INTRODUCTION

In recent years anthropologists have focused much attention upon the modernization of traditional societies and "developing" countries. Such a shift in research interests has been accompanied by a re-evaluation of the position of anthropology within the social sciences. The study of the urbanization process provides a case in point. Once the domain of demography, sociology, and other social sciences, urbanization has now become such an important category in anthropological discussion that "urban anthropology" has gained a certain popularity and fashionability, especially among the younger members of the profession (cf. Eddy 1968).

Urbanization, however defined, is a many-faceted object not susceptible to many of the anthropologists' traditional theories and methods. However, the very complexity of cities and urban populations beckons us onward, just as the promise of urban life often encourages other ruralites to migrate cityward. Thus, the urban anthropologist seldom abandons his traditionally rural subject matter; rather, we follow the Indian and the peasant to the city. While the other side of urbanization -- namely, the influence of the city on its hinterland -- is seldom given sufficient attention, its substantial importance must be recognized.
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In this paper I shall outline the present status of the anthropological study of migration to cities in Latin America. This geographical limitation on the subject serves a dual purpose: first, there is a commonality of theme and method to most migration research done within this region; second, it is possible to make more satisfactory comparative statements about the results of such case studies within this well-defined universe. In order to facilitate the analysis, I have arbitrarily divided the problem into several parts: (a) theoretical orientations; (b) patterns of migration; (c) causes of migration; (d) the "ideal-type" migrant; (e) problems of adjustment; (f) process of mobility; and (g) fulfilment of expectations.

A. THEORETICAL ORIENTATIONS

Anthropological interest in Latin American urbanization has been dominated by Redfield's ideal-type formulations, usually described as the "folk-urban" or "rural-urban" continuum (although sometimes as dichotomy instead of continuum). Since his work has already been subjected to close scrutiny from several points of view (cf., among others, Benet 1963; Lewis 1965; Mintz 1953; and Pahl 1966), I shall only note the major feature of his model. Based on a study of four community "types" in Yucatan, Redfield concluded that as the form of society changes from "folk" to "urban" there is an increase in disorganization, secularization, and individualization (1934; 1941).

Early criticism of Redfield's work was championed by Lewis. In a now-famous analysis of migrant experiences in Mexico City, he found that family life remained strong, that religious observances continued, that ties to the village were maintained, and that individualization was tempered by the cohesiveness of the migrants to their own group within the city and to the village as well. Thus, Lewis argued that urbanization occurred without "breakdown" (1952: passim).

Others have since joined Lewis in a re-appraisal of Redfield's formulation of the consequences of the urbanization process. Their
arguments have had a double thrust: on the one hand, they argue that the available evidence does not support his allegations about the nature of urban life; on the other hand, they contend that many migrants to cities have in various ways become "pre-adapted" to an urban way of life so as to avoid much of the disorganization, secularization, and individualization that may exist in urban situations.

Furthermore, it is clear that the study of rural-urban migration to Latin American cities will receive little future enlightenment from either application or criticism of Redfield's theories of society. Instead, we must face this certitude: those aspects of Latin American urbanization related to migration involve a multitude of factors not easily reducible to formulae. We must neither feel burdened by Redfield's ideas nor cease our pursuit for more satisfactory theoretical orientations. Perhaps the cross-disciplinary fertilization so characteristic of urban studies will involve anthropologists in the necessary expansion of our traditional frames of reference. For example, recent studies in sociology (Balán 1969; Bock and Iutaka 1969), in economics (Herrick 1965), and political science (Cornelius 1969) provide valuable insights, which when joined to anthropological methods and theories ought to significantly improve our contributions to the analysis of Latin American urbanization.

B. PATTERNS OF MIGRATION

In its broadest sense, rural-urban migration may be separated into three aspects: spatial, temporal, and demographic. The meanings which I attach to these different patterns will become clear below. Of course, this outline forces us to concentrate on general themes, so that the often interesting or unique case becomes subsumed within larger patterns.

We may distinguish three common spatial patterns of migrant origin and destination. First, migrants may move directly from a village to a large city (e.g., see Lewis 1952; Butterworth 1962). Second, migrants may go from village to small town and then finally to a large
urban center (e.g., see Mangin 1967:69; Herrick 1965:55; and Germani 1961:212). This pattern is usually called "stage migration," and may have a temporal span of nearly one lifetime, since a young man may leave his village and go to a small town where he will reside until he chooses to move to a large city some years later. Third, migrants may move from their villages to small towns and then their children make the final move to the city. This last pattern, which is really a generational extension of the "stage migration" model, is certainly the most complex of the three patterns distinguished here.

So far, we have discussed spatial patterns only in terms of the flow of migrants from different origins to the city, wherein the several zones of the city are subsumed into a single category. Now it is necessary to distinguish some possible urban destinations. Although satisfactory classification is not possible, either within a single city or over Latin American cities as a type, perhaps three such destinations stand out: (a) the old center of the city, usually composed of apartment-type dwellings (such as the vecindades in Mexico City) with a predominance of lower and lower-middle-class occupants; (b) the newly-developed suburban areas, only recently "urbanized" in many cases, where single-family dwellings predominate, but most families are saddled with high rents or long-term payment plans; and (c) the squatter or invasion settlements (known variously as barriadas, favelas, callampas, villas miserias, and ciudades perdidas), usually located on the extreme urban fringe, without regular urban services and generally composed of single-family dwellings to which the occupants establish "title."

Whatever the migrant's destination within the city, often an important factor is the location of previously arrived comrades from his village or region (see Herrick 1968:91). While the historical "enclaves" of Indians and peasants within the Latin American city seem to have disappeared in the face of housing shortages and intense population growth (Caplow 1952:259), recent research indicates that the majority of present-day migrants initially find housing in the old center of the city, often
living with friends or relatives until more permanent situations can be found. After a time they may join an invasion group and move into a squatter settlement (Mangin 1967:passim). Often, the quality of life is improved after such a move, especially if the migrant becomes the owner of his dwelling, so that money formerly used for rent can be appropriated to other needs.

Most anthropological studies of the Latin American city have focused upon dwellers in central-city vecindades (see, for example, Lewis 1959) or in squatter settlements (see, for example, Matos Mar 1961). Therefore, we still know little about the "middle class" city dwellers, whether natives or migrants. More effort must be devoted to the study of suburban, middle-class housing developments, which are growing almost as rapidly as slums within Latin American urban centers. As some members of the lower classes who have been the traditional object of our research move up the social ladder, perhaps we shall have the opportunity to expand the focus of our urban anthropology.

The temporal aspects of cityward migration are directly related to its spatial characteristics. The following patterns have been observed for Latin America: (1) steadily increasing streams of migrants (Butterworth 1962:261); (2) migrations in distinct waves or periods (Lewis 1952:33); and (3) a generational "fill-in" model (McGreevey 1968:216; Herrick 1965:53). However, these are not all of the same quality; the first and second describe the generalized migratory stream, either from a specified source (e.g., a village) or toward a specified target (generally, the largest metropolitan area), whereas the third also described a particularly complex type of migrational movement applicable to the family unit.

Usually, increasing streams of migrants respond to ever-worsening rural conditions, linked to urban attractions. On the other hand, periodic migration is due to variable conditions, such as revolution, rural violence, random crop failures, or droughts. Within an overall pattern of increasing streams or waves of migrants it is possible to record the phenomenon known as "fill-in" migration.
As a complex type of "stage migration," "fill-in" migration is significant for two reasons. First, at its ultimate, the man who left the village for the small town does not reach the metropolis, but his children do. Second, the model requires that those persons who settle into the intermediate centers push out other persons, usually members of the upper classes, who then move on to the city. Thus, social mobility is built into the "fill-in" model of migration. A rural family begins at the bottom of the socioeconomic structure of the small town but eventually improve their position. As they move up, they crowd others up and out; at the same time, new migrants arrive to fill-in at the bottom rungs once again. Thus the process continually affects the lives of a series of migrant families, rather than just a single person. This "fill-in" model of migration is particularly important since it helps to explain how rural migrants are able to measure up (through "pre-adaptation" in the intermediate centers) to the urban natives in level of education and occupational mobility. Peattie has recorded one informant's view of the "fill-in" process in personal terms:

"Now," he said, "in Upata, Guasipati, in Turemo [all small towns], there are almost no men." He did not mean, of course, that these towns have become depopulated in a literal sense; they have not. But the gente buena have left them, and their places have been taken by gente cualquiera from the countryside. Members of the old elite, their economic base shaken by the opening up of the region, have had to move to Ciudad Guayana, to Ciudad Bolivar, to Caracas, to try to establish themselves in the new order. (1968: 26).

In addition to these elements of temporal migration, there are two further temporal aspects to rural-urban migration that deserve mention. First, researchers have often observed that migrants make visits to the city prior to permanent relocation (Herrick 1965:90; Butterworth 1962:262). An initial visit may be viewed as a "trial" to ascertain if future success is likely. Of course, such visits tend to be made to friends or relatives, although a single male migrant (or, more rarely, a husband alone) may attempt a short time in the city without any ties at all.
Finally, we may ask: At what point in time does a rural migrant, living in an urban area, cease to qualify as a "migrant"? This serious matter is usually resolved by choosing a sample of "recent" migrants. To further complicate the problem, most government censuses classify as "migrant" anyone born outside the place of current residence. Thus, a child brought to the city by his parents would be reported as a migrant based on place of birth. Clearly, any classification of migrants ought to consider this problem, especially in those cases where all ties with the home village have been severed or lost.

The demographic aspects of migration are perhaps more well-known than its spatial and temporal aspects. First, it is apparent that migration to Latin American cities is not "random"; rather, it is "selective" of certain age and sex groups within the total population. Second, rural-urban migration continues to serve as a major factor in the rapid growth of the urban population in Latin America. Although such large-scale indicators are useful, as anthropologists we are more concerned with the individual migrant and his family, which usually is the focus of our research in the city.

Peattie reports that in a small barrio composed of recent migrants to Ciudad Guayana, there were

... 490 inhabitants, living in eighty household groups. Of the 490, 123 were under seven years of age, and another 106 under thirteen. Children under thirteen thus comprised nearly half the population. Teenagers (thirteen to eighteen inclusive) were another 13 per cent. Persons over fifty were only 6 per cent of the total -- and even so, a higher proportion than for the city as a whole. The sex ratio was about balanced at all age levels. (1968:13)

For Colombia, McGreevey reports that "... the great majority of migrants belong to the 15-34 age group, are more often women than men, and have higher levels of education and literacy than those persons living in either the area of destination or the area of departure" (1968:218). For Chile, Herrick indicates that

Among the most recent migrants -- those with fewer than ten years of residence in Greater Santiago -- about two-fifths of those
over fourteen years of age were between fifteen and twenty-four. . . . Almost one-third arrived during their late teen years. More than six out of ten were present in Santiago before their twenty-sixth birthday. The youth of the migrants is thus clearly demonstrated. (1965:73)

However, in this area of rural urban differences, Hammel provides us with an appropriate and necessary caution:

Slums differ from whole cities (and inferentially from non-slum urban areas) in their greater rate of fertility, a lack of elderly females, occasional departure from the general excess of females in Latin American cities, and a probably higher frequency of consensual unions. Women in slums tend to marry earlier and more frequently than women in non-slum urban areas. Rural villages differ from the slums in having older populations and show a deficit of individuals in the 20-24 age group, many of these individuals having migrated to the slum, where they are found in excess. (1964: 356)

We may summarize the discussion on the spatial, temporal, and demographic patterns of migration in the following manner: (1) Migration may either be rural-urban directly, village-small town-large city in stages, or village-small town-large city in generational "fill-in" waves; (2) Migrants may move into the downtown districts of the city, into suburban middle class housing developments, or into squatter settlements; (3) Migration may occur in steadily increasing streams from village to city, it may follow a wave or periodic pattern, or it may in turn fit the "fill-in" model; (4) Migrants tend to be young, although we have little data on their marital status at the time of migration; and, (5) Migrants tend to be women more often than men, although this may vary from country to country and from non-slum to slum area within a particular city.

C. CAUSES OF MIGRATION

At the simplest level, hypotheses about the causes of cityward migration are generally dichotomized according to whether the rural person is leaving the countryside or is going to the city. That is, the "push" pressure of rural poverty and population growth forces the peasant from his homeland. Or, on the other hand, the "pull" of more attractive urban opportunities, broadly defined, entices the peasant to the city.
However, very rarely is it possible to so easily divide the "real" factors behind migration into opposed facets, such as "push-pull" analyses would attempt to do.

The use of such analysis often results in an implicit or explicit motivation for migration. Thus, for Mexico, Lewis claims that

The increasing concentration of people in the large cities is due more to the growing population pressure on the land than to the attractions of urban life. . . . To the rural migrants the city offers the hope of better employment opportunities, better educational facilities, greater material conveniences, and a generally higher standard of living. (1960:288-289)

For Venezuela, Peattie concludes that "People left the farms because it is more attractive to live in hope in the cities than in penury on the land. The jobs have to pay off in that context" (1968:142). For Peru, Matos Mar finds that "The economic reasons are the most important and arise, largely, from regional differences" (1961:183). What is interesting about these examples is that they tend to focus on direct rural-urban migration rather than "stage" or "fill-in" patterns. A consideration of inter-urban migration in such "economic" terms is much more complex, of course, but the importance of economic factors still has been seen as paramount (Germani 1961:212).

It is likely that a study of the socio-psychological causes of migration may eventually re-orient researchers away from the dominance of "economic" explanations (Kahl 1968; Germani 1965; and Margulis 1968). For example, Margulis argues that not mere lack of work, but rather a devaluation of the traditional community way of life joined to an idealization of the city, leads to an exodus of the most dynamic and productive people from the countryside. Furthermore, he suggests that "migration is a social decision that permits the conservation of traditional elements and the maintenance of unaltered economic and power relations within the region." Thus, "migration is, for the region studied [La Rioja, Argentina], a defense mechanism, an institutionalized standard of divergent conduct that tends to conserve the traditional structure of the community." Finally,
Margulis borrows Foster's "image of limited good" to argue that certain socio-psychological types who want to "get ahead" in a static rural situation feel a necessity for migration to the cities.

We may summarize this discussion of the causes of migration by noting the rather unsatisfactory picture which emerges from a survey of the literature. The attention of researchers has often been focused on the most obvious factors, with little effort expended to deal with possible socio-psychological variables in the migrants' behavior. The study of migrant personality is still not well-advanced, but future studies in this area of urban anthropology ought to prove particularly fruitful.

D. THE "IDEAL-TYPE" MIGRANT

If we may create an "ideal-type" migrant for Latin America, without doing an injustice to available data, it is possible to distinguish two very different "schools" or attitudes toward migrants. On the one hand, there are those who judge migrants as ill-prepared for city life. Thus, for example, Cline considers most migrants to Mexican cities ". . . unskilled, low-paid labourers who have difficulty in finding steady employment" (1963:105). On the other hand, McGreevey claims that migrants in Colombia are "generally the elite of a population which already has an urban orientation in their city of birth, and do not represent the large mass of the rural population in Colombia" (1968:217).

How can we account for these very different attitudes toward migrants? Whereas one view correlates ill-preparedness with direct rural-urban migration, with the migration of "Indians" rather than mestizo peasants, and with low levels of education in the countryside, the latter view correlates a high level of preparedness with inter-urban migration, with the migration of the "elite" groups from the small towns to the cities, and with high levels of education and literacy in these small towns. Thus, the view which one has about the "ideal-type" migrant is related to one's ideas on the pre-adaptation of migrants to city life.
Peattie has put the case well for those who argue that migrants tend to be well-prepared to live in urban areas:

In Venezuela urbanization is not something which is happening only in the cities. Roads, radios, newspapers, schools, imported goods, have penetrated the countryside. . . . These communications, added to a "traditional," rural way of life already loose in social structure and intellectually oriented toward the practical and material, mean that those who now come to the city come in some sense pre-adapted to urban life. One observer has called them a "proto-proletariat."

This means that . . . one does not see the kind of transition which anthropologists report for some other countries undergoing the first stages of modernization -- the jump in stage which happens when the tribesman, the blanket Indian, the peasant, move to town. The people who come into the cities of Venezuela are not wholly raw recruits; they have already put on the uniform of urban life. And, as every army knows, the uniform makes a difference. (1968: 118-119)

Furthermore, it appears that the "ideal-type" migrant of the United States has been transferred inappropriately to the case of Latin America. Since the study of migrants in the United States has traditionally been focused on the lower classes and minority groups, it might have seemed reasonable to make such extensions of theory. However, recent research has effectively challenged many of the "similarities" previously thought to exist between migration patterns in the United States (or any other industrially developed nations) and Latin America. For example, Bock and Iutaka have recently examined occupational and social mobility for Argentina, Brazil, and Chile. Their findings lead them to "question the degree to which explanations derived from studies of migration in industrial nations are applicable to 'developing nations'" (1969:343). As more research is done on the Latin American migrant, perhaps a more satisfying picture of the "ideal-type(s)" can be generated; however, the controversy is not resolved and will only be so when more comparative analyses such as that of Bock and Iutaka are performed.

E. PROBLEMS OF ADJUSTMENT

Rather than attempt a comprehensive survey of migrant adjustment in the Latin American city, I shall limit the discussion to four aspects
which have aroused considerable interest among scholars interested in urbanization and migration: (1) the changes in family structure among migrants; (2) the "culture of poverty" concept; (3) the role of squatter settlements for migrant adjustment; and (4) the adjustment in personality, world view, or cognitive orientation among migrants. Not all these topics are of the same order of importance nor do they represent the same levels of analysis; nevertheless, a better understanding of these four facets of migrant adjustment ought to provide us a minimum comprehension of the general problems.

Changes in family structure represent a potentially crucial arena for migrant adjustment in Latin American cities. The traditional view is indicated by Kahl:

The urban family tends to be nuclear; women have considerable independence and there is a trend toward sexual "equality"; young people have considerable freedom to move about the city, make friends, seek their own careers and wives. Now, where the rural family patterns are at variance with these urban forms, there will be culture shock and strain when people first move to the city and until they have had time not only to break loose from the old forms but to "institutionalize the new ones." (1959:68)

Kahl's statement has perhaps more significance for Asia and Africa than for Latin America, where the nuclear family predominates in both rural and urban regions, although the extended family also may play an important role at times (cf. Wilkening et al. 1968). In this light, Lewis has suggested that

... we must distinguish much more carefully between the existence of the extended family as a residence unit and as a social group. In Mexico the extended family is important as a social group in both rural and urban areas where the nuclear family predominates as the residence unit. In Mexico the persistence of extended family bonds seems compatible with urban life and increased industrialization. Moreover, the compadre system, with its extension of adoptive kinship ties, is operative, though in somewhat distinctive ways, on all class levels.(1965:499)

Additional case studies can be cited to show that in Latin America family structure poses few adjustment problems for migrants to the cities. For a Rio de Janeiro favela, Pearse reports that "The nuclear
or conjugal family was the usual household unit. That is to say, most households (i.e., 185 out of 279) consisted of father, mother, and children of one or both, or adopted children, only" (1961:196). For Lima, Matos Mar indicates that the family remains essentially stable despite "serious conflicts which are reflected in mental, social, and economic maladjustment" (1961:174). He found that the families of the barriadas under study could be classified as follows:

... families in the narrow sense (parents and children), 62 per cent; families in the broad sense (families in the narrow sense plus other relatives), 26 per cent; mixed families (father or mother and children, plus other relatives), 5 per cent; couples, 3 per cent; single persons, 2 per cent. This indicates the preponderance of the family in the narrow sense as the basic social unit providing security for the inhabitants of the barriadas. (1961: 181)

Finally, for Ciudad Guayana, as represented in Peattie's study of the barrio La Laja, the nuclear family structure is prevalent, especially with matrifocality. In addition,

... relations based on kinship or assimilated with kinship are dominant in the social network. People are likely to have relatives living nearby. In this barrio, to which nearly half the adults have migrated within the last five years, two-thirds of the households are connected by kinship with at least one other household in the barrio. (1968:40)

It may be added here that the institution of compadrazgo seems to provide another vehicle for lessening adjustment problems for families who move to the cities in Latin America. Unfortunately, we still have few adequate studies of its overall function in the urban system. Among others, Pearse (1961:199), Lewis (1952:38), and Peattie (1968:41) have so far reported on its importance in the city, especially for migrants.

A discussion of the "culture of poverty" concept follows appropriately after a consideration of family structure among migrants, since Lewis's methodological approach stressed the study of family units. According to Lewis, the "culture of poverty" is a provincial, locally oriented culture, both in the city and in the country. In Mexico it is characterized by a relatively higher death rate, a higher proportion of the population in the younger age groups
(less than fifteen years), a higher proportion of gainfully employed in the total population, including child labor and working women. . . . on another level the "culture of poverty" in Mexico, cutting across the rural and urban, is characterized by the absence of food reserves in the home, the pattern of frequent buying of small quantities of food many times a day as the need occurs, borrowing money from money lenders at usurious interest rates, the pawning of goods, spontaneous informal credit devices among neighbors, the use of second-hand clothing and furniture. . . a higher incidence of free unions or consensual marriages, a strong present-time orientation, and a higher proportion of pre-Hispanic folk beliefs and practices. (1965:500)

Not all migrants are equally susceptible to the "culture of poverty." Lewis believes that the "Landless rural workers who migrate to the cities, as in Latin America, can be expected to fall into this way of life more readily than migrants from stable peasant villages with a well-organized traditional culture, as in India" (1966:24). Thus, he seems to mean his model for use among the ill-prepared, rural poor, who tend to migrate directly to the larger cities in Latin America. Aside from methodological critiques (see Paddock 1961), Lewis has become a target for those who argue that the "culture of poverty" concept is not applicable to the analysis of recently-established squatter settlements (Mangin 1967:91). However, only more research will satisfactorily resolve this controversy.

Squatter settlements, above all, do not represent a "last resort" for the urban migrant. In Mangin's words, the inhabitants of recent squatter settlements "are not alienated, hopeless people caught in a vicious circle of poverty. For most of the adults their condition in the squatter settlement is the best of their lives and a marked improvement on their previous two or three houses" (1967:91). In fact, the majority of invasiones are made in order to attain a plot of land on which one's own home, free of rent, can be constructed. For example, Matos Mar indicates that the scarcity of low-cost housing in Lima has given a great impetus to the growth of the barriadas (1961:190). Finally, it is in these squatter settlements that the highest prevalence of voluntary associations (outside of the upper classes) are found. Such associations,
normally established prior to an invasion and continued throughout the life of a settlement, serve to unite its people against the sometimes burdensome problems of the city -- e.g., dealing with the police, water carriers, city officials, or hoodlums. Whereas barriada associations are fairly common, voluntary associations are not common in most rural areas and in the central city slums (vecindades) where Lewis developed his "culture of poverty" concept (see Turner 1963; Mangin 1963; for contrast, see Lewis 1959).

It remains to discuss the socio-psychological adjustments of migrants in the Latin American city. It is important to realize that most researchers tend to accept a dichotomy between "rural" and "urban" personality types. For example, Medina Echavarría and Hauser state:

The psycho-social aspect of urban culture manifests itself in a new type of personality, that is, in a class of human being with a special attitude towards the world and his relations with the rest of mankind. The contrast between the rural and urban mentality is the source of virtually all the difficulties attendant upon the urbanization process, especially when this takes place too rapidly. (1961:25)

Peattie has also described the urban personality:

Their cognitive orientation is toward the modern world of mass-produced consumption goods, and toward the world of personal relations giving them access to it. . . . Finally, there is a general readiness to accept change. . . especially those involving a widening of intellectual and economic horizons. . . . (1968:39, 104)

However, despite a readiness to accept change, migrants to the city often find themselves "powerless" before the urban system. Such "powerlessness" may be reflected in a view of society which seems "closed" to them in important respects. For example, Pearse's study of migrants to the favelas of Rio de Janeiro revealed that the migrant's pastimes and his religious practices reflect his concern with his powerlessness greatly to improve or even secure his lot by his own and his family's endeavours and his habitual hope for intervention and protection of a good patrão, a political leader or a strong saint. At the same time, though he as yet has not come to see city society as an open one where achievement and talent rather than privilege and position bring advancement, yet he finds great
satisfaction in "play-systems" in which the individual's skills and strength bring success and where good luck may fall to any, regardless of his condition. (1961:204)

In a similar manner, Peattie records the orientation of a Señor Figueres to the urban world about him:

Señor Figueres sees himself as subject to circumstance, as compelled by forces to which one may maneuver to adjust, but which one can hardly hope to change. "One should not be lazy" is the moral of one of his tales -- but the moral of another is that, "after all, luck determines." He sees man as trying to defenderse (to defend himself) and to conformarse (to adjust). He sees man as trying to keep afloat with dignity in a world he never made and cannot hope to remake. The sense of being able to dominate circumstances, which is the psychological basis of saving for future objectives, of spending time and money for education, of a rational calculus in which present satisfactions are weighed against possible increments of future situational advantage -- this Señor Figueres' world lacks. (1968:104)

What does such a view of urban society mean for the adjustment of migrants? Simply this: they may manage to adjust to a subordinate position in the urban society, although a position superior to that in which they suffered in the countryside, without necessarily achieving the desired occupational and social mobility. Their aspirations, especially for their children's education, are often beyond their means -- but, of course, this applies equally to many middle class natives (as well as migrants) who struggle against inflation and increasing wants. Certainly, some migrants (particularly those who come in stages to the metropolis) have less socio-psychological adjustments to make to city life than those who come directly from countryside to city. Finally, the differential penetration of urban "culture" into the hinterland has an important effect on such adjustment.

We may summarize this discussion of the various adjustment problems of migrants in the following terms: (1) There appears to be little change in family structure or in the overall importance of kinship relations after cityward migration; (2) The concept of the "culture of poverty" seems most appropriate for the adjustment problems of ill-prepared, lower class migrants who have come directly to the city from the
village. Also, it seems to fit the situation in older central-city slums better than that in more recently established squatter settlements; (3) Squatter settlements seem to help, rather than hinder, the positive adjustment of migrants (usually in the second phase of migration, since the harriada is seldom their first destination within the urban region) to city life, especially through the formation of voluntary associations, not so widespread in slums. People living in squatter settlements tend not to be alienated and hopeless, and probably view the society as relatively more "open" than those persons who continue living in central-city vecindades; and, (4) The personality type and the cognitive orientation of rural migrants in the cities often undergoes some modification, which usually positively aids in adjustment. The sense of powerlessness felt by many migrants probably fades with the second generation in the city. Finally, the whole idea of a dichotomy between "rural" and "urban" personality types may be more appropriately viewed in terms of the differences in personality of the "power-holding group" (however defined in a particular situation) versus the "powerless or inferior" sectors of the same society. In other words, to quote the Shannon's, "If the migrant does possess attitudes that inhibit assimilation -- facilitating responses it is just as likely that these are not rural attitudes, but attitudes acquired in the process of interacting in a situation where he has been defined as inferior" (1968:63).

Finally, it is clear that no single model of adjustment dominates the field in the study of urbanization in Latin America. In fact, the paucity of adequate case studies will prevent the construction of any satisfactory models for some time to come. Additional research into the psychological adjustments and adaptations of rural-urban versus inter-urban migrants would be especially valuable, although all aspects of the migrant adjustment within the Latin American city require intensive study.
F. PROCESS OF MOBILITY

Satisfactory adjustment to urban life is not necessarily accompanied by social and occupational mobility for the migrant. However, in many case studies there is an assumption that geographic movement brings automatic vertical mobility. Certainly, migration represents the movement of a part of the labor force, but movement should not be confused with upward mobility.

Since the interest in migrant occupational mobility is related to the belief that migration responds to various "economic" factors, it is not surprising that many researchers concentrate on the following problems: the job hunt; the type of employment acquired by recent migrants; length of employment; level of advancement in the work situation; and satisfaction of migrant expectations in the search for a "better" job. Without considering each of these facets of the problem in detail, we can consider the general Latin American pattern.

First, one's concept of the type of migrant in part determines one's views on the participation of migrants in the urban job market. Herrick puts it well:

As with education, the migrants' rates of participation in the labor force might be hypothesized to be either higher or lower than the natives' rates. Higher, if it is thought that migrants as a whole are somehow more energetic and spirited than the natives; lower, if the migrants are thought to be lazier or more easily discouraged by the complexities of city life. (1965:80-81)

Herrick found in his study of recent migrants in Santiago that "Taken as an aggregate, the migrant's participation rate was higher, by a statistically significant margin, than that of the natives" (1965:81). Furthermore, he discovered that

Of the economically active recent migrants surveyed, about four-fifths were active members of the labor force immediately upon arrival. The others students, housewives, or other inactives who only later entered the labor force. Of the four-fifths who sought employment upon arrival in Santiago, 40.6% found employment. . . either on the day they arrived or on the following day. (1965:92)
For direct rural-urban migrants, employment is probably not so immediate nor the job search so successful. For example, Germani's study of migrants to Buenos Aires revealed that "Less than 50 per cent of the migrants worked throughout the year; a third of them were able to work only six months or less" (1961:221). However, he found that after a period of time in the city, such lower class migrants tend to have more success in finding and holding jobs, and thus catch up to the native-born workers and to the better-prepared "elite" inter-urban migrants.

A further case is provided by Peattie's study of an industrial workers' barrio in Ciudad Guayana:

... of its 490 residents, living in eighty household groups, there were only eighty-one persons employed. This was partly because the barrio had so many children and young people that fully work-age adults (aged nineteen to fifty) were only 34 per cent of the population. And of these, many were unemployed. Of the grown males between nineteen and sixty years of age, over a third reported themselves unemployed, with the rate of unemployment highest (about 40 per cent) among the young men of nineteen to thirty and those in their fifties. (1968:35)

We may conclude this discussion of occupational mobility with the observation that mobility occurs more frequently among migrants prepared to take advantage of urban opportunities in the labor market. Lower class migrants often find the city just as uncongenial (in terms of relative success) in the search for a "good" job as was the countryside they departed. Usually, lower class migrants (and natives of the lower class as well) tend to find their way into the tertiary sector as street vendors and odd-jobbers, whereas the "elite" migrants move into industry and business, often with membership in trade unions and government health programs.

It is a curious feature of the literature on urbanization in Latin America that "social" mobility is seldom discussed apart from occupational mobility. In other words, movement upward on the occupational scale is seen as the most important dimension of social mobility. Migrants are often described in terms of their "class" (broadly defined in economic terms), but seldom according to "status" or their differential to "power."
I would guess that many lower class migrants actually suffer a decline in their relative status because their involvement in traditional village status systems, such as the civil-religious hierarchy, is not generally replaced with an equivalent system in the city. Similarly, "elite" migrants who abandon a disintegrating economic-prestige system in the small towns or haciendas may also feel a decline in relative status when they become members of the urban middle class. In another sense, they may fall from what Adams has called the "power-prestige sector" into the "work-wealth sector" (1965:266).

Peattie has attempted to briefly describe what she feels are four "stages" of mobility -- both social and occupational -- which might be useful as a guide to research on this aspect of Latin American urbanization:

In the first stage migrants... have already passed the level at which people are directing their efforts toward the satisfaction of traditional wants through traditional skills exercised through a traditional manner of organizing the self individually and interpersonally.

The second stage would be that in which the fountain pen appears in the illiterate's pocket, and the transistor radio in the Indian's hand. People learn to have new wants, and they look for cash income to satisfy them; but they try to satisfy these wants through the manipulation of traditional skills, or these skills relatively little modified.

In the third stage people begin to focus on the exercise of skills as a way of coping. The child says "I'd like to be a doctor." The parent says, "I want my son to go through school and get educated." The satisfaction of the new wants begins to be thought of as an aspect of "being" another sort of person, with a different bundle of skills.

There seems to be a fourth stage in the mobility process: a new stage in which the individual sees himself not only as satisfying the new wants through new skills, but as acquiring the new skills through a sort of shaping of the self. (1968:119-120)

I would conclude this section on the process of mobility among urban migrants by suggesting that Peattie's formulation tells us more about the future than about the past. The study of second-generation migrants is not well-advanced; it may provide us with either a substantiation or a rejection of current ideas about social and occupational mobility in Latin American cities (for an example of the type of needed research, see Balan et al. 1967).
G. FULFILLMENT OF EXPECTATIONS

Usually, migrants are not deluded about their occupational prospects in the city, whether they be "elite" or lower class. Given a realistic appraisal of their own situation, they probably meet with success at that level, although "middle-class" aspirations for a "higher standard of living, home ownership, and education for their children" (Lewis 1965:496) are being spread by mass communications (in earlier years by radio, more recently by television) even to the dwellers in the vecindades. The importance of education for one's children seems to be a paramount concern of all migrants, just as it is with native-born urbanites, despite the frequent overcrowding of city schools. With respect to social and ceremonial activities, most migrants are probably disappointed in what the city offers; they often make return visits to their villages for fiestas and the major religious events of the year. Finally, migrants at all levels are witness to housing shortages and high rents which cause both dissatisfaction and the continued erection of more squatter settlements on the urban fringes. Unfortunately, the future seems to hold little promise that either private enterprise or government will be able to alleviate or solve the housing problem; they seem to be falling behind the population growth rate even now.

But no matter what other expectations remain unfulfilled for cityward migrants, they soon come to participate actively in the "new world of consumption" which the city offers to its citizens. In Bonilla's words:

The city's hold over consumption in Latin America is almost as complete as its monopoly of learning, and though the worker's share in this consumption may remain marginal, the desire for it is an explicit goal of migration to the city. (1964:192)

CONCLUSIONS

An important consequence of our survey of the literature on migration to the Latin American city is the discovery of a wide divergence of professional opinion on the following topics: causes of migration;
the model of an "ideal-type" migrant; the nature of migrant adjustment problems in the city; the relationship of migration to occupational and social mobility; and the variable fulfillment of migrant expectations and aspirations. Unfortunately, the fragmentary knowledge of some basic aspects of cityward migration does not enable us to resolve many of the current controversies. Only further detailed research which combines case studies with comparative analysis will provide better answers to the ultimate question: What is the significance of rural-urban and inter-urban migration for the modernization of Latin America?

We began this paper by noting that anthropologists have increasingly turned their attention to the study of the modernization process in traditional societies and "developing" countries. If part of the future of our discipline lies in the city, we have our work cut out for us. We must become increasingly sensitized to developments outside of anthropology merely to stay abreast of on-going research. Finally, urban anthropology will test the mettle of the "traditional" scheme for field work; the city is neither tribe nor peasant village, where the anthropologist can easily establish face-to-face contact with his informants on a basis of mutual confidence. It would be sad, indeed, to see the anthropologist's "informants" converted into "subjects" or "respondents" within the arena of urban research. Hopefully, training in the methods needed for urban field work, combined with our "traditional" methods and techniques, will alleviate some of our present difficulties, but such training is seldom available at present. Thus, the future of anthropology in the Latin American city is a mixed prospect; many important research problems exist which we ought to study in order to extend the boundaries of our current theories about man and society, but we must be patient as we struggle in the new environment of urban field work.
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