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1. Introduction

Let \( \ell \) denote the complex Banach space of vectors \( x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots) \) with \( \|x\| = \sum |x_i| < \infty \). It is known (see, for example, Hille and Phillips [3], section 23.12) that the equation

\[ (P_x)_j = \sum_i x_i p_{i,j}(t), \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots; t \geq 0) \]

sets up a biunique correspondence between those Markov transition matrix functions \( \{p_{i,j}(t): t \geq 0; i, j = 1, 2, \cdots\} \) for which

\[ \lim_{t \to 0} p_{j,j}(t) = 1, \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots) \]

('standard' transition matrix functions) and strongly continuous semigroups \( \{P_t: t \geq 0\} \) of positive transition operators on \( \ell \). Let \( \Omega \) denote the infinitesimal generator of such a semigroup, and let \( \{R_\lambda: \lambda > 0\} \) denote the resolvent family of \( \Omega \) so that

\[ R_\lambda x = \int_0^\infty \exp (-\lambda t) P_t x \ dt, \quad (\lambda > 0) \]

(see Hille and Phillips ([3], chapter XI)).

From the resolvent equation, \( R_\lambda - R_\mu + (\lambda - \mu)R_\lambda R_\mu = 0 \), it follows that if \( R_\lambda \) is compact for some \( \lambda > 0 \), then \( R_\mu \) is compact for every \( \mu > 0 \). Many of the special Markov chains which have been studied analytically have been shown to possess compact resolvents; for example, the chains \( K1 \) and \( K2 \) analyzed by Kendall and Reuter ([5], section 6) and the chain constructed by Kendall in reference [4] (see [5], (5)). Perhaps the best reason for interest in the condition '\( R_\lambda \) compact' is that, for a wide class of semigroups including Markov semigroups on \( \ell \), it is equivalent to reflexivity in the sense of Phillips [9]. This result follows from theorem II of Kendall's paper [8].

An operator \( T \) is called quasi-compact if there exist a positive integer \( m \) and a compact operator \( C \) such that \( \|T^m - C\| < 1 \). Kendall and Reuter ([6], theorem 7) have shown that, under general conditions, the statement "there exists a (compact) projection operator \( \Pi \) of finite dimensional range such that \( \mu R_\mu \to \Pi \) in the uniform topology as \( \mu \downarrow 0 \)" is equivalent to the statement "\( \lambda R_\lambda \) is quasi-compact for some \( \lambda > 0 \)." (Kendall and Reuter actually only show that
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\(\mu R_{\mu}\) quasi-compact for some \(\mu > 0\) implies the statement about \(\Pi\), but the reverse implication is immediate; take \(\mu > 0\) so small that \(\|\mu R_{\mu} - \Pi\| < 1\).

For Markov semigroups on \(\ell\) more precise results may be obtained. That strongly Abel ergodic Markov chains, that is, chains in which \(\mu R_{\mu}x \rightarrow \Pi x\), \((\mu \downarrow 0)\) (where \(\Pi\) is a projection operator), are necessarily strongly ergodic \((P_t x \rightarrow \Pi x, t \rightarrow \infty)\) is already known (Kendall and Reuter [7]). The theorem of the following section implies that an analogous result holds in the uniform operator topology—at least when \(\Pi\) has finite dimensional range. The theorem also supplies some information on the important problem of determining the rate of convergence of transition probabilities to their ergodic limits.

2. The main theorem

The following assertions hold; proofs of assertions 1 and 2 are found in sections 3 and 4, respectively.

**Theorem.** Let \(\{P_t : t \geq 0\}\) be the positive transition semigroup on \(\ell\) associated with a standard transition matrix function \(\{p_{i,j}(t) : t \geq 0, i, j = 1, 2, \ldots\}\) as described above. Then the following assertions hold.

**Assertion 1.** The operator \(P_t\) is quasi-compact for some (and then for all) \(t > 0\) if and only if \(\lambda R_{\lambda}\) is quasi-compact for some (and then for all) \(\lambda > 0\). When this case obtains there exist a projection operator \(\Pi\) with finite dimensional range and strictly positive constants \(K\) and \(\gamma\) such that, for every \(t\),

\[
\|P_t - \Pi\| \leq K \exp (-\gamma t);
\]

zero is an isolated point of \(\sigma(\Omega)\) with \(\Pi\) as its associated projection, whereas the remainder of \(\sigma(\Omega)\) lies in the half-plane \(\Re(z) < -\gamma\).

**Assertion 2.** The operator \(P_t\) is compact for every \(t > 0\) if and only if \(R_{\lambda}\) is compact for some (and then for all) \(\lambda > 0\). When this is so, then

(i) \(\sigma(\Omega) = \{0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots\}\) where \(0 > \Re \alpha_1 \geq \Re \alpha_2 \geq \cdots\), and only finitely many \(\alpha\)'s lie in any right half-plane;

(ii) \(\sigma(P_t) = 0 \cup \exp \{t \sigma(\Omega)\}\);

(iii) for any \(\gamma\) such that

\[
-\infty \leq -\beta = \sup \Re \{\sigma(\Omega) \backslash 0\} < -\gamma < 0,
\]

there exists a \(K\) such that

\[
\exp (-\beta t) \leq \|P_t - \Pi\| \leq K \exp (-\gamma t).
\]

3. Proof of assertion 1

The proof of each of assertions 1 and 2 is based on the fact that for each fixed \(i\),

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{i,j}(u) = 1
\]

the limit exists uniformly in \(u\) over any finite \(u\)-interval \([0, U]\). This is an immediate consequence of Dini's theorem. It follows that if an integer \(n\) and positive
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constants $U$ and $\epsilon$ are given, then there exists a least integer $N(n; U, \epsilon)$ such that

$$\sum_{j \leq N(n; U, \epsilon)} p_{k,j}(u) \geq 1 - \epsilon,$$

provided only that $k \leq n$, $0 \leq u \leq U$.

It is known (Cohen and Dunford [1]) that a bounded linear operator $A$ on $\ell$ defined by

$$(Ax)_j = \sum_i x_i a_{i,j}$$

has norm $\sup_i \sum_j |a_{i,j}|$, and is compact if and only if

$$\sup_i \sum_{j > N} |a_{i,j}| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad N \to \infty.$$

Suppose now that $\lambda R_\lambda$ is quasi-compact for some $\lambda > 0$. Then by a theorem of Kendall and Reuter quoted earlier, $\mu R_\mu \to \Pi$ uniformly as $\mu \downarrow 0$, where $\Pi$ is a compact projection operator. Hence, $\|\nu R_\nu - \Pi\| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ for some $\nu > 0$. However, because $\Pi$ is compact and stochastic, there exists an integer $n$ such that

$$\sum_{k \leq n} (\Pi)_{i,k} \geq \frac{3}{4} \quad \text{for every} \quad i.$$

For this $n$, and for each $i$,

$$\nu \sum_{k \leq n} r_{i,k}(\nu) = \nu \int_0^\infty \exp (-\nu t) \sum_{k \leq n} p_{i,k}(t) \, dt \geq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Now choose $U > 0$ such that $\exp (-\nu U) \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Then for each $i$,

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq \nu \int_0^U \exp (-\nu t) \sum_{k \leq n} p_{i,k}(t) \, dt \leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq U} \sum_{k \leq n} p_{i,k}(t),$$

so that, for each $i$, there exists a real number $t_i$ in $[0, U]$ such that

$$\sum_{k \leq n} p_{i,k}(t_i) \geq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Let $N_1 = N(n; U, \frac{1}{4})$, defined as in (8) above. Then, for each $i$,

$$\sum_{j \leq N_1} p_{i,j}(U) \geq \sum_{j \leq N_1} \sum_{k \leq n} p_{i,k}(t_i) p_{k,j}(U - t_i) \geq \frac{1}{4}.$$

The operator $P_U[N_1]$, with $(i, j)$-th component equal to $p_{i,j}(U)$ if $j \leq N_1$ (and equal to zero otherwise), is compact, and $\|P_U - P_U[N_1]\| \leq \frac{3}{4} < 1$; hence $P_U$ is quasi-compact and so every other $P_t$ (with $t > 0$) is quasi-compact.

Next, suppose only that $P_s$ is quasi-compact for some (and so for all) $s > 0$. Then, by a famous theorem of Yosida and Kakutani (theorem VIII.8.8 of Dunford and Schwartz [2]) the spectrum of $P_s$ consists of the point 1 (which is a simple pole of the resolvent of $P_s$) together with a compact set which lies strictly inside some circle $|s| \leq \eta < 1$.

(The strong ergodicity of the semigroup implies that the only eigenvalue of $P_s$ on the unit circle is 1. As for the strong ergodicity of the semigroup, this follows from its strong Abel ergodicity, this in turn being a consequence of theorem D and the associated 'remark' in [6], with $M_\alpha = aR_\alpha$ and $U = P_s$. It
should be noted that the strong ergodicity of the semigroup also ensures that $\Pi \not= 0$.) If we write $\eta = \exp (-\gamma s)$ where $\gamma > 0$, then the inequality (4) follows, and from it we deduce that for $\mu > 0$,
\begin{equation}
\|\mu R_\mu - \Pi\| \leq K\mu (\mu + \gamma)^{-1},
\end{equation}
so that $\mu R_\mu \to \Pi$ uniformly as $\mu \downarrow 0$. Zero is therefore a simple pole of $R$ (see Hille and Phillips [3], theorem 18.8.4), whereas by theorem 16.7.1 of [3], the remainder of $\sigma(\Omega)$ lies in the half-plane $\Re(z) < -\gamma$.

Now fix $\lambda > 0$. Direct calculation shows that
\begin{equation}
(z - \lambda R_\lambda)^{-1} = z^{-1} + \lambda z^{-2} R_{\lambda - 1/\lambda},
\end{equation}
provided that $\lambda - \lambda/z$ lies in $\rho(\Omega)$. Hence, the point 1 is a simple pole of $(z - \lambda R_\lambda)^{-1}$ with residue $\Pi$, while the remainder of $\sigma(\lambda R_\lambda)$ lies strictly inside the circle $\|z\| = \lambda/(\lambda + \gamma)$. We therefore have
\begin{equation}
\|(\lambda R_\lambda)^n - \Pi\| < M\lambda^n/(\lambda + \gamma)^n, \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots)
\end{equation}
for some $M$, and so $(\lambda R_\lambda)^n \to \Pi$ uniformly as $n \to \infty$. Hence $\lambda R_\lambda$ is quasi-compact for each $\lambda > 0$.

4. Proof of assertion 2

Now suppose that $R_\lambda$ is compact for some (and so for all) $\lambda > 0$, and let $t > 0$ be fixed. The equation
\begin{equation}
Pg x - x = \Omega \int_0^t P_\gamma x \, dv, \quad (x \in D(\Omega)),
\end{equation}
implies that
\begin{equation}
R_\lambda P_\gamma x - R_\lambda x = \lambda R_\lambda \int_0^t P_\gamma x \, dv - \int_0^t P_\gamma x \, dv
\end{equation}
for $x$ in $D(\Omega)$, and so for all $x$ in $l$ by continuity. The bounded linear operator
\begin{equation}
B: x \to \int_0^t P_\gamma x \, dv
\end{equation}
is therefore compact, and clearly has norm $t$; hence, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an integer $n$ such that for every $i$,
\begin{equation}
\int_0^t \sum_{j \leq n} p_{i,j}(v) \, dv \geq t(1 - \epsilon).
\end{equation}
Arguing as before, we first conclude that, for each $i$, there must exist a point $v_i$ in $[0, t]$ with
\begin{equation}
\sum_{k \leq n} p_{i,k}(v_i) \geq 1 - \epsilon,
\end{equation}
and then, setting $N_2 = N(n; t, \epsilon)$, that
\begin{equation}
\sum_{j \leq N_2} p_{i,j}(t) \geq \sum_{j \leq N_2} \sum_{k \leq n} p_{i,k}(v) p_{k,j}(t - v_i) \geq (1 - \epsilon)^2
\end{equation}
for each $i$. It follows immediately that $P_t$ is compact.
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Properties (i) and (ii) of assertion 2 are consequences of theorems 5.7.3 and 16.7.1/2 of Hille and Phillips [3]. Apart from the simple pole with projection \( \Pi \) at the point 1, the spectrum of \( P_t \) for \( t > 0 \) is contained in the disk of radius \( \exp(-\beta t) \), and \( \exp(-\beta t) \) is the spectral radius of the operator \( P_t(I - \Pi) = P_t - \Pi \). In particular, therefore, because \( P_{n,s} - \Pi = (P_s - \Pi)^n \),

\[
\exp(-\beta s) = \inf_{n \geq 1} \left\| P_{n,s} - \Pi \right\|^{1/n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| P_{n,s} - \Pi \right\|^{1/n};
\]

hence,

\[
\left\| P_{n,s} - \Pi \right\| \geq \exp(-\beta ns)
\]

and

\[
\left\| P_{n,s} - \Pi \right\| \leq K \exp(-\gamma ns).
\]

The inequalities of (iii) of the theorem then follow (a) on putting \( ns = t \) in (26), and (b) on writing \( t = ns + u \) \((0 \leq u < s)\) and \( P_t - \Pi = P_u(P_{n,s} - \Pi) \), and using (27).

All that remains to be proved is that if every \( P_t(t > 0) \) is compact, then so is every \( R_\lambda \). Now (theorem 10.2.2 of Hille and Phillips, [3]) the compactness of every \( P_t(t > 0) \) implies the continuity of the semigroup in the uniform operator topology for \( t > 0 \), and this result implies that for each \( \lambda > 0 \),

\[
R_\lambda = \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda t)P_t \, dt,
\]

the integral existing as the limit in norm of approximating Riemann sums. The desired result follows immediately.
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