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**ABSTRACT**

One application of distributed computing is remote system instrumentation. Such instrumentation programs require good response with low overhead to provide timely results without disturbing the system being measured. A remote procedure call system, such as the Circus system developed at Berkeley, allows programmers to write distributed programs with little more effort than is required to write local programs. This paper compares a Circus-based implementation of a Berkeley UNIX† tool (vmstat) with one based on the byte-stream protocol TCP. The Circus version makes for much cleaner code, but it requires more start-up time and higher CPU overhead than the TCP version. We conclude that the present incarnation of Circus is not acceptable for our work, but that future versions of Circus should prove valuable.

1. Introduction

One application of distributed computing is remote instrumentation, which allows a user on one machine to monitor the performance of a different machine without logging on to that machine. Such a program consists of at least two processes: a data-gathering server process on the remote machine, and a data-displaying client process on the local machine. If only one server process is used, it multiplexes connections to all its clients. An alternative is to give each client process its own server process. In either case the communication system seen by the client and server clearly must guarantee the accuracy of a delivered message. In addition, we feel that the communication system should guarantee message delivery. A dropped message affects the client as though the remote machine had suddenly slowed to a crawl. Thus dropped messages would unnecessarily annoy users and possibly confuse analysis programs. This problem would be tolerable if messages were infrequently lost. Unfortunately, casual instrumentation of Ethernet interfaces has shown input error rates (hence, dropped packets) of 50-100 or more per hour, which is simply too high to ignore.

Furthermore, we want a communication system that is general enough that we can easily write distributed versions of existing tools or write new distributed tools from scratch. However, the communication system should also provide adequate performance in at least two areas: program initialization and system overhead. Program initialization should be fast because we

† UNIX is a trademark of Bell Laboratories.
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sometimes want the monitoring tools to tell us what is happening now, not what is happening 10 seconds from now. Long-term system overhead should be low enough that the tools can provide relatively long traces without disturbing the system being measured (e.g., less than 1% of the CPU should be used for the instrumentation program, and it should not cause any significant change to the system's swapping or paging behavior). For the same reason, short-term overhead—which we will not consider in this paper—should also be low. However, short-term overhead will be somewhat higher than long-term overhead because of initialization costs and because new UNIX processes usually get higher priorities than older ones.

One reliable and general communication mechanism is the remote procedure call (RPC), which by and large allows the application programmer to ignore the distributed aspects of the program. Eric Cooper's Circu [$Cooper 84a, Cooper 84b$, which is based on Xerox's Lupine system [Birrell 83], is a remote procedure call system that runs under Berkeley UNIX 4.2BSD. Circu differs from an earlier Berkeley UNIX RPC system [Larus 83] in that it is based on datagram service rather than on virtual circuits.

This paper evaluates the performance of a Circu-based version of umstat by comparing it with an implementation based on the byte-stream protocol TCP [TCP 81]. In the following section we present a brief introduction to what a programmer works with when using Circu and TCP on a 4.2BSD system. Section three describes the performance tests that were used. The results of these tests are described in section four, and an analysis of the results is in section five. In section six we present our conclusions, in section seven we suggest additional research, and in section eight we summarize the paper. Appendices A and B contain sample code from the Circus- and TCP-based programs (both client and server), with an emphasis on the differences between the two approaches.

2. Programmer's View

Circu provides the UNIX programmer with a set of facilities that are like Lupine's, except that some changes were necessary for compatibility with the Berkeley UNIX environment. First the programmer defines an interface of types, global variables, and procedure headings using a Mesa-like language derived from Xerox's Courier specifications [Mitchell 79] [Courier 81]. From this interface the rig compiler generates C code [Kernighan 78] for the server and client stubs, as well as a header file that contains C definitions for the types and variables specified in the interface. The programmer codes two programs, one for the client and one for the server. Taken together, these two parts differ little from a modular non-distributed version of the program. Most of the differences are embodied in a small amount of code that manages such chores as binding the client to the server. A run-time library and the client and server stubs handle communication between the client, the ringmaster binding process (which corresponds to Grapevine in the Xerox world), and the server. A programmer using Circu also has the opportunity to programmatically type-check the client/server interface with the UNIX program lint. Relevant portions of the Circu-based umstat are in Appendix A.

As part of its Interprocess Communication (IPC) facilities [Leffler 83], 4.2BSD provides the UNIX programmer with TCP service. In contrast with using Circu, a TCP-based program requires no extra paraphernalia such as rig (the stub compiler). The price is that the programmer must do more work, such as explicitly opening a connection between the client and server and managing I/O errors. To handle multiple clients simultaneously, the server must either multiplex its connections or fork off a new server process to handle each new client. If there is a server process for each client, then the client bears the additional burden of telling its server to exit when it (the client) is ready to quit. At best, this additional work is merely an annoyance; at worst, it provides ample opportunity for programming mistakes. An additional problem with using TCP is that there is no way to verify the type-correctness of the client and server communication routines, other than checking the individual read and write statements by hand (which is also liable to mistakes). Relevant portions of the TCP-based umstat are in Appendix B.  

\[2\] umstat produces statistics about the virtual memory subsystem in Berkeley UNIX.
Thus, the most immediate advantage of Circus is its ease of use. Another expected advantage results from Circus’ use of datagram communication: we expect lower start-up overhead from using Circus than we do from using a byte-stream protocol like TCP. An expected disadvantage of Circus is that its generality may make communication slower. For example, Circus allows transparent communication between different machine types, which may lead to unnecessary message-copying or format conversion in the case where both machines are of the same type. A realistic TCP-based implementation would also have to deal with this heterogeneity problem, but it may be possible to hand-tune the communication code to obtain greater efficiency than is possible with Circus.

In short, according to our introductory criteria for a distributed monitoring tool, we expect that Circus would make an excellent tool for writing remote instrumentation programs if we could obtain adequate performance from it.

3. The Tests

We propose two types of performance tests: one test measures the elapsed start-up time required by a program; the other test measures the long-term CPU utilization of a program. The point of the start-up test is that any useful instrumentation utility must provide quick service without high initialization costs. The point of the utilization test is that any useful instrumentation utility must not significantly disturb the system it is measuring.

Our first test consisted of running a program that invoked vmsrat 300 times and recorded the accumulated execution time. We performed this test on a VAX\textsuperscript{3} 750 with 2 megabytes of physical memory running in single-user mode. In one case we performed the test 10 times with no competing load, and in a second case we performed the test 10 times while competing with a load of seven simulated “active” users.\textsuperscript{4}

The second test consisted of causing vmsrat to iterate (display one line of statistics) 10,000 times at 5-second intervals. When the test finished, both the client and the server recorded information such as their elapsed times and CPU usage. We ran this test 7 times on a VAX 780 with 4 megabytes of memory, at various hours of the day and night, without attention to machine load. We also ran a similar test—using 20,000 iterations instead of 10,000—to verify that we could extrapolate our results to times longer than a day. We picked 5 seconds as the interval length because the Berkeley UNIX kernel updates its virtual memory statistics at 1- and 5-second intervals. We did not repeat the tests using a 1-second interval because, as we shall see in the next section, the CPU utilization at the 5-second refresh rate was high enough that additional tests seemed pointless.

4. Results

The results of the first test are summarized in Table 1. Each number represents an average start-up time in seconds. We also repeated the start-up tests 3 times with the original (single-process) version of vmsrat for rough comparison purposes.

Table 1: Start-up times for TCP- and Circus-based versions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>version</th>
<th>with load</th>
<th>no load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circus</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>original</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 gives the results for the second test. We obtained these numbers by compiling code into the vmsrat client and server so that each program recorded its elapsed (“wall-clock”) running

\textsuperscript{3} VAX is a trademark of Digital Equipment Corporation.

\textsuperscript{4} Each user was simulated by a shell script that repeatedly did tasks such as compilation, editing, and file copying.
time and its system and user CPU requirements. We calculated the "percent of system used" as the sum of the CPU time used divided by the elapsed time. Notice, however, that we ignore the requirements of ringmaster (the binding process) for the Circus version, and we ignore certain one-time start-up costs for both versions. Again, we also repeated the test a few times with the original vmstat for rough comparison purposes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>system time (sec)</th>
<th>user time (sec)</th>
<th>% of system used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circus client</td>
<td>332.4</td>
<td>190.6</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circus server</td>
<td>437.4</td>
<td>123.6</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circus (total)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP client</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>108.7</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP server</td>
<td>176.6</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP (total)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>original (total)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Long-term CPU utilization

5. Analysis

Having seen these results, we now must interpret them.

5.1. Start-up Delay

Table 1 shows that the TCP version of the program consistently starts up faster than the Circus version. This result may seem surprising, as byte-stream protocols have a reputation for high overhead in establishing connections. However, the protocol-related activities may only be a small part of all the program's activities. Using the gprof profiler [Graham 82], we see that for one run of 100 iterations, the TCP-based client spends 30 ms (1% of its total CPU time) establishing a connection; the Circus-based client requires less than 10 ms (0.2%) of CPU time to connect to the server. However, to find a server, the Circus-based client must send a message to ringmaster and then wait for a reply, which is entirely transparent to gprof and is presumably slow. Contrast this with the TCP-based version, which spends 100 ms looking up the server's Internet address in a well-known file. Thus, we hypothesize that the Circus-based client process requires less CPU time than the TCP-based client, but it requires more elapsed time because of client-server binding.

Both versions are much faster than the original version of vmstat. We obtain this savings because the original version does an nlist, which tells where the interesting numbers live in kernel memory, each time it is invoked. Both of the experimental versions do only one nlist, when the server is started up, and they re-use that information when a new client executes. Thus the comparison between the original and experimental versions is biased, but it points out an advantage of using the client/server paradigm for UNIX instrumentation programs.

5.2. CPU Utilization and Steady-State Delay

As with the first test, the Circus version performs worse than the TCP version: it uses about 3 times as much of the CPU as the TCP version does. There are many causes for this difference, some of which are inherent to an RPC system, some of which result from Circus's design, and some of which result from Circus's implementation, which is untuned and entirely at the user level. One inherent problem of the RPC-based system is that it must send a message to the server for every information message that the server sends back. In the TCP-based system, the server just keeps sending information until the client sends one message ending the link. Gprof analysis suggests that the Circus-based client can spend up to 14% of its time (i.e., 0.14% of the CPU) just sending these request messages. Also, the Circus-based version incurs extra byte-copying costs (compared with the TCP-based version) in parameter passing. This copying comes
from moving whole structures around; the TCP-based version just moves pointers.

There is another problem that is not inherent to RPC systems in general but which results from Circus's charter as a reliable remote procedure call system. Circus allows more than one process to export a given service. When a client makes a remote call, the client stub sends requests to all servers that export that call and uses a voting scheme to determine the result that it returns. Circus does provide a mechanism that allows the client to specify which server (or servers) to use. However, the techniques necessary to handle communication in the general case (multiple servers) can have appreciable cost even when only one server is called. Thus remote instrumentation programs, which do not need this replication mechanism, must bear this added cost when using Circus.

The lack of tuning in Circus leads to problems such as unnecessary malloc (memory allocation) calls, expensive queueing operations, and unnecessary copying. The mallocs are done at each call, when the client stub allocates and returns buffer space. The stub could avoid these problems by maintaining its own pool of buffers. The queueing operations, which support communication, could probably be made less expensive by using register variables [Kernighan 78]. Although any general-purpose communication mechanism must provide machine independence, it seems reasonable for the stubs to recognize that they are running on compatible architectures and agree to use that architecture's data format, rather than wasting cycles converting to and from some general-purpose format.6

There are two good reasons for putting Circus's reliable, procedure-oriented communication protocol in the kernel.6 Because Circus runs entirely in user space, it must implement timeouts using the alarm library routine, which means that Circus preempts SIGALRM signals. The first problem is that this preemption forces users who want an alarm-clock function to use an inefficient kludge. The second problem is one of performance. When the stub sends off a request, it must make at least four system calls: the first call sends the request, the second call sets the alarm, the third call (select) waits for a reply from any of the servers, and the fourth call finally reads in the result. Each additional server requires two additional system calls. A kernel-based implementation of Circus would avoid both of these problems.

6 Conclusions

The purpose of the preceding tests was to evaluate a Circus-based remote instrumentation program. These tests lead us to conclude that Circus's current incarnation is not ready for use in production programs. The problem is not the slower elapsed start-up time of the Circus-based version, which is negligible (and certainly faster than the original version of umatat). A more serious problem is the CPU overhead that Circus requires, which is twice our 1% guideline. Fortunately, tuning the performance of Circus, removing the replication mechanisms, and moving the communication code into the kernel should solve this problem. We predict that once this task has been done, Circus will be an excellent tool for distributed monitoring programs. In the meantime, programmers will have to balance their desperation for such a distributed program against the pain of writing a program based on TCP.

7. Additional Research

While this paper provides generally encouraging results, additional work should be done to confirm our optimism. In particular, we would like to repeat these tests using the non-replicated, kernel-based version of Circus being developed by Karen White [White 85], after it has been as thoroughly tuned as the Berkeley UNIX TCP implementation.

Furthermore, there are metrics other than the ones that we have chosen. The most obvious candidate for additional testing is memory usage. A server with a large working set size (e.g.,

---

6 The design for a new version of Circus includes this stub-to-stub handshake and a fix for the buffer space problem.
6 "reliable" as in "guaranteed delivery of uncorrupted data," not as in "replicated."
from buffer requirements) will certainly disturb the system which it is trying to measure, even if its measured CPU utilization is low.

8. Summary

Having identified an interesting class of distributed computing programs (remote instrumentation), we have decided on certain performance requirements and a possible technique for writing programs that belong to that class (remote procedure calls). We have built a realistic example program using this technique (by modifying vmstat), and we have obtained encouraging results by comparing this example program with a version based on a different technique (TCP). We expect that as Circus, a UNIX implementation of this technique, is refined, it will compare favorably with its competitors.
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Appendix A: portions of the Circus-based `vmstat`

```c
vmstat = begin

-- The following block is from <sys/vmstat.h>:

  vmstat: type = record |
  ^_switch: long cardinal,
  ^_trap: long cardinal,
  ^_syscall: long cardinal,
  ^_intr: long cardinal,
  ^_soft: long cardinal,
  ^_pdma: long cardinal,
  ^_pwpin: long cardinal,
  ^_pwpout: long cardinal,
  ^_pgin: long cardinal,
  ^_pgout: long cardinal,
  ^_ppgpin: long cardinal,
  ^_ppgout: long cardinal,
  ^_intrx: long cardinal,
  ^_pgrec: long cardinal,
  ^_xfree: long cardinal,
  ^_xfree: long cardinal,
  ^_exfod: long cardinal,
  ^_rfod: long cardinal,
  ^_vrfod: long cardinal,
  ^_nexfod: long cardinal,
  ^_nexfod: long cardinal,
  ^_nvrfod: long cardinal,
  ^_pgrec: long cardinal,
  ^_fault: long cardinal,
  ^_scan: long cardinal,
  ^_rev: long cardinal,
  ^_seqfree: long cardinal,
  ^_dfree: long cardinal,
  ^_fastpgrec: long cardinal,
  ^_spwpin: long cardinal,
  ^_swpout: long cardinal

};

  vmtotal: type = record |
  _rq: integer,
  _dw: integer,
  _pw: integer,
  _sl: integer,
  _sw: integer,
  _vrx: long integer,
  _avrm: long integer,
  _rmx: integer,
  _arr: integer,
  _vmxt: long integer,
  _avmxt: long integer,
  _rmxt: integer,
  _armxt: integer,
  _free: integer

};

-- (end of <sys/vmstat.h>)

-- The following block is from <sys/vmstat.h>:

  forkstat: type = record |
  cntfork: long integer,
  cntvfork: long integer,
```
sirfork: long integer,
sirvfork: long integer

@end of <sys/errno.h>

doubleFloat: type = array 2 of long integer;  — from <sys/float.h>
CPUSTATES: integer = 4;  — from <sys/blk.h>
DK_NDRIVE: integer = 4;  — from <sys/blk.h>

VMSTATS: type = record [  — package of virtual memory stats
  busy: long integer,
  time: array CPUSTATES of long integer,
  xfer: array DK_NDRIVE of long integer,
  Rate: vmmeter,
  Total: vmtotal,
  Sum: vmsum,
  Forkstat: forkstat,
  rectime: long cardinal,
  pgintime: long cardinal
];

disk_drive: type = record [  — info for disk drives
  name: string,
  unit: integer
];

Time_t: type = long integer;  — seconds since Jan 70

vm_init: type = record [  — initial message to client
  drive: array DK_NDRIVE of disk_drive,
  hr: long integer,
  phr: long integer
];

vm_info: type = record [  — regular VM stats info
  time: Time_t,
  s: VMSTATS,
  deficit: long integer,
  etime: doubleFloat,
  sintv: long integer
];

vmstat_info: procedure [FirstCall: boolean] returns
  [infoPkt: vm_info];

vmstat_init: procedure returns [initPkt: vm_init];

end.
```c
#include <sys/param.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <strings.h>
#include <sys/vm.h>
#include <sys/dk.h>
#include "vmstat_defs.h"
#define HOSTNSIZE 255 /* host name size */
#define YES 1
#define NO 0

int HZ;
char host[HOSTNSIZE]; /* name of the host we want to talk to */
unsigned stime; /* Sleep time between refreshes */
vm_init initPkt; /* init packet */
vm_info infoPkt; /* info packet for one call */
#define INTS(x) (((x) - (hz + phz))

/*
 * Print VM statistics, using a remote server to collect the data.
 * Uses Eric Cooper's Circus for RFC.
 */

main(argc, argv)
int argc;
char **argv;
{
    int lines; /* count lines for headering */
    int iter; /* number of iterations to make */
    extern char _sobuf[];
    boolean firstCall; /* flag for 1st call to server */

    if (argc > 1 && strcmp(argv[1], "-t") == 0) {
        set_trace_flags(argv[2]);
        argc -= 2;
        argv += 2;
    }

    stime = 5; /* default sleep time */
    (void) gethostname(host, HOSTNSIZE); /* default host: us */
    argc -= 1, argv++;

    /* Figure out how many iterations to make and how long for each
     * refresh. If no numbers were given, only iterate 1 time. If
     * only a refresh interval was given, iterate forever. Otherwise,
     * the user will tell us how many times to iterate.
     */
    if (argc < 1)
        iter = 1;
    else {
        stime = atoi(argv[0]);
        if (argc == 1)
            iter = 0; /* close enough to infinity... */
        else
            iter = atoi(argv[1]);
    }
```
/*
 * Import the vmstat interface and get 1-time info about the clock
 * rate and drives.
 */
set_troupe_list(1, host);
if (!import_vmsat()) {
    fprintf(stderr, "can't import vmstat\n");
    exit(1);
}

initPkt = vmstat_init();
HZ = initPkt.phz ? initPkt.phz : initPkt.hz;
firstCall = YES;

reprint:
    lines = 20;
    print("\n    memory %--18.1f page disk faults cpu\n")
    b w
    avrn fre re at pi po fr de sr %%%d %%%d %%%d %%%d in sy cs us sy id\n")
    host,
    initPkt.drive[0].name[0], initPkt.drive[0].unit,
    initPkt.drive[1].name[0], initPkt.drive[1].unit,
    initPkt.drive[2].name[0], initPkt.drive[2].unit,
    initPkt.drive[3].name[0], initPkt.drive[3].unit);

loop:
    infoPkt = vmstat_info(firstCall);
    firstCall = NO;
    displayinfo(&infoPkt);
    if (--iter == 0) {
        exit(0);
    }
    go_to_sleep(stime, 0); /* (Zero microseconds) */
    if (--lines <= 0)
        goto reprint;
    goto loop;
#ifndef lint
static char seccid[] = "Q(#)vmstatd.c";
#endif

#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <sys/param.h>
#include <sys/file.h>
#include <sys/vm.h>
#include <sys/buf.h>
#include <sys/wait.h>
#include <sys/time.h>
#include <sys/resource.h>
#endif

#define _vmstat_defs.h

#define YES 1
#define NO 0

/*

 This program simply provides subroutines which the client calls via an
* RFC mechanism. VMstat_init is called once (per client), so that we
* don't waste time retransmitting repetitious information (q., clock
* rate). After that, vmstat_info is called every time a client wants new
* information.
*/

extern int errno;

unsigned stime=1;           /* interval between refreshes */
time_t boottime;
vm_info avgInfo;            /* boottime to now averages */
vminfo runningInfo;         /* running rates */
vminfo initPkt;             /* packet of init info */
vminfo infoPkt;             /* packet of regular info */
time_t lastRefresh=0;       /* time of last refresh */
time_t now;                 /* (the current time) */

main(argc, argv)
int argc;
char *argv[];
{
    int i;
    time_t initialize();

    if (argc > 1)
        stime = atol(argv[1]);
    boottime = initialize(); /* get clock, disk drive info */
    refresh(); /* read 1st set of values */

    if (export_vmstat()) {
        fprintf(stderr, "can't export vmstat\n");
        exit(1);
    }

    /*
     * Dissocate ourselves from our parent. This is especially
     * needed if you use rsh to start up the server.
     */
#endif

noOrphan
"... */

server_loop();

} /*
 * Get the namelist for the kernel and do any one-time reading of kernel
 * memory. Return the system boot time, and set "now" to be the current
 * time.
 */
time_t
initialize()
{
/*... */
}

/* Send initial data to the client: clock rate and disk info.
 * Be sure to update our buffers if we haven't been called in a long
 * time.
 */
vm_init
vmstat_init()
{
    if (time(&now) - lastRefresh >= stime) {
        lastRefresh = now;
        refresh();
    }
    return(initPkt);
}

/* Send a message with the vmstat info in it. The argument specifies
 * whether this is the client's first call. If it is, the server should
 * give average numbers (averaged since system boot). Otherwise,
 * the server should give the going rate.
 */
vm_info
vmstat_info(firstcall)
boolean firstcall;
{
    if (time(&now) - lastRefresh >= stime) {
        lastRefresh = now;
        refresh();
    }

    if (firstcall)
        bcopy(&avgInfo, &infoPkt, sizeof(vm_info));
    else
        bcopy(&runningInfo, &infoPkt, sizeof(vm_info));

    return(infoPkt);
}

/* Refresh the "avgInfo" and "runningInfo" buffers. Use the global
 * current time ("now").
 */
refresh()
{
    time_t interval;

    interval = now - boottime;
    getinfo(interval, &avgInfo, &runningInfo);
}
Appendix B: portions of the TCP-based vmstat

/* Q(#)/vmstat.h */

#define VMSTAT_EXIT 'X' /* message: kill the current connection */
#define ERLOG "vmstat.errlog" /* error log for the server daemon */

typedef struct
{
    int busy;
    long time[CPUSTATES];
    long xfer[DK_NDRIVE];
    struct vmeter Rate;
    struct vmtotal Total;
    struct vmeter Sum;
    struct forkstat Forkstat;
    unsigned retime;
    unsigned pgtime;
} VMSTATS;

/* The variables in the following block are all sent to the client at
   one time or another. */
char dr_name[DK_NDRIVE][10];
char dr_unit[DK_NDRIVE];
int phr;
int bs;
VMSTATS e;
time_t now; /* time that we read from /dev/kmem */
int deficit;
double etime;
unsigned stime;
int nintv; /* sleep time as specified by command line */
/* now - boottime (1st pass only) */

#define rate s.Rate
#define total s.Total
#define sum s.Sum
#define forkstat s.Forkstat

/* INITBUFSIZE is the num of bytes needed to buffer the initialization data:
   dr_name, dr_unit, phr, and bs.
   MESGBUFSIZE is the num of bytes needed to buffer one message:
   now, a, deficit, etime, and nintv. */
#define INITBUFSIZE (10*DK_NDRIVE*sizeof(char) + DK_NDRIVE*sizeof(char) |
                  sizeof(int) + sizeof(int))
#define MESGBUFSIZE (sizeof(time_t) + sizeof(VMSTATS) + sizeof(int) |
                  sizeof(double) + sizeof(int))

char vms_initbuf[INITBUFSIZE];
char vms_mesgbuf[MESGBUFSIZE];
#ifndef int
static char socn2id = "O(#)vmstat.c 2.8 (kupfer/lost) 4/12/84";
#endif

#include <sys/param.h>
#include <signal.h>
#include <netdb.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <sys/vm.h>
#include <sys/errno.h>
#include <sys/socket.h>
#include "vmstat.h"
#define HOSTNSIZE 100 /* host name size */
#define YES 1
#define NO 0

int HZ;
char host[HOSTNSIZE]; /* name of the host we want to talk to */
int sock; /* socket for talking to the server */
#define INTS(x) ((x) - (hx + phs))

/*
 * Print VM statistics, using a remote server to collect the data.
 */
main(argc, argv)
main
{int argc;
 char **argv;

{int lines; /* count lines for headering */
 int iter; /* number of iterations to make */
 extern char _sobuf[];
 struct hostent *hp = NULL; /* points to host description */
 int sockopen(); /* opens a socket connection to the server */
 int quit();

(void) signal(SIGINT, quit); /* otherwise server dumps core */
(void) signal(SIGHUP, quit);
setbuf(stdout, _sobuf);

stimex = 5;
(void) gethostname(host, HOSTNSIZE);
host[HOSTNSIZE-1] = \'\0\';
hp = gethostbyname(host); /* (default = host we're on) */
argc--; argv++;

/*
 * Figure out how many iterations to make and how long for each
 * refresh. If no numbers were given, only iterate 1 time. If
 * only a refresh interval was given, iterate forever. Otherwise,
 * the user will tell us how many times to iterate.
 */

if (argc < 1)
  iter = 1;
else {
  stimex = atoi(argv[0]);
  if (argc == 1)
    iter = 0; /* close enough to infinity... */
  else
    iter = atoi(argv[1]);
}

sock = sockopen(hp, "vmstat");
/*
 * Tell the server how to sleep and get initial (t-time)
 * info.
 */
write(sock, (char *)&time, sizeof(time);
recv_init(sock);
HZ = phs ? phs : hs;

reprint:
lines = 20;
printf("\n proc memory \%-%18.1s page disk faults cpu\n\r b w svm fre re at pi po fr de sr \%\%d \%\%d \%\%d \%\%d in sy cs us sy id\n", host,
    dr_name[0][0], dr_unit[0], dr_name[1][0], dr_unit[1],
    dr_name[2][0], dr_unit[2], dr_name[3][0], dr_unit[3]);

loop:
    recvinfo(sock);
    displayinfo();
    if (--iter == 0) {
        cleanup(sock);
        exit(0);
    }
    if (--lines <= 0)
        goto reprint;
    goto loop;

} /*
 * Catch a SIGINT and quit.
 */
quit()
{
    cleanup(sock);
    exit(0);
}

/*
 * Tell the server that we are done; flush any data remaining in
 * the connection.
 */
char quitnow[] = VMSTAT_EXIT; /* message to close the connection */
cleanup
{
    int asock;
    /* the socket we've been using*/

    char fbuf[4096]; /* buffer for flushing the connection */

if (send(asock, quitnow, sizeof(quitnow), MSG_OOB) < 0) {
    perror("vmstat: sending quitnow");
    exit(1);
        }
while (read(asock, fbuf, sizeof(fbuf)) > 0)
        ;
        (void) close(asock);

} quit

cleanup
#ifndef lint
static char sccsid[] = "C(#)vmstat.c 3.9 (kupfer/est) 4/12/84"
#endif

#include <signal.h>
#include <sys/time.h>
#include <sys/file.h>
#include <netinet/in.h>
#include <errno.h>
#include <sys/socket.h>
#include <sys/termios.h>
#include <sys/buf.h>
#include <sys/wait.h>
#include <sys/resource.h>

#ifndef vxu
#include <vaxhub.hbavar.h>
#include <vaxmbs/mbsavar.h>
#endif
#include <strings.h>
#include "vmstat.h"
define YES 1
define NO 0

/*
 * This program creates a socket, does some initial reading of the
 * kernel memory, and then begins an infinite loop.
 * Each time through the loop it accepts a connection and forks off
 * a child to manage it. The child simply sends vmstat numbers thru it.
 * When the client tells the child to quit, the child closes the
 * old connection and exits.
 */

int megsock;        /* the socket connecting with the client */
extern int errno;

main()
{
    int i;
    int sock;
    int reaper();   /* reaps exit'd child processes */
    time_t boottime;
    time_t initialize();
    int servsock();  /* sets up a socket for the server */

    sock = servsock("vmstat");
    boottime = initialize();
    (void) signal(SIGCHLD, reaper);

    /*
     * Disassociate ourselves from our parent. This is especially
     * needed if you use rek to start up the server.
     */
    #ifndef noOrphan
    /* ... */
    #endif

    /*
     * Start accepting connections. The accept might fail if we get
     * interrupted by a child's exiting. If this happens, just try
     * again. In case of an unexpected error, we pause first before
     */
retrying. There is a 2-fold motive for this: (1) given some
breathing room, maybe the kernel will get its act together and
then we won't get the same error again. (2) at least the error
log file won't grow so rapidly.

/∗
(void) listen (sock, 5);
for (;;) {
mgssock = accept(sock, (struct sockaddr *)0, (int *)0);
if (mgssock < 0) {
    if (errno == EINTR) {
        perror("vmstat: accept");
        sleep ((unsigned) 5);
        continue;
    }
    if (fork() == 0) {
        (void) close(sock);
        /* (child) */
doTheDirtyWork(boottime);
    } else {
        (void) close(mgssock);
    }
}

/∗
* Wait until a child process exits.
*/
reaper()
{
union wait status;

while (wait(&status, WNOHANG, (struct rusage *)0) > 0) ;
}

/∗
* Get the smallest for the kernel and do any one-time reading of
* kernel memory. Return the system boot time.
*/
time_t initialize()
{
    /* ...
    */
}

/∗
* The child first reads the sleep time (ie, the sampling rate) and
* sends initial information such as the device names, their *unit*s,
* and some clock info. It then recalculate how long it's been since
* system boot (this is used once, so that we can get the long-term
* average rates since boot time; after that, we use the current rates).
*/
doTheDirtyWork(boottime)
time_t boottime; /* system boot time */
{
    int oob(); /* catches SIGURG */

    (void) signal(SIGURG, oob);
    {int pid = getpid();
     (void) ioctl(mgssock, SIOCSPGRP, (char *)&pid);
    }
    send_init();
    read(mgssock, (char *)&time, sizeof(time);

    (void) time(&now);
    nintv = now - boottime;
for (;;) {
    getinfo();
    sendinfo();
    sleep(ttime);
    nintv = 1;                /* (for getinfo's sake) */
}

/*
 * Catch a SIGURG: read in and process a message from the client.
 */
void oob()
{
    char *buf;
    
    (void) recv(msgsock, buf, sizeof buf, MSG_OOB);
    switch (buf[0]) {
        case VMSTAT_EXIT:
        (void) close(msgsock);
            exit(0);
            break;
        default:
            fprintf(stderr, "vmstat: unknown request: 0"buf[0]);
                break;
    }
}

/*
 * Package the collected info in a buffer and send it to the client.
 */
void sendinfo()
{
    char *bufp = vms_mesgbuf;        /* points into the buffer */
    
    bcopy((char *)&now, bufp, sizeof now);
    bufp += sizeof now;
    bcopy((char *)&z, bufp, sizeof z);
    bufp += sizeof z;
    bcopy((char *)&deficit, bufp, sizeof deficit);
    bufp += sizeof deficit;
    bcopy((char *)&etime, bufp, sizeof etime);
    bufp += sizeof etime;
    bcopy((char *)&nintv, bufp, sizeof nintv);
    write(msgsock, vms_mesgbuf, MESG_BUFSIZE);
}

/*
 * Move the device names and clock info into a buffer and then send it
 * all off to the client.
 */
void send_init()
{
    char *bufp = vms_initbuf;        /* points into the buffer */
    
    bcopy(dr_unit, bufp, sizeof dr_unit);
    bufp += sizeof dr_unit;
    bcopy(&dr_name[0][0], bufp, sizeof dr_name);
    bufp += sizeof dr_name;
    bcopy((char *)&hs, bufp, sizeof hs);
    bufp += sizeof hs;
    bcopy((char *)&phs, bufp, sizeof phs);
    write(msgsock, vms_initbuf, INIT_BUFSIZE);
}