 18 | 267－303 | 280．00 | 2.40 | E－M 2.49 | 9．90 | 1.70 | 3.54 | 0.59 |
|  | Middle | 18 | 252－301 | 270．90 | 2.76 | M－L 1.19 | 11.40 | 1.95 | 4.22 | 0.70 |
|  | Late | 28 | 246－296 | 275.28 | 2.35 | E－L 1.40 | 12.20 | 1.66 | 4.43 | 0.59 |
|  | Right Innominate，Height： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 22 | 202－243 | 224.36 | 1.94 | E－M 2.69 | 8.89 | 1.37 | 3.96 | 0.60 |
|  | Middle | 20 | 201－238 | 216．40 | 2.25 | M－L 0.01 | 9.82 | 1.59 | 4.53 | 0.72 |
|  | Late | 29 | 197－236 | 216．38 | 1.86 | E－L 2.93 | 9.85 | 1.32 | 4.55 | 0.60 |
|  | Left Innominate，Height： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 24 | 200－247 | 225.25 | 2.08 | E－M 2.73 | 9.99 | 1.47 | 4.44 | 0.64 |
|  | Middle | 21 | 202－237 | 217.14 | 2.11 | M－L 0.49 | 9.45 | 1.50 | 4.35 | 0.67 |
|  | Late | 27 | 197－239 | 215.78 | 1.81 | E－L 3.45 | 9.24 | 1.28 | 4.28 | 0．58 |


| $\stackrel{\sigma}{\sigma}$ | Right Innominate, Breadth: | No. | Range | Mean | SoE。 | $d / \sigma_{d}$ | S.D. | S.E. | V. | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ur | Early | 20 | 146-172 | 162.50 | 1.32 | E-M 1.42 | 5.73 | 0.93 | 3.52 | 0.56 |
| $\bigcirc$ | Middle | 17 | 151-175 | 159.68 | 1.49 | M-L 0.68 | 6.33 | 1.05 | 3.96 | 0.68 |
| $\pm$ | Late | 19 | 140-176 | 157.79 | 2.28 | E-L 1.81 | 9.67 | 1.61 | 6.13 | 0.99 |
|  | Left Innominate, Breadth: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 24 | 146-177 | 161.62 | 1.40 | E-M 1.50 | 6.74 | 0.99 | 4.17 | 0.60 |
|  | Middle | 18 | 150-173 | 158.50 | 1.52 | M-L 0.39 | 6.29 | 1.08 | 43.97 | 0.66 |
|  | Late | 23 | 143-169 | 157.63 | 1.60 | E-L 1.88 | 7.50 | 1.13 | 4.76 | 0.70 |
|  | Sagittal Diameter of Pelvic Inlet: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 14 | 102-126 | 113.64 | 1.78 | E-M 3.01 | 6.40 | 1.26 | 5.82 | 1.10 |
|  | Middle | 21 | 94-120 | 106.88 | 1.40 | M-L 0.47 | 6.25 | 0.99 | 5.85 | 0.50 |
|  | Late | 24 | 92-122 | 108.00 | 1.86 | E-L 2.02 | 8.92 | 1.31 | 8.26 | 1.19 |
| ${ }^{\sim}$ | Transverse Diameter of Pelvic Inlet: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sigma$ | Early | 15 | 119-136 | 129.80 | 1.55 | E-M 2.22 | 5.81 | 1.10 | 4.48 | 0.82 |
| 0 | Middle | 21 | 113-137 | 125.07 | 1.45 | M-L 0.92 | 6.50 | 1.02 | 4.31 | 0.66 |
|  | Late | 24 | 108-140 | 127.00 | 1.50 | E-L 1.24 | 7.22 | 1.06 | 5.68 | 0.82 |
|  | Right Innominate, Breadth of Ischiatic Notch: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 17 | 35-57 | 43.68 | 1.42 | E-M 1.16 | 5.66 | 1.00 | 12.39 | 2.12 |
|  | Middle | 13 | 41-56 | 48.04 | 1.39 | M-L 0.73 | 4.80 | 0.98 | 9.98 | 1.96 |
|  | Late | 33 | 35-60 | 45.65 | 1.09 | E-L 0.02 | 6.16 | 0.77 | 13.48 | 1.66 |
|  | Left Innominate, Breadth of Ischiatic Notch: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 10 | 40-55 | 46.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | middle | 13 | 44-53 | 48.96 | 0.70 | M-L 2.14 |  | 0.50 |  | 0.98 |
|  | Late | 31 | 32-62 | 44.95 | 1.18 |  | 6.44 | 0.83 | 14.32 | 1.82 |
|  | Right Scapula, Morphological Breadth: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 3 | 158-164 | 159.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Middle | 5 | 147-167 | 157.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Late | 15 | 144-180 | 159.57 | 2.59 |  | 9.68 | 1.83 | 6.07 | 1.11 |




| $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma}}{\square}$ | Right Femur, | Index | No. eria | Range | Mean | S.E. | $\mathrm{d} / \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}$ | S.D. | S.E. | V。 | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $u$ |  | Early | 43 | 72.7-123.1 | 93.78 | 1.39 | E-1M 0.02 | 9.04 | 0.99 | 9.63 | 1.04 |
| $\bigcirc$ |  | Middle | 33 | 81.8-114.3 | 93.73 | 1.45 | M-L 2.37 | 8.23 | 1.02 | 8.78 | 1.08 |
| O |  | Late | 38 | 75.0-143.5 | 100.66 | 2.43 | E-L 2.53 | 14.75 | 1.71 | 14.67 | 1.68 |
| $\bigcirc$ | Left Femur, Index of Platymeria: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Early | 41 | 76.5-107.1 | 88.76 | 1.13 | E-M. 2.75 | 7.16 | 0.80 | 8.07 | 0.89 |
|  |  | Middle | 33 | 75.9-108.8 | 93.45 | 1.28 | M-L 0.78 | 7.27 | 0.91 | 7.79 | 0.96 |
|  |  | Late | 38 | 72.7-125.0 | 94.39 | 2.03 | E-L 2.91 | 12.32 | 7.43 | 12.94 | 1.48 |
|  | Right Femur, Middle Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Early | 41 | 79.4-103.7 | 91.49 | 0.86 | E-M 0.60 | 5.41 | 0.60 | 5.92 | 0.65 |
|  |  | Middle | 33 | 74.2-104.0 | 90.56 | 1.35 | M-L 1.87 | 7.66 | 0.96 | 8.46 | 1.04 |
|  |  | Late | 39 | 78.1-100.0 | 87.67 | 0.84 | E-L 3.17 | 5.20 | 0.60 | 5.93 | 0.67 |
| $\omega_{0}$ Left Femur, Middle Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Early | 40 | 81.8-100.0 | 93.15 | 0.81 | E-M 0.87 | 5.04 | 0.57 | 5.41 | 0.60 |
|  |  | Middle | 33 | 77.4-104.2 | 91.90 | 1.24 | M-L 1.39 | 7.02 | 0.88 | 7.065 | 0.94 |
|  |  | Late | 38 | 72.2-103.7 | 89.53 | 1.16 | E-L 2.58 | 7.08 | 0.82 | 7.92 | 0.91 |
|  | Right Femur, Pilastric Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Early | 41 | 96.4-125.9 | 109.88 | 1.03 | E-M 0.64 | 6.51 | 0.73 | 5.92 | 0.65 |
|  |  | Middle | 33 | 96.2-134.8 | 111.00 | 1.67 | M-L 1.63 | 9.45 | 1.18 | 8.50 | 1.05 |
|  |  | Late | 39 | 100.0-128.0 | 114.28 | 1.11 | E-L 2.91 | 6.82 | 0.78 | 5.96 | 0.68 |
|  | Left Femur, Pilastric Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Early | 40 | 100:0-122.2 | 108.35 | 0.94 | E-1 0.446 | 5.88 | 0.67 | 5.42 | 0.61 |
|  |  | Middle | 33 | 96.0-129.2 | 109.09 | 1.46 | M-L 1.46 | 8.28 | 1.03 | 7.54 | 0.93 |
|  |  | Late | 38 | 93.1-126.9 | 111.84 | 1.25 | E-L 2.25 | 7.60 | 0.88 | 6.79 | 0.78 |
|  | Right Femur, Index of Robusticity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Early | 33 | 111.8-141.2 | 126.70 | 1.04 | E-M 1.05 | 5.87 | 0.73 | 4.64 | 0.57 |
|  |  | Middle | 23 | 110.2-134.7 | 124.94 | 1.35 | M-L 0.88 | 6.35 | 0.96 | 5.08 | 0.75 |
|  |  | Late | 33 | 112.1-147.6 | 126.59 | 1.25 | E-L 0.07 | 7.10 | 0.89 | 5.61 | 0.69 |




| $\begin{gathered} \text {-9 } \\ \stackrel{\infty}{5} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Left Radius, Humero-Radial | No. | Range | Mean | S.E. | $d / \sigma_{d}$ | S.D. | S.E. | V。 | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ir | Early | 21 | $75.7-85.4$ | 79.93 | 0.58 | E-M 1.38 | 2.61 | 0.41 | 3.27 | 0.50 |
| $\cdots$ | Middle | 13 | 76.3-83.2 | 78.69 | 0.66 | MaL 0.41 | 2.30 | 0.47 | 2.92 | 0.57 |
| $\bigcirc$ | Late | 26 | 75.4-81.4 | 78.42 | 0.33 | E-L 2.37 | 1.66 | 0.23 | 2.12 | 0.29 |
| $\stackrel{\square}{0}$ | Right Innominate, Breadth-Height Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 17 | 127.5-146.3 | 138.76 | 1.26 | E-M 2.24 | 5.04 | 0.89 | 3.63 | 0.62 |
|  | Middle | 17 | 127.0-143.0 | 135.09 | 1.04 | M-L 1.32 | 4.15 | 0.73 | 3.07 | 0.53 |
|  | Late | 18 | 129.0-149.3 | 137.22 | 1.23 | E-L 0.97 | 5.08 | 0.87 | 3.70 | 0.62 |
|  | Left Innominate, Breadth-Height Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 19 | 128.2-145.2 | 138.47 | 1.12 | E-M 1.31 | 4.76 | 0.79 | 3.44 | 0.56 |
|  | Middle | 16 | 128.0-1.42.5 | 136.38 | 1.01 | M-L 0.39 | 3.90 | 0.71 | 2.86 | 0.51 |
|  | Late | 19 | 125.7-147.0 | 137.00 | 1.10 | E-L 0.94 | 4.68 | 0.78 | 3.42 | 0.55 |
| $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { A }}$ | Index of Pelvic Inlet: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $N$ | Early | 14 | 75.6-96.2 | 87.14 | 1.75 | E-M1.21 | 6.30 | 1.24 | 7.24 | 1.37 |
| - | Middle | 21 | 72.0-93.0 | 84.60 | 1.25 | M-L 0.48 | 5.60 | 0.88 | 6.62 | 1.02 |
|  | Late | 24 | 71.4-100.7 | 85.58 | 1.59 | E-L 0.63 | 7.61 | 1.12 | 8.89 | 1.27 |
|  | Right Scapula, Scapular Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 2 | 64.0-69.7 | 67.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Middle | 5 | 65.5-70.1 | 67.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Late | 14 | 60.6-72.2 | 68.43 | 0.87 |  | 3.15 | 0.62 | 4.61 | 0.87 |
|  | Left Scapula, Scapular Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 3 | 64.2-69.3 | 66.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Middle | 4 | 60.1-69.2 | 65.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Late | 13 | 64.3-72.3 | 68.12 | 0.64 |  | 2.20 | 0.45 | 3.23 | 0.63 |
|  | Right Scapula, Infra-Spinal Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | $3$ | 110.4-122.6 | 115.83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Middle | 7 | 110.4-123.8 | 115.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Late | 17 | 100.9-124.1 | 109.47 | 1.41 |  | 5.64 | 1.00 | 5.15 | 0.88 |


| $\stackrel{H}{\Phi}$ | Left Scapula, Infra-Spinal Index: d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| © | Early | 6 | 99.9-123.6 | 113.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | Middle | 8 | 106.6-124.3 | 116.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| O | Late | 16 | 105.4-119.1 | 112.06 | 1, 24 |  | 4.81 | 0.88 | 4.29 | 0.76 |
| $\pm$ | Right Scapula, Supra-Spinous Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 。 | Early | 2 | 46.2-49.5 | 48.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Middle | 7 | 43.2-57.3 | 51.36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Late | 16 | 40.4-68.8 | 53.62 | 1.74 |  | 6.73 | 1.23 | 12.55 | 2.22 |
|  | Left Scapula, Supra-Spinous Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 3 | 41.0-52.2 | 47.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Middle | 5 | 44.3-57.9 | 50.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Late | 15 | 43.2-57.3 | 51.97 | 1.06 |  | 3.96 | 0.75 | 7.62 | 1.39 |
| . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Right Clavicle, Length-Thickness Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Early | 20 | 204.2-312.9 | 243.50 | 5.58 | E-M 0.02 | 24.35 | 3.95 | 10.00 | 1.58 |
|  | Middle | 19 | 220.5-298.0 | 243.66 | 5.68 | M-L 1.94 | 24.10 | 4.01 | 9.90 | 1.61 |
|  | Late | 30 | 200.2-281.0 | 230.65 | 3.93 | E-L 1.94 | 21.12 | 2.78 | 9.16 | 1.18 |
|  | Left Clavicle, Length-Thickness Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 15 | 204.2-276.8 | 238.17 | 5.02 | E-M 0.16 | 18.78 | 3.55 | 7.88 | 1.44 |
|  | Middle | 20 | 202.8-276.8 | 237.00 | 4.82 | M-L 2.44 | 21.05 | 3.41 | 8.89 | 1.41 |
|  | Late | 28 | 196.2-256.4 | 223.57 | 3.13 | E-L 2.59 | 16.28 | 2.21 | 7.28 | 0.97 |
|  | Sacral Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early | 16 | 90.4-111.8 | 102.62 | 1.75 | E-M 1.02 | 6.79 | 1.24 | 6.62 | 1.17 |
|  | Middle | 20 | 91.0-140.9 | 106. 25 | 2.85 | M-L 1.96 | 12.42 | 2.01 | 11.70 | 1.85 |
|  | Late | 25 | 96.0-129.7 | 112.26 | 1.52 | E-L 4.08 | 7.44 | 2.07 | 6.62 | 0.94 |

TABULATION OF CRANIAL MORPHOLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS.

8. Form:

Ellipsoid
Ovoid
Spheroid
Pentagonoid
Rhomboid
Sphenoid
Brisoid
9. Brow Ridges:

Median
Divided
Continuous
10. Brow Ridges Size:

Trace
Small
Medium
Large
Very Large.
11. Glabella:

Small
Medium:
Large
Very Large
12. Frontal Height:

Very Low
Low
Medium
High
Very High
13. Frontal Slope: None, Bulging Slight Medium Pronounced
Very Pronounced

Early
No. Percent No. Percent No. $\frac{\text { Percent }}{}$

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 28.89 | 23 | 43.40 | 4 | 9.76 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 8.89 | 2 | 3.77 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 11.11 | 8 | 15.09 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 42.22 | 16 | 30.19 | 33 | 80.49 |
| $\frac{4}{45}$ | 8.89 | $\frac{4}{53}$ | 7.55 | $\frac{4}{41}$ | 9.76 |


| 32 | 71.11 | 37 | 69.81 | 40 | 97.56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 26.67 | 16 | 30.19 | 0 | 0 |
| $\frac{1}{45}$ | 2.22 | $\frac{0}{53}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{41}$ | 2.44 |


| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 4.35 | 5 | 9.43 | 2 | 4.88 |
| 17 | 36.96 | 23 | 43.40 | 27 | 65.85 |
| 21 | 45.65 | 17 | 32.08 | 11 | 26.83 |
| $\frac{6}{46}$ | 13.04 | $\frac{8}{53}$ | 15.09 | $\frac{1}{41}$ | 2.44 |


| 1 | 2.27 | 5 | 9.43 | 5 | 12.20 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 17 | 38.64 | 22 | 41.51 | 29 | 70.73 |
| 22 | 50.00 | 19 | 35.85 | .7 | 17.07 |
| $\frac{4}{44}$ | 9.09 | $\frac{7}{53}$ | 13.21 | $\frac{0}{41}$ | 0 |


| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 22.22 | 20 | 38.46 | 23 | 56.10 |
| 30 | 66.67 | 26 | 50.00 | 16 | 39.02 |
| 5 | 11.11 | 6 | 11.54 | 2 | 4.88 |
| $\frac{0}{45}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{52}$ | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 |


| 1 | 2.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 40.00 | 16 | 30.77 | 5 | 12.20 |
| 26 | 57.78 | 24 | 46.15 | 18 | 43.90 |
| 0 | 0 | 12 | 23.08 | 18 | 43.90 |
| $\frac{0}{45}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{52}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{41}$ | 0 |
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No. $\frac{\text { Early }}{\text { Percent }}$ No。 $\frac{\text { Middle }}{\text { Percent }}$ No。 $\frac{\text { Late }}{\text { Percent }}$
22. Temporal Fullness: Flat Small Medium Large
23. Temporal Crests:

Low
Medium High
24. Supramastoid Crests:

Small
Medium
Large
25. Sphenoid Depression:

Medium
Large
26. Occipital Curve:

None
Small
Medium
Pronounced

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 8.89 | 13 | 24.53 | 11 | 26.83 |
| 35 | 77.78 | 34 | 64.15 | 22 | 53.66 |
| $\frac{6}{45}$ | 13.33 | $\frac{6}{53}$ | 11.32 | $\frac{8}{41}$ | 19.51 |

27. Inion:

None
Small
Medium
Large
28. Torus:

Absent
Small
Medium
Large
29. Shape of Torus:

Ridge
Mound

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 26.09 | 20 | 37.74 | 22 | 53.66 |
| 20 | 43.48 | 27 | 50.94 | 16 | 39.02 |
| $\underline{14}$ | 30.43 | $\frac{6}{53}$ | 11.32 | $\frac{3}{41}$ | 7.32 |

Table 6 contld.
30. Lambdoid Flattening None Small Medium Pronounced
31. Transverse Suture: Absent Present
32. Lambdoid Wormian Bones: None Few Medium Many
33. Other Wormian Bones: Absent Coronal Sagittal Temporo-occipital Other
34. Glenoid Fossa Depth: Small Medium Large
35. Postglenoid Process:

Small Medium Large
None
Few
Medium
Many
33. Other Wormian Bones:

Early Middle Late
No. Percent No. Percent No. Percent

| 11 | 24.44 | 9 | 16.98 | 4 | 9.76 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 18 | 40.00 | 25 | 47.17 | 11 | 26.83 |
| 16 | 35.56 | 17 | 32.08 | 20 | 48.78 |
| $\frac{0}{45}$ | 0 | $\frac{2}{53}$ | 3.77 | $\frac{6}{41}$ | 14.63 |


| 27 | 72.97 | 42 | 80.77 | 30 | 73.17 |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 10 | 27.03 | 6 | 11.54 | 10 | 24.39 |
| 0 | 0 | 4 | 7.69 | 1 | 2.44 |
| $\frac{0}{37}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{52}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{41}$ | 0 |


| 36 | 100.00 | 43 | 84.31 | 37 | 90.24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.96 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 7 | 13.73 | 4 | 9.76 |
| $\frac{0}{36}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{51}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{41}$ | 0 |


| 15 | 33.33 | 15 | 28.30 | 18 | 43.90 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 23 | 51.11 | 26 | 49.06 | 16 | 39.02 |
| $\frac{7}{45}$ | 15.56 | $\frac{12}{53}$ | 22.64 | $\frac{7}{41}$ | 17.07 |


| 7 | 15.56 | 22 | 41.51 | 23 | 56.10 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 20 | 44.44 | 19 | 35.85 | 14 | 34.14 |
| $\frac{18}{45}$ | 40.00 | $\frac{12}{53}$ | 22.64 | $\frac{4}{41}$ | 9.76 |

36. Tympanic Plate:

Thin Medium
Thick
Very Thick

| 2 | 4.55 | 7 | 13.21 | 3 | 7.32 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 11 | 25.00 | 21 | 39.62 | 10 | 24.39 |
| 19 | 43.18 | 19 | 35.85 | 25 | 60.97 |
| $\frac{12}{44}$ | 27.27 | $\frac{6}{53}$ | 11.32 | $\frac{3}{41}$ | 7.32 |
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37．Auditory Meatus：
Round
Oval
Ellipse
slit

38．Orbits Shape：
Oblong：－
Rhomboid
Square
Ellipse
Round

No。：$\frac{\text { Early }}{\text { Percent }}$ No。 $\frac{\text { Middle }}{\text { Percent }}$ No。 $\frac{\text { Late }}{\text { Percent }}$

| 2 | 4.55 | 2 | 3.85 | 1 | 2.44 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 30 | 60.18 | 27 | 51.92 | 33 | 80.49 |
| 9 | 20.45 | 17 | 32.69 | 6 | 14.63 |
| $\frac{3}{44}$ | 6.82 | $\frac{6}{52}$ | 11.54 | $\frac{1}{41}$ | 2.44 |


| 4 | 12.50 | 4 | 7.84 | 1 | 2.63 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 37.50 | 19 | 37.25 | 19 | 50.00 |
| 16 | 50.00 | 28 | 54.90 | 18 | 47.37 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\frac{0}{32}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{51}$ | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 |

39．Orbits Inclination：

## None

Small
Medium
Pronounced

40．Suborbital Fossa：

| Absent | 2 | 5.13 | 5 | 9.43 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Slight | 13 | 33.33 | 25 | 47.17 | 22 | 56.41 |
| Medium | 19 | 48.72 | 19 | 35.85 | 15 | 38.46 |
| Deep | $\underline{2}$ | 5.13 | $\underline{4}$ | 7.55 | $\underline{2}$ | 5.13 |

41．Malar Size：

| Small | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.50 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Medium | 5 | 12.20 | 6 | 11.32 | 13 | 32.50 |
| Large | 21 | 51.22 | 34 | 64.15 | 23 | 67.50 |
| Very Large | $\frac{15}{41}$ | 36.59 | $\frac{13}{53}$ | 24.53 | $\frac{3}{40}$ | 7.50 |

42．Malar Lateral Projection：
Small
Medium
Large

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6 | 17.65 | 2 | 3.92 | 10 | 25.00 |
| $\frac{28}{34}$ | 82.35 | $\frac{49}{51}$ | 96.08 | $\frac{29}{40}$ | 72.50 |

43．Malar Anterior Projection：

| Small | 1 | 2.94 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.50 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Medium | 9 | 26.47 | 14 | 26.42 | 12 | 30.00 |
| Large | $\frac{24}{34}$ | 70.59 | $\frac{39}{53}$ | 73.58 | $\frac{27}{40}$ | 67.50 |

Table 6 cont ${ }^{\text {d }}$ ．

|  | Early |  | Middle |  | Late |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Percent | No. | Percent | No. | Percent |
| 44. Malar Marginal Process: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absent | 7 | 17.50 | 14 | 26.42 | 3 | 7.50 |
| Submedium | 16 | 40.00 | 27 | 50.94 | 28 | 70.00 |
| Medium | 16 | 40.00 | 10 | 18.87 | 9 | 22.50 |
| Large | $\frac{1}{40}$ | 2.50 | $\frac{2}{53}$ | 3.77 | $\frac{0}{40}$ | 0 |
| 45. Zygomatic Process Thickness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Medium | 10 | 36.63 | 21 | 42.00 | 23 | 58.97 |
| Pronounced | $\frac{17}{27}$ | 63.37 | $\frac{29}{50}$ | 58.00 | $\frac{16}{39}$ | 41.03 |
| 46. Nasion Depression: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.44 |
| Small | 15 | 34.88 | 27 | 50.94 | 24 | 58.54 |
| Medium | 23 | 53.49 | 24 | 45.28 | 15 | 36.59 |
| Deep | $\frac{5}{43}$ | 11.63 | $\frac{2}{53}$ | 3.77 | $\frac{1}{41}$ | 2.44 |
| 47. Nasal Root Height: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very Low | 3 | 10.34 | 4 | 7.84 | 4 | 10.53 |
| Low | 15 | 51.72 | 24 | 47.06 | 19 | 50.00 |
| Medium | 9 | 31.03 | 19 | 37.25 | 15 | 39.47 |
| High | 2 | 6.90 | 4 | 7.84 | 0 | 0 |
| Very High | $\frac{0}{29}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{51}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{38}$ | 0 |
| 48. Nasal Root Breadth: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very Small | 2 | 6.90 | 5 | 9.80 | 0 | 0 |
| Small | 16 | 55.17 | 13 | 25.49 | 7 | 18.42 |
| Medium | 10 | 34.48 | 19 | 37.25 | 24 | 63.16 |
| Large | 1 | 3.45 | 12 | 23.53 | 7 | 18.42 |
| Very Large | $\frac{0}{29}$ | 0 | $\frac{2}{51}$ | 3.92 | $\frac{0}{38}$ | 0 |
| 49. Nasal Bridge Height: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very Low | 2 | 8.33 | 3 | 7.14 | 1 | 2.94 |
| Medium | 16 | 66.67 | 21 | 50.00 | 28 | 82.35 |
| High | 5 | 20.83 | 18 | 42.86 | 5 | 14.71 |
| Very High | $\frac{1}{24}$ | 4.17 | $\frac{0}{42}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{34}$ | 0 |
| 50. Nasal Bridge Breadth: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small | 5 | 21.74 | 10 | 25.00 | 4 | 11.11 |
| Medium | 13 | 56. 52 | 21 | 52.50 | 29 | 80.56 |
| Large | $\frac{5}{23}$ | 21.74 | $\frac{9}{40}$ | 22.50 | $\frac{3}{36}$ | 8.33 |
| Table 6 contld. | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |

51. Nasal Profile:

| Straight |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concave |  | 25 | 86.21 | 38 | 76.00 | 37 | 97.37 |
| Concavo-Convex |  | 4 | 13.79 | 12 | 24.00 | 1 | 2.63 |
| Convex |  | 0 | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{08}$ | 0 |

52. Nasal Sills:

Absent
Dull
Medium
Sharp
No. $\frac{\text { Early }}{\text { Percent }}$ No. $\frac{\text { Middle }}{\text { Percent }}$ No. $\frac{\text { Late }}{\text { Percent }}$

| 9 | 21.43 | 6 | 12.00 | 4 | 9.76 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 18 | 42.86 | 16 | 32.00 | 13 | 31.71 |
| 11 | 26.19 | 12 | 24.00 | 15 | 36.59 |
| $\frac{4}{42}$ | 9.52 | $\frac{16}{50}$ | 32.00 |  | 9 |
| 41 | 21.95 |  |  |  |  |

53. Nasal Spine:

Absent
Small
Medium
Large

| 0 | 0 | 2 | 4.17 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 51.61 | 36 | 75.00 | 25 | 64.10 |
| 11 | 35.48 | 6 | 12.50 | 10 | 25.64 |
| $\frac{4}{31}$ | 12.90 | $\frac{4}{48}$ | 8.33 | $\frac{4}{39}$ | 10.26 |

54. Subnasal Grooves:

Absent
Small
Medium
Pronounced

| 14 | 32.56 | 24 | 47.06 | 7 | 17.07 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 20 | 46.51 | 15 | 29.41 | 27 | 65.85 |
| 8 | 18.60 | 11 | 21.57 | 6 | 14.63 |
| $\frac{1}{43}$ | 2.33 | $\frac{1}{51}$ | 1.96 | $\frac{1}{41}$ | 2.44 |

55. Alveolar Prognathism:

| Absent | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.00 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slight | 23 | 62.16 | 22 | 44.00 | 12 | 29.27 |
| Medium | 13 | 35.14 | 26 | 52.00 | 23 | 26.10 |
| Pronounced | $\frac{1}{37}$ | 2.70 | $\frac{1}{50}$ | 2 | $\frac{6}{41}$ | 14.63 |

56. Alveolar Border Absorption:

None
Slight
Medium
Pronounced
$16 \quad 47.06 \quad 11 \quad 20.75 \quad 21 \quad 51.22$
$\begin{array}{llllll}4 & 11.76 & 21 & 39.62 & 11 & 26.83\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}10 & 29.41 & 14 & 26.42 & 8 & 19.51\end{array}$

| $\frac{4}{34}$ | 11.76 | $\frac{7}{53}$ | 13.21 | $\frac{1}{41}$ | 2.44 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

57. Palate Shape:

| Parabolic | 15 | 37.50 | 13 | 27.08 | 13 | 32.50 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hyperbolic | 23 | 57.50 | 31 | 64.58 | 27 | 67.50 |
| Elliptical | 2 | 5.00 | 3 | 6.25 | 0 | 0 |
| Smarl U | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.08 | 0 | 0 |
| Large U | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 |

Table 6 contid.

Early Middle Late
No. Percent No. Percent No. Percent
58. Palate Height:

Low
Medium
High
Very High
59. Palatine Torus Form:

Ridge
Mound
Lump
60. Palatine Torus Size:

Absent
Small Medium
Large
61. Postnasal Spine:

Absent
Small
Medium
Large
62. Mandible Size:

Small
Medium
Large
Very Large

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 25.00 | 18 | 35.29 | 18 | 45.00 |
| 24 | 54.55 | 25 | 49.02 | 22 | 55.00 |
| $\frac{9}{44}$ | 20.45 | $\frac{8}{51}$ | 15.69 | $\frac{0}{40}$ | 0 |

63. Chin Form:

Median
Bilateral
$\begin{array}{llllll}11 & 25.00 & 20 & 39.22 & 16 & 40.00 \\ \frac{33}{44} & 75.00 & \frac{31}{51} & 60.78 & \frac{24}{40} & 60.00\end{array}$
64. Chin Projection:

Negative
Neutral
Small
Medium
Large

| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.00 | 5 | 12.20 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12 | 30.77 | 25 | 50.00 | 26 | 63.41 |
| 26 | 66.67 | 23 | 46.00 | 9 | 21.95 |
| $\frac{1}{38}$ | 2.56 | $\frac{1}{50}$ | 2.00 | $\frac{1}{41}$ | 2.44 |


| 26 | 74.29 | 34 | 79.07 | 32 | 84.21 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 6 | 17.14 | 5 | 11.63 | 4 | 10.53 |
| 3 | 8.57 | $\frac{4}{43}$ | 9.30 | $\frac{0}{36}$ | 0 |


| 0 | 0 | 7 | 14.29 | 1 | 2.70 |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | 74.29 | 37 | 75.71 | 16 | 43.24 |
| 7 | 20.00 | 5 | 10.20 | 20 | 54.05 |
| $\frac{2}{35}$ | 5.71 | $\frac{0}{49}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{37}$ | 0 |


| 0 | 0 | 6 | 12.00 | 1 | 2.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 14 | 45.16 | 28 | 56.00 | 16 | 43.24 |
| 17 | 54.84 | 16 | 32.00 | 19 | 51.35 |
| $\frac{0}{31}$ | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{37}$ | 2.70 |


|  |  | Early |  | Middle |  | Late |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | Percent | No. | Percent | No. | Perce |
| 65. | Mandibular Alveolar Progn | sm: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | None | 14 | 36.84 | 10 | 19.61 | 2 | 5.00 |
|  | Slight | 20 | 52.63 | 35. | 68.63 | 36 | 90.00 |
|  | Medium | 4 | 10.53 | 6 | 11.76 | 2 | 5.00 |
|  | Pronounced | $\frac{0}{38}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{51}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{40}$ | 0 |
| 66. | Genial Tubercles: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Absent | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3.85 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Small | 10 | 22.73 | 35 | 67.31 | 22 | 55.00 |
|  | Medium | 22 | 50.00 | 14 | 26.92 | 17 | 42.50 |
|  | Large | $\frac{12}{44}$ | 27.27 | $\frac{1}{52}$ | 1.92 | $\frac{1}{40}$ | 2.50 |
| 67. | Mylo-hyoid Ridge: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Absent | 6 | 13.64 | 13 | 25.00 | 5 | 12.50 |
|  | Slight | 25 | 56.82 | 37 | 71.15 | 22 | 55.00 |
|  | Medium | 12 | 27.27 | 2 | 3.85 | 12 | 30.00 |
|  | Pronounced | $\frac{1}{44}$ | 2.27 | $\frac{0}{52}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{40}$ | 2.50 |
| 68. | Pterygoid Attachment: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Small | 3 | 6.67 | 2 | 3.85 | 6 | 15,00 |
|  | Medium | 15 | 33.33 | 25 | 48.08 | 25 | 62.50 |
|  | Pronounced | 24 | 53.33 | 20 | 38.46 | 9 | 22.50 |
|  | Very Pronounced | $\frac{3}{45}$ | 6.67 | $\frac{5}{52}$ | 9.62 | $\frac{0}{40}$ | 0 |
| 6 | Gonial Angle Eversion: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | None | 2 | 4.55 | 6 | 11.54 | 5 | 12.50 |
|  | Small | 17 | 38.64 | 23 | 44.23 | 25 | 62.50 |
|  | Medium | 19 | 43.18 | 18 | 34.62 | 8 | 20.00 |
|  | Pronounced | $\frac{6}{44}$ | 13.64 | $\frac{5}{52}$ | 9.62 | $\frac{2}{40}$ | 5.00 |
| 70. | Tooth Eruption: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Incomplete | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.92 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Complete | 34 | 82.93 | 47 | 90.38 | 36 | 90.00 |
|  | Third Molar Supressed | $\frac{6}{40}$ | 14.63 | $\frac{4}{52}$ | 7.69 | $\frac{4}{40}$ | 10.00 |
| 71. | Teeth Lost Ante-Mortem: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $0$ | 25 | 92.50 | 29 | 60.42 | 23 | 57.50 |
|  | 1-4 | 1 | 3.70 | 12 | 25.00 | 16 | 40.00 |
|  | 5-8 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12.50 | 1 | 2.50 |
|  | 9-12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.08 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13-16 | 1 | 3.70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 17-20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 21-x | $\frac{0}{27}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $\overline{27}$ |  | 58 |  | 40 |  |

Table 6 contld.
72. Mandibular Torus:

Small
Medium
Large
73. Teeth Wear:

None
Slight
Medium
Pronounced
Very Pronounced

No. $\frac{\text { Early }}{\text { Percent }}$ No. $\frac{\text { Middle }}{\text { Percent }}$ No. $\frac{\text { Late }}{\text { Percent }}$

| 29 | 65.91 | 45 | 88.24 | 39 | 97.50 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 13 | 29.55 | 6 | 11.76 | 1 | 2.50 |
| $\frac{2}{44}$ | 4.55 | $\frac{0}{51}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{40}$ | 0 |


| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4.35 | 13 | 24.53 | 8 | 20.00 |
| 13 | 28.26 | 20 | 37.74 | 15 | 37.50 |
| 21 | 45.65 | 16 | 30.19 | 15 | 37.50 |
| $\frac{10}{46}$ | 21.74 | $\frac{4}{53}$ | 7.55 | $\frac{2}{40}$ | 5.00 |


| 20 | 74.07 | 13 | 39.39 | 6 | 16.22 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 25.93 | 19 | 57.58 | 29 | 78.38 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.03 | 1 | 2.70 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.70 |
| 0 | 0 | $\frac{0}{27}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{37}$ | 0 |


| 15 | 44.12 | 20 | 38.46 | 19 | 47.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 44.12 | 18 | 34.62 | 15 | 37.50 |
| $\frac{4}{34}$ | 11.76 | $\frac{14}{52}$ | 26.92 | $\frac{6}{40}$ | 15.00 |

76. Abcess Size:

Small
Medium
Large
77. Shovel-shaped Incisors:

Absent
Slight
Medium
Pronounced
Not Observed

| 1 | 2.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6 | 15.00 | 3 | 6.98 | 8 | 20.00 |
| 1 | 2.50 | 13 | 30.23 | 10 | 25.00 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.33 | 2 | 5.00 |
| $\frac{32}{40}$ | 80.00 | $\frac{26}{43}$ | 60.47 | $\frac{20}{40}$ | 50.00 |

78. Bite:

| Under | 1 | 2.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Edge | 36 | 90.00 | 38 | 79.17 | 36 | 94.74 |
| Slight Over | 3 | 7.50 | 9 | 18.75 | 2 | 5.26 |
| Medium Over | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.08 | 0 | 0 |
| Pronounced Over | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{48}$ | 0 |

Table 6 cont'd.
No. $\frac{\text { Early }}{\text { Percent }}$ No: Middle $\quad$ Percent $N o . \frac{\text { Late }}{\text { Percent }}$
79. Crowding:

| Absent | 29 | 70.73 | 37 | 74.00 | 20 | 50.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Slight | 9 | 21.95 | 11 | 22.00 | 17 | 42.50 |
| Medium | 1 | 2.44 | 1 | 2.00 | 3. | 7.50 |
| Pronounced | $\underline{2}$ | 4.88 | $\frac{1}{41}$ | 2.00 | $\frac{0}{0}$ | 0 |

TABULATION OF POST-CRANIAL MORPHOLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS.

## FEMUR:

1. Crista Hypotrochanterica: Absent Submedium
Medium
Pronounced
Very Pronounced
2. Fossa Hypotrochanterica:

Absent
Submedium
Medium
Pronounced
Very Pronounced
3. Third Trochanter:

Absent
Submedium
Medium
Pronounced
Very Pronounced
4. Mid-Shaft Shape:

| Oval | 3 | 7.32 | 1 | 2.78 | 1 | 2.56 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plano-convex | 1 | 2.44 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10.26 |
| Quadrilateral | 3 | 7.32 | 3 | 8.33 | 1 | 2.56 |
| Prismatic | 20 | 48.78 | 17 | 47.22 | 33 | 84.62 |
| Round | $\underline{14}$ | 34.15 | $\frac{15}{36}$ | 41.67 | $\frac{0}{39}$ | 0 |

5. Linea Aspera:

| Absent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Submedium | 6 | 13.95 | 4 | 11.76 | 19 | 48.72 |
| Medium | 13 | 30.23 | 7 | 20.59 | 11 | 28.21 |
| Slight Pilaster | 13 | 30.23 | 12 | 35.29 | 4 | 10.26 |
| Medium Pilaster | 11 | 25.58 | 7 | 20.59 | 4 | 10.26 |
| Pronounced Pilaster | $\frac{0}{43}$ | 0 | $\frac{4}{4}$ | 11.76 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2.56 |
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6. Right Femur Torsion

Negative
Neutral
Slight
Medium
Pronounced
Very Pronounced
7. Left Femur Torsion:

Negative
Neutral
Slight
Medium
Pronounced
Very Pronounced

Early Middle Late No. Percent No. Percent No. Percent

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 18.92 | 2 | 7.69 | 4 | 11.43 |
| 21 | 56.76 | 14 | 53.85 | 10 | 28.57 |
| 9 | 24.32 | 8 | 30.77 | 18 | 51.43 |
| $\frac{0}{37}$ | 0 | $\underline{2}$ | 7.69 | $\frac{3}{86}$ | 8.57 |
| 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 19.44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 50.00 | 21 | 65.63 | 9 | 8.11 |
| 11 | 30.56 | 11 | 34.38 | 21 | 56.32 |
| $\frac{0}{36}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{32}$ | 0 | $\frac{4}{37}$ | 10.81 |

## TIBIA:

8. Mid-shaft Shape:

| Ordinary Prism | 7 | 16.67 | 5 | 14.29 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lateral Prism | 15 | 35.71 | 10 | 28.57 | 11 | 28.21 |
| External Surface Concave | 3 | 7.14 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 58.97 |
| Quadrilateral | 7 | 16.67 | 17 | 48.57 | 4 | 10.26 |
| Posterior Half Oval | 3 | 7.14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.56 |
| Plano-convex | 5 | 11.90 | 3 | 8.57 | 0 | 0 |
| Indefinite | $\underline{2}$ | 4.76 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 |

9. Retroversion of Head:

| Absent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Submedium | 10 | 25.64 | 11 | 32.35 | 5 | 12.82 |
| Medium | 28 | 71.79 | 18 | 52.94 | 34 | 87.18 |
| Pronounced | $\underline{1}$ | 2.56 | $\frac{5}{39}$ | 14.71 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 |

10. Squatting Facets:

| Present | 5 | 13.51 | 6 | 17.65 | 6 | 15.38 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Absent | $\frac{32}{37}$ | 86.49 | $\frac{28}{34}$ | 82.35 | 33 | 84.62 |

## FIBULA:

11. Fluting of Shaft:

| Absent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.63 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Submedium | 9 | 24.32 | 12 | 37.50 | 25 | 65.79 |
| Medium | 27 | 72.97 | 14 | 43.75 | 12 | 31.58 |
| Pronounced | $\frac{1}{37}$ | 2.70 | $\underline{6}$ | 18.75 | $\frac{0}{38}$ | 0 |

Table 7 contid.

Early Middle Late
No. Percent No. Percent No. Percent
12. Shaft Shape:
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Oblong } & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$
Plano-convex
Prismatic
Irregular
Trapezoid
Oval

| 19 | 44.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 17.19 | 27 | 77.14 | 11 | 28.21 |
| 4 | 9.30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23.08 |
| 14 | 32.56 | 2 | 5.71 | 19 | 0 |
| $\frac{0}{43}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{45}$ | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 |

13. Perforation of Olecranon Fossa:

| Absent | 36 | 75.00 | 20 | 58.82 | 24 | 61.54 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Present | 12 | 25.00 | $\frac{14}{34}$ | 41.18 | $\frac{15}{48}$ | 38.46 |

14. Supracondyloid Process:

| Absent | 40 | 93.02 | 24 | 96.00 | 38 | 97.44 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Traces | 3 | 6.98 | 1 | 4.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Present | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{39}$ | 2.56 |

## PELVIS:

15. Depth of Ischiatic Notch:

| Small | 3 | 8.33 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7.69 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Medium | 14 | 38.89 | 13 | 48.15 | 30 | 76.92 |
| Deep | $\underline{19}$ | 52.78 | $\underline{14}$ | 51.85 | $\underline{6}$ | 15.38 |

16. Width of Ischiatic Notch:

| Small | 15 | 41.67 | 15 | 57.69 | 7 | 17.95 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Medium | 20 | 55.56 | 10 | 38.46 | 25 | 64.10 |
| Wide | $\frac{1}{36}$ | 2.78 | $\frac{1}{26}$ | 3.85 | $\frac{7}{39}$ | 17.95 |

17. Depth of Preauricular Sulcus:

| Small | 30 | 76.92 | 23 | 85.19 | 36 | 92.31 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Medium | 9 | 23.08 | 4 | 14.81 | 3 | 7.69 |
| Deep | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 |

18. Width of Preauricular Sulcus:

| Small | 26 | 66.67 | 21 | 77.78 | 33 | 84.62 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medium | 13 | 33.33 | 6 | 22.22 | 6 | 15.38 |
| Wide | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{27}$ | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 |

Table 7 contld.
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19. Sub-pubic Angle:

Small
Medium
Large
20. Ischia:

Parallel Converging Diverging
21. Age by Pubic Symphysis:

| I | $(18-19)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| II | $(20-21)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| III | $(22-24)$ | 1 | 4.17 | 3 | 13.04 | 0 | 0 |
| IV | $(25-26)$ | 2 | 8.33 | 4 | 17.39 | 2 | 6.45 |
| V | $(27-30)$ | 7 | 29.17 | 3 | 13.04 | 10 | 32.26 |
| VI | $(30-35)$ | 3 | 12.50 | 4 | 17.39 | 4 | 12.90 |
| VII | $(35-39)$ | 7 | 29.17 | 3 | 13.04 | 9 | 29.03 |
| VIII | $(39-44)$ | 3 | 12.50 | 5 | 21.74 | 6 | 19.35 |
| IX | $(45-50)$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.35 | 0 | 0 |
| X | $(50-x)$ |  | $\frac{1}{24}$ | 4.17 | $\frac{0}{23}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{31}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

SCAPULA:
22. Superior Border:

Oblique
Wavy
Concave
23. Scapular Notch:

Absent
Submedium
Medium
Deep
Foramen
24. Vertebral Border:

Convex
Straight
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Early Middie Late
No. Percent No. Percent No. Percent

## SACRUM:

32. Number of Segments:
Four
Five (5th lumbar)
Six (5th coccyx)
Six (1st
33. Sacral Curve:
slight
Medium
Pronounced

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6.25 |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 15 | 78.95 | 18 | 81.82 | 24 | 75.00 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6.25 |
| $\frac{4}{19}$ | 21.05 | $\frac{4}{22}$ | 18.18 | $\frac{4}{32}$ | 12.50 |


| 12 | 57.14 | 12 | 54.55 | 13 | 39.39 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 33.33 | 7 | 31.82 | 17 | 51.52 |
| $\frac{2}{21}$ | 9.52 | $\frac{3}{22}$ | 13.64 | $\frac{3}{33}$ | 9.09 |

34. Curve Begins:

| Four | 19 | 86.36 | 19 | 90.48 | 23 | 67.65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Three | 3 | 13.64 | 1 | 4.76 | 11 | 32.35 |
| Two | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.76 | 0 | 0 |
| One | $\frac{0}{22}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{21}$ | 0 | $\frac{0}{34}$ | 0 |
| cral Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Homobasal | 1 | 3.70 | 3 | 13.04 | 3 | 8.82 |
| Hypobasal | 24 | 88.89 | 19 | 82.61 | 30 | 88.24 |
| Hyperbasal. | $\frac{2}{27}$ | 7.41 | $\frac{1}{23}$ | 4.35 | $\frac{1}{34}$ | 2.94 |

## STERNUM:

36. Fusion:

Mone
Corpus
Complete
$\begin{array}{rccccc}12 & 70.59 & 21 & 87.50 & 28 & 80.00 \\ 5 & 29.41 & 3 & 12.50 & 7 & 20.00 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{0}{24} & 0 & \frac{0}{35} & 0\end{array}$
37. Foramens

Absent Present
$\begin{array}{rrrrrr}13 & 81.25 & 18 & 81.82 & 28 & 84.85 \\ \frac{3}{16} & 18.75 & \frac{4}{22} & 18.17 & \frac{5}{33} & 15.15\end{array}$
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Explanation of Plates
(All numbers are UCMA Catalog numbers)

## Plate 1:

A-D. The Long-Faced Morphological Type (A-B: 12-7294, SJo-56, Early Horizon (C-D: 12-5707, Sac-66, Middle Horizon

E-H. The Large Broad-Faced Morphological Type
(E-F: 12-7604, SJo-68, Early Horizon
( $\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{H}: 12-6104, \mathrm{CCos} 138$, Late Horizon
I-L. The Small Short-Faced Morphological Type
(I-J: 12-5486, Col-1, Late Horizon
(K-L: 12-6691, Sac-43, Middle Horizon

## Plate 2:

A-D. The Round-Vaulted Morphological Type (A-B: 12-5479, Col-1, Late Horizon (C-D: 12-7270, Sac-60, Late Horizon

E-F. The Narrow Jawed Morphological Type 12-5939, CCO-138, Late Horizon

G-H. The Facially Intermediate Morphological Type 12-7646, SJo-68, Early Horizon

I-K. Individuals with Great Facial Similarity

1. to $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}}$ : $12-7621,-7604,-7601$, SJo-68, Early Horizon

L-M. An Individual of Great Size and Coarseness 12-5699, Sac-66, Middle Horizon

## Plate 3:

A-B. An Individual with Extreme Nasal and Mandibular Prominence 12-7589, SJo-68, Early Horizon

C-D. An Individual with Pronounced Alveolar Prognathism 12-7614, SJO-68, Early Horizon
$\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{H}$ 。 Extremes in Size in the Horizon Series E-F: 12-6659, Sac-99, Middle Horizon G-H: 12-7640, SJO -68 , Early Horizon

Plate 3 (cont'd.):
I-K. Range of Head Form
I: 12-6021, CCo-138, Late Horizon
J: 12-7582, SJo-68, Early Horizon
K: 12-7058, SJo-56, Early Horizon
L. Periosteal Lesions of Long Bones - Right Tibia 12-6274, CCo-138, Late Horizon ( $1 / 2$ size)



